

## SOLUTION/DISSOLUTION

This subject has been referred to in several past issues. The present purpose is to put this basis for understanding into clearer focus.

In our daily routines, those things which we encounter could be roughly classified into, 1.CONSTANTS, 2.VARIABLES, 3.UNKNOWNNS. The rainbow of gradients will be herein neglected.

A constant is something under control, or which does/does not happen automatically; a certainty of fact, a stability of data---anything beneath a games condition. An almost absolute one would be, like the sun coming up in the morning. They are the no-problems in our lives.

A variable is a manifest uncertainty--is the content of a games condition. It contains all of those interesting "maybes" which makes up a game. This is tempered by Ron's randomness concept of Dianetic Axioms. Too large a content of variable--too much plus-randomity--is overwhelming, confusion. A person is in as good a shape as he handles variable optimally. Its a unit of game; a problem.

The unknownns are those things which effect an individual, over which he has no control, and usually, little or no knowledge. This includes the bank and other MEST. These are "sacred" insofar as one could not do anything about them, even if he wanted to.

Also, A CONSTANT IS A UNSOLVED PROBLEM.... a solved variable. Like, a kid learning to use a fork. At first, it took a lot of attention units--until he mastered it. Then, it became reflexive--a no-problem, a constant.

Our games condition range is finite. As we attack, and solve variables, this attention is then available to pry into a hunk of that which has been considered unknown. It is thus that ability is increased. Our whole venture up scale can be represented in such terms.

This ties in with the scale in 2nd T #58. It may at first be "no-information," "unknowingness; then, "information", there is something there--mystery; then become solid "fact." Then one can concoct considerations, etc, about it--as data, then relate these data, as knowledge--and play games with it. But, no matter what is done to it, it still remains more or less a problem. The above example with the fork is not a perfect one, as it involves better and better solution, not dissolution.

A solution is, generally, a win. But the game goes on. Like chasing away a wolf that is robbing your chicken coop. The wolf has moved away to some unknown somewhere--but the game of fighting the wolf who robs the chicken coop continues. This problem is dissolved when one grabs the trusty rifle blasts Mr. wolf in the conoggin. The problem is then dissolved. End of game.

A solution is always but the handling of the meaning, segnefegences, and the re-locating in space, of a problem...a unit of game. The game, however, continues.

A dissolution is an address of the solids, in which its form is changed--so that, as a form, it is destroyed.



MEST could be accurately described as the solids, barriers which constantly impose themselves upon us saying, "This is all that is. If you lose this you will have nothing, be nothing." We are harangued, harassed by this in almost absolute constancy thruout each bodytime. This is the theme of physical MEST and the theme of bank MEST. And all of this within the range of the No-Information---knowledge part of the scale in #58 2nd T. A most major trap is its synonymous, "I am the only problem(unit of game) you can have. The only fun you can have is in solving me."

It is true that Understanding, Knowingness is a no-games condition. The problem is dissolved--no puzzle. But, as simple as it seems, because it is true that if by some unimaginably potent stroke, the whole Mest game would dissolve if Understood, they feel that it would all disappear if any part of it were dissolved. No differentiation.

MEST is reactivity, it imparts reactivity and to the degree that one agrees with it, one reacts to it on the identification level. It becomes gradiently sacred... As per Ron's Theta-Mest theory, Theta fails, becomes more entrapped in MEST, less cause, more the effect of MEST. MEST becomes a problem wherein MEST-MEST-MEST. Under this, "If you dissolved a portion of your bank, the whole universe would disappear."

In theory, the above paragraph may seem a bit trite, silly. but, we theorize one way, act, practice another. Every religion is an explanation of Spirit using MEST as the stable data. It talks ABOUT the Spirit, but, to the practitioners, it is the solids of the organization--the buildings, the ministers, and, yes, the dogma, itself becomes just as solid. So it is with Scn. All is at the level of identification, in Huborg. The factors, axioms, etc.=the latest HCO Bulletin=Dr. LRH= HASI=St. Hill= ---- =. Again, I am dealing in gradients. This occurs only TO THE EXTENT that one is at the identification level.

So, in order to do Vacuum Cleaning Procedure, one must:

1. Be willing to and able to create. This is a function of being in one's own valence. For example, to the degree that Huborgians are in the valence LRH, their creative ability is attenuated.
2. Have practical reality on the truth that the bank is not YOU, but is a addendum, MEST addendum.
3. Be willing to regard MEST differentially--not on the identification band. MEST of the bank must be sufficiently non-sacred, that he be willing to burn it, etc., change its form irreversibly-- not just move it away.
4. Have a desire to, and a willingness to-- CHANGE. It does not seem so, but this is scarcely more than a changing of viewpoints, a desire and willingness to BE one's self as Spirit, 7th dynamic, leading to the UNDERSTANDING of the other dynamics. It is the willingness to understand petty, present games, that one might become eligible to the playing of bigger, more interesting ones. This is HUMILITY.

Please understand that with dissolution, Vac. Clean. Proc., etc., it is a far more major operation than just keying out. About like the difference between dosing a headache caused by a mass, and operating to remove the tumor. It is not analogous, insofar as in dissolution, the mass is worn away, a layer at a time. A solution is but palliative, as the problem is still there, but in different form.

CORRESPONDENCE COMM

Received quite a few letters since #58 issue. Could well select any one of a number for discussion. The writer is an HCA who has been in scn. from 1950. We are in excellent agreement when he speaks from a 7th dynamic viewpoint, as he so often does. INDENTED PARAGRAPHS ARE MINE.

"Apparently you have just cognited on the basic reason for your interest in Scientology.... 'I INTEND TO DO THAT WHICH IS MOST OPTIMUM TO MAKE SUCCEEDING MEST INCARNATIONS BETTER.'"

True. But, my aspirations could be better expressed by saying: "I INTEND TO DO THAT WHICH IS MOST OPTIMUM TO ALLOW ME TO GRADIENTLY BECOME A GOD, WITHIN MY OWN UNIVERSE." This is--being myself freely as seventh dynamic, as I elect. I do not bide with the white siders who seek to dissolve via not-issness, escape, "end the tortuous chain of having to have body after body." Nor do I agree with the black siders who limit aspiration to the first dynamic, "help" us to make a better next and next...and next MEST incarnation better. It is incidental that as I succeed in that to which

I aspire, my succeeding MEST incarnations--if I elect to have them-- will be better.

"ALL KNOWLEDGE is innate. The fact that it is not available at all times is the basic reason for our being in bodies. We are here for the primary purpose of getting experience thru trial and error. Thru that approach we LEARN and as our learning develops and increases we LEARN, and as our learning develops and increases we open(ever so minutely) the avenue to knowledge."

Now, let us look at this from 7th Dynamic, Spirit. Knowingness is innate. With no bank mass, anything can be known. For the purpose of fun, agreements to not know certain things are made, and as these become solid, stable, they become the barriers of the game. They become the solids of bank, also. They become problems, units of game. As these NOTknowproblems, or KNOTS are altered, further resisted, not-issed, misowned, etc., these lies promulgate further knots, which become even more binding than the original ones. A 78th postulate lie is more abberative than a 32nd postulate lie, which is more ab than a 13-- so on down to the second.

Each adds to the mass of the bank, limiting frame of reference, reducing space. After we become sufficiently aberrated with sicknIFigances, we try to get facts, then a stable datum, then data, organize it into knowledge. We call the action of becoming familiar with these knots, learning. And what is it that we learn, become more familiar with? The compounded, agreed upon lies which make up MEST and the bank.

For example, compare the truths of the Factors, Logics, Dianetic and scn. axioms with the crud which Dr. LRH calls "research." The former is knowingness, comm originating with the higher self-- and we all are such, but the blocks of bank mass obstruct it-- and the blind alley after blind his research has led him. Yep. Research cause, LRH, effect. "We have been taking your money and messing you up on this clear business. So sorry please." They didn't know that you should change the process when a preclear gets a floating needle, clear read? Nuts. I have been using that as a rule for ten years. This latter from recent bulletin.

"On this basis-- according to 2nd T 58 pp3,- related data should equivocate with LEARNING, not knowledge. Understanding would be Evaluated Learning. KNOWLEDGE is OK as S<sup>pi</sup>ritual Understanding, and when you place Knowledge as Spiritual contact with the ALL..."

The relating of data is of almost infinite gradients, from the a-a-a-a of the reactive mind, which is even below conscious level, thru figure-figure; thru logic---up to the veritable Spiritual perception of the thing itself, leading to evaluation as thought and the look to action sequence (2nd T #55). Empirical classification is useful only to direct awareness into a certain area, in a manner that an auditing question is designed to do this. It is, at the bottom, rud/pure mechanics; intermediately, 1st dynamic/mind/soul; on topside, pure Spirit.

So, you had to go and take my data and alter-iss it. Tssk,tsssk and even tssssk. How terrible! So now, in order to save face, I am mandatorily obligated to defend myself. Bosh!!! hooray.

But, from this curbstone, it seems to my semantic paraphrenalia, that Learning--becoming familiar with--transcends the whole scale. The animal, reactive mind purports to be a learning recording of past experiences which are painful, to be avoided. There is rote learning, as with spelling. There is MEST sight recording. There is Spiritual perception, or lookingness.

Big trap: We are here to learn, experience. Ron years ago made fun of idea. Remember? This "learning" is the content of the reactive mind. The more we succumb to this trap, the more reactive we become. We flip, from being owned, by terms and opp terms.

"...You will also uncover the fact that you can learn only what you already know, innately. This is the primary reason for differing abilities among and between Thetans. The older ones-- who were individuated earliest have a decided edge in recovered knowledge due to the eons of trial and error experienced.

Knowingness is the recovery of those trues which we already know. It is of our own Determinism; whereas engramic recall, present day MEST, anything having to do with fact, data, knowledge, is there on its own determinism. These are things which are the stimuli to which we have responded. These make up information, fact, data, knowledge.

As one ceases to resist MEST, including MESTBANK, goes into Affinity with it, has reality upon it, will comm with it, TOTALLY, that is, as R6H puts it in Scn. Axioms 22-25, we get understanding. Again, it is the reduction of the physical universe to zero, and from this zero, the creation of our own universe. It is only thus that true Spiritual duplication can take place.

Knowledge is the imposition of logical thinkingness to MEST data. IT IS RELATIVELY TRUE ONLY WHEN APPLIED WITHIN THE LIMITED FRAME OF REFERENCE OF ITS SCOPE OR AREA. Aberration is mainly the application of data, knowledge which might be correct in its frame of reference, to a frame of reference in which it does not well apply.

Experience is a function of the knowledge obtained within a limited frame of reference. Similarly, aberration is the application of experience which might be correct in its native frame of reference, to a frame of reference in which it does not well apply. Like if a ballet chorus man got a job in a boiler factory, the application of the experience, knowledge, etc. as a ballet dancer, to the boiler factory is quite apt to be considered to be aberrated by his new fellow employees.

How is the best way to key out knowingness, or any Thetan Operation or Spiritual ability? Alter-iss it by ascribing it to experience, thinkingness knowledge or some other MEST, stimulus-response connotation.

"Pge 6 (2nd T) THE THETAN, SPIRIT. You equivocate them. Spirit insti- gates, does not act in any way. Thetan motivates and, of course, MEST is that which is motivated, and which then has the choice (not will) of whether or not to demonstrate. The mest body can be aware of its motivator (especially at chronic emergencies) but is unable - nor will it ever be able - to be aware of the SPIRIT.

Gracias for letting me know that I have not made a clear diff between THETAN and SPIRIT. Gracias, also, because this look brings it to me that altho I have intended to differentiate, I have not had it in very clear focus. Gracias again, for pushing my nose into this-- and the recognition that such labelling involves for the most, but gradiency. Spirit/Spirit, Thetan/Thetan. So, they can be defined only in terms of extremes, which practically never manifest as such.

Spirit is basically Theta, Supreme Being, Truth, 8th dynamic.

Thetan is an ennested, enmeshed Spiritual entity, living the lie that it is the something(s) with which it identifies itself.

In order for Spirit undifferentiated to assume a viewpoint, the creation of a point from which to view, immediately becomes involved. To attain stability, role, agreement of identification, this point becomes more and more solid-- a symbol. I am not speaking theoretically. We have actually found our own and others' basic symbols, which we consider ourselves to be. The creation of this symbol seems to be a prime postulate.

I KNOW OF NOWHERE WHEREIN AXIOM #36 AND ADJACENT ONES MORE COMPLETELY APPLY. To quote Ron on above: "#36 A lie is a second postulate or consideration designed to mask a primary postulate which is permitted to remain. #37; When a primary consideration is altered but still exists, persistence is achieved for the altering consideration."

Usually, with each cycle of action which we enter or create, we assume a different role within this cycle of action. The altering consideration might be major, like "IAMA member of earth society" or with each bodytime, "IAMA Frank Sullivan" or "IAMA highschool student" down to "IAMA a bad boy."

We have massive chains of these from past eons, which have consolidated into masses, GPMs, some solidly stabilized within the body thru implan- tation. Each of above altering considerations instigate a games cycle of action which is as follows:

- Consideration of game, role, etc.
- Aberrate game, role, etc.
- "Solve" aberration of game, role, etc.
- (This repeated many, many times)
- Dissolve game, role, etc.

Of course, this is but a re-statement of Start-change-stop.

That which aberrates us in present time are the imperfect dissolvings of past games, valences. If the mass of it is not completely removed, it will key in again and again. And so-- THAT WHICH IS SAID ABOUT IT IN THINKING- NESS, OR OTHER SAYING-SOMETHING-ABOUT-IT PROCESS, WILL BUT ADD TO THE MASS OF THE BANK, UNLESS ITS CONTENT IS ULTIMATE TRUTH. By irreversibly removing the mass in Vacuum Cleaning Procedure, we return to that degree to BEING our true selves, and to that degree SPIRIT is uninhibited in influence upon us. We become more SPIRIT motivated, less Stimulus-re- spons motivated...but this under its own heading-----

## MOTIVATION

There is practically nothing I know of which is as really unconfrosted as is this subject matter, of motivation.

It begins with, "Why did (he)(you)(they)(I)-- do that??" Then mystery, figure-figure. No good answer, because we assume that Spirit, responsibility, intention, motivated. So, we go askew; all excepting Madison Avenue and Huborg. They are statistically correct in assuming that the buyer/preclear sitting there, will act resultant of the resolution of the force vectors exerted upon him. Of course, these force vectors will be composite of present time paths of least resistance, and the masses of his bank.

And we get disgusted with Huborg when they send out those inane letters? They are not comm; but they are as successful as they hit the average motivation level. They probably do, as they are at about the usual ad level. Detroit comes out with new models every year--with sometimes silly changes. Dr LRH comes out with new gimmicks, sometimes silly. They both do it to create the illusion that what you now have is passe. It does not have to be better, or even new-- just different.

Motivation takes place when there is a resistance sufficient to bring about a facsimile--but not strong enough to cause aversion.

There are two types of motivation: There is action motivation. There is reaction motivation.

ACTION MOTIVATION is resultant of the Look-Action sequence, so often referred to in past issues. It is a function of Theta-Determinism. The sequence relevant to our subject is:

Suppose that we are walking in the woods, and a bear suddenly appears, comes toward us. Should we be free of reactive, resonating bank, we would physically see the bear, with our resistance cause a facsimile of it. We would reduce this facsimile to zero, create a perfect duplicate in our own Thetan, games universe. We would then from this UNDERSTANDING, thru our own created duplication of the scene, EVALUATE, as Thought, DECIDE via Emotion-- in this case, perhaps, fear; command--which is Thetan effort-- and act optimally.

All of this would occur in a small split second. Even if there were multiple elections, such as, to run/to flee, etc., the decision could be almost instantaneous. If the time was not critical, one might draw on knowledge and knowingness, which are defined--

KNOWLEDGE: Related data contained within a known, agreed upon frame of reference.

KNOWINGNESS: Knowledge not contained within the agreed upon frame of reference.

These definitions are tailored, artificial to promote comprehension by those viewing from within a frame of reference, like a body, environment. To the Thetan, data is not necessarily sharply classified by frame of reference. When he knows, he just knows that he knows.

Should he become the effect of the facsimile, he would react, as a stimulus response mechanism-- not act. He would resonate under the thinkings of the solidified related knowledge of his bank, which would automatically, and totally under its own volition impose itself upon him.

Reaction is motivated by misemotion.

I know of no information relative to the differences between emotion and misemotion, within the framework of Scientology. Similarly, the difference

between thought and thinkingness is not clarified.

Each misemotion has its standard response. If the bear started to move toward us, and we were the effect of the misemotion of fear, we would be owned by its standard response-- and would quake, freeze in our tracks; or might run blindly. Or, whatever the response would be, it would be the resultant of the greatest force which the bank exerts upon us at that moment.

Of course, a person who abrogates his own determinism is subject to suggestion, hypnosis; both external, and from his bank, habit, Pavlov type of conditioning. Of course, he will rationalize, gives reasons for doing what his stimulus-response mechanism mandates, and is quite plausible about it.

So-- some years ago, Hubbard started out with the goal of "clearing" the world. WOW!! He sought to motivate those whose only source of motivation was a reactive bank whose only goal is survival for itself-- and that which Hubbard presents represents death to this bank, and life for the Thetan. Dianetics was at least partly acceptable to some thousands, as it directly addressed the stimulus-response mechanism, served to clean its crankcase, and grease up its cogwheels. But, altho this helped (it sure helped me!) it did not serve directly to enable the Spirit of man to emerge.

Then came Scientology. Hubbard was cursed, betrayed, told lies about, by those who were so thoroly identified with their reactive banks that they felt that if their bank was reduced, that they would die. Correlatively, these people could not bide by anything which might expose their Thetal Beingness to themselves. They have been so convinced that they have been bad cause, they cannot confront any part of it. Their present, this bodytime personality MUST be defended. So, The numbers in Scientology greatly diminished. Hubbard's persistence in continuing has been most admirable.

He, at first, found himself surrounded by a relatively few number of very potent Thetans--most of them goofy as hell. Yeah-- like I was... How could he help mankind with a science which was so far from mankind's reality? How could he motivate people to enter and remain in his cause-- when that which he presented was utterly destructive of the reactive mechanism which they considered themselves to be. Now, actually, the spiritual climate for his attempts were a lot better than they had been for a long, long time--otherwise, he would have been immediately crucified, or, at least, put in pokey.

I appreciate that the only way that Ron could create the effect that he desired on mankind was to BE a valence which was within the reality of more people. He compromised, AND USED EVERY METHOD AT HIS DISPOSAL TO ACCOMPLISH HIS END. He became the four valences I have oft referred to. The wins of each:

RON The Being of high Knowingness who gave us wins to the degree that Spirituality rather than force governs in our environments. His purpose is to effect the advance of this Spiritual evlvement-- in terms of Thetan Determinism. It is in accord with this that the GRADUATE PROGRAM has been instituted. (next page)

HUBBARD will win as scientology as Scientology spreads.

L. RON HUBBARD wins as Scientology become more and more profitable and solid.

DR. L. RON HUBBARD wins as more and more persons abandon their own determinism, assume Dr. L. RON HUBBARD valence. He is a jealous god.

Without each of the four, scientology would gather dust on bookshelves, and Scientology would nothave survived. I intend to use my influence in the Graduate Program to assist in the survival of all four goals of these valences. I will continue to evaluate, elect to disagree--from my viewpoint.

THE GRADUATE PROGRAM

This program is initiated as a communication medium, to be used by those who seek to use the tool, the science of scientology on their own Determinism. The most damning and damaging which could indict scn. & Sen. would be that after fifteen years a person could, operate only IN THE NAME OF Dr. LRH. The main selling point of Sen. is increase in Self/Theta Determinism, ability. It is not demonstrated, nor is it likely to be demonstrable by Huborg minions. I represent that this program is a necessary step toward the attaining of the purposes avowed by Ron many years ago.

I am in communication with many who have divorced themselves from Huborg, but not from scn. I know that there are many more who are actively practicing, using it in their own lives, just allowing it to fallow- who are way out there all by themselves. Little or no comm. For now, let the GRADUATE PROGRAM be the content of everything Ron has said about comm. This, alone will help us up there. Whatever else it will, be will be what individuals and groups make it. I will be but a catalyst, no more a part of the action than anyone else.

Round Robin letters are now being initiated. It is hoped that this will lead to the formation of more local groups everywhere-- if only for discussion at least to start with. There is not enough co-auditing being done, because of absence of comm. THOSE OLDER PROCESSES ARE VERY VALID, APPROPRIATE TO BE USED BY THOSE SEEKING GREATER SELF- DETERMINISM. Every trained auditor knows lots of them. Use them. I was audited, myself on some of them, just this week. Great!

Of course, Huborg will probably invent even more labels like suppressive, etc. Funny thing about that word. It was not until they so labelled, I understand Chuck Berner, other able Scientologists in the South of L.A. area, that I realized that being suppressed so aptly expressed the feeling that I felt spiritually each time I had much of a contact with Huborg. All initiative, originality, creativity was SUPPRESSED. I could and should function only as a stimulus-response mechanism that had better do what I was told to do- and nothing more. Interesting. Now they subvert this by calling those who use their own creativity-- suppressives. Funny how persistently people blame others, accuse them of doing the very thing that they themselves do not confront doing. Question: If, after 15 years or so of Sen. myriads of trips to St. Hill, if Berner, Kemp et al are still "suppressive"-- are they sort of hopeless?

I would call them EXPRESSIVES.

Interesting sham battle going on here, huh?

Back to motivation. I have been a bit lonesome for some time, relatively-- I have avoided anything the least resembling the reactive motivation which Dr. LRH must use if Huborg is to survive. This still holds. Anything you do in connection with the Graduate Program will be done by your own determinism. I am nothing more than a commterminal. I aspire to be one of many comm terminals in a true third dynamic. Yeah. Fun is the interaction of communication.

So, drop me a line and suppress-- I mean EXPRESS what you feel would be the optimum procedure-- in what way(s) it would be fun for you to participate.

The way upscale lies in the formation of a true third dynamic consistent of first dynamics operating on their own determinism. Our first loyalty is to ourselves. It is only when this loyalty is perverted that we feel we have to be "loyal to" some other determinism. It is but necessary to DO something about it.

So I'm ephemeral, laying nothing on a silver platter. Real indefinite-- And no reactive motivation----- Now it's your move.

What you are, must speak. What you are, will speak. Your activity is haloed by right intention and desire. Nothing can lead you astray ever again. Wander with the path, enjoying the unexpected blessings of wildflowers and small creatures scurrying by the roadside or in the neighboring fields, but have no fear. You cannot miss your destination. The path is clear before you. It may turn unexpectedly from time to time, but that too is a blessing. Fear not those turns; they too have a purpose-- to make the journey easier. The contours of the terrain been recognized and the path laid with understanding. Walk it joyfully and freely, voicing the song of your Spirit as you go.

Donald Carl Eugster  
May 17, 1965

F.S.Sullivan  
31805 Bond St.  
Farmington Mich 48024

Return Postage  
Guaranteed

Aug. 3, 1965

Subscription rate:  
\$2.00/Epoch--13 Issues  
\$5.00/Eon-- 5 Issues