

THE SECOND TERMINAL

Numero 56

Franklin S. Sullivan D. Scn.  
Entrepreneur

PRETENSE

It is being evidenced that the element of "pretense" constitutes an index of case status which is most accurate, pertinent, and easy to assess. All indicators I know of point to its address as the most effective via to catalyze change.

The basis of "pretense" as this wordsymbol is herein used is-- the willingness to and ability to in actuality of one's own universe -- create one's own mental image pictures. Any pertinence to common agreement is co-incidental.

Relation to four universes:

REACTIVE UNIVERSE..unreality..hallucination..other-determinism.  
No thingness..Thinkingnesses--which are ABOUT things..the misemotions as they own us..symbols, as they control us..fixed solids of eatingness/sex. mystery, unknowingness. 2nd--6th dynamics

PHYSICAL UNIVERSE..reality.."truth"as agreement..conditioned (as with Pavlov's dog) agreement--including non-differentiation between other-determined hallucination and the Theta-Determined creations as mockups, which constitute one's own--games--universe.1st dynamic.

GAMES UNIVERSE..pretense-- mockups, actuality,(per scn. axioms)...  
Look, Thought (evaluation), emotion (deciskon), effort (command) act..Thetan Determinism.. 7th dynamic.

CREATIVE UNIVERSE..truth..total oneness..absolute actuality--but contains ALL gradients leading thereto.

OK, so we have heard at least parts of this expressed in different ways-- different words. Same, or at least similar meaning.

A PERSON IS ABLE TO THE DEGREE THAT HE IS CAPABLE OF DIFFERENTIATING THAT WHICH IS PURPORTED BY: 1. THE BANK, as hallucinatory facsimiles; 2. THE REALITY OF MEST, this bodytime identity-- as agreement; 3. ONE'S OWN GAMES UNIVERSE, HIS CREATIONS WITHIN THIS SPACE. In aberrated status quo, his universe is cluttered with decayed to fossilized creations.

This is not ability per se-- but a requisite to Ability. Differentiation is herein made between Thetan Ability, and this bodytime stimulus-response ability.

A Huborg key-out process will free ability. It is necessary to remove the solids from the bank, not just push them out to left field--in order to be able to make our Ability available

Also, we have much higher abilities to pretend at the beginning of cycles, like with little kids. Same, with the beginning of a marriage. Same at the beginning of \_\_\_\_\_ -- well, you name it.

And, as we are amid many longer than bodytime cycles, these, also, greatly

effect the ability to pretend-- the ability to rise above the imposed compulsion that that which we seek to achieve lies only in the determinations resultant of the application of data contained within the problem itself.

Hey! If that last paragraph did not register, take another chaw on it. Like I have said previously, the answer lies with data of greater magnitude than the problem itself. Like the shell game, nobody ever won against MEST totally on MEST's terms. It is only when the reality-truth that everything below the Static is gradient pretense--is a problem which can be solved, dissolved only by understanding the pretense. Sure, the little pea is not under ANY of the shells.

There is a bottom non-gradient. Ron has touched on it, practically said it. Maybe he did say it when I was not around. But, he used to talk about going down the spiral to-- mud. But that is not the bottom. Even mud is some sort of a pretense. Mud is just thought that got solidier and solidier. There is some Life in everything in the 6th dynamic.

Not so with mathematics. It's DAID. Like Ron used to say. "Two what plus two what equals four what." From the first alter-ness of an original creation down below mud-- to mathematics, no two things are precisely equal.

Zero ranges from the ain't of everything in mathematics to the nothingness of static. A wild variable, like Ron says. It is a zero of what?

Just come to realize that this is a basis of Vacuum Cleaning Procedure. Something is mocked up-- like a redhead. We unmock it, What is the vacuum? It is a zero, a nothingness of, a vacuum for-- redheads.

So, in both the non-gradient absolutes of both the origin of all, the Static, as eighth dynamic without mass, energy, time, wavelength of vibration, without..... there is no pretense; in the empiricism of mathematics, there is no pretense.

Two plus two equals four. Period. This is a stable datum. This is certainty. From this, and other mathematical certainties you can hang other data and hang and hang and hang.... Then maybe after while one can tolerate the randomness of the fact that mud is not all of the same. Then as there is more Life, there is more differentiation.

Again-- At the bottom of the expanded "Know to Mystery" scale-- at unknowingness, there is no stable data. This is even below mathematics, etc. What is wrong with the psychotic? He has no stable datum, or is one step up--at mystery.

Herein, one can have at least a singularity of hallucination--as a stable datum. Like, "I am Napoleon!"

IN THE MAIN, THE REACTIVE SCALE ARE GRADIENTS WHICH ARE MORE AND MORE SOCIALLY ACCEPTABLE--SANE--AS IT RISES. As it continues upwards, it consists of more and more repertory of hallucination. An hallucination is-- an enforced, otherdetermined pretense.

So, at the level of bodies, i.e. "eatingness, sex," the hallucinations at least have some common agreement. his mark symbols are, graphically, facsimiles which are consolidated thru some identity of common affinity. The symbol, itself, which can be keyed in, out by an affinity of thinkingness-- has many components. These peel off one at a time in Vacuum Cleaning Procedure.

Each of these on up become more distinguishable as other-determined impose pretenses. They are other-determined as they key in at their own volition. It is the similarity/identity of their subject matter and that which occurs in present time which pulls them in. Thereupon you have no election. THEY command.

It is obvious that I am describing Theta-Determined, creative pretense in terms of what it is not. This is more communicable because this understanding and this creative pretense itself is not common.

As with the difference between "meaning" and "words," which I brought out quite some time ago-- and which Hubbard later made so much ado about. A word can be reduced to zero, and its meaning determined, (8-8008). Or else one can become the effect of the bank mass which is keyed in by the word. We become its victim. It presents a facsimile/mass which says, "This is the same as \_ \_ \_ \_," or "This means that \_ \_ \_ \_."

Have you ever said something to a person, then had them say, "Are you telling me that (something entirely different than what you said)?" Now, if you do other than "No, I said, (repeating precisely what you said the first time)," prepare to enter a circular argument.

A person who is being the victim of the other-determinism of the reactive mind is a person who aspires to solids. This is just another way of expressing the meaning that he is seeking CERTAINTIES, something to depend upon, outside of himself. The compulsive need of SOLID certainties provides the breeding ground for aberration.

The heck of it is that this follows the MEST laws of karma, inverse vectors, etc. The more that one achieves control and excellence in thinkingness, the more solid, materialistic, he becomes... the more he looks for answers in terms of analogies, which he considers to be "the same as." The apex is logic, with the Aristotelian absolutes as paramount. As this machine takes over, he cannot have thought. He cannot have emotion, as the machine decides for him. So, he becomes subject to his misemotions-- which he stolidly and stoically proceeds to control with force-- force screens, etc. Then he cannot have them. So, he seeks answers in signs and symbols-- until they become valuable--- and he cannot have them-- so all of the way down.

You see, the highest level of available truth-- the original creation--the original pretense is not solid. As it is agreed upon-- or we impose it upon others, others impose it upon us, etc. it becomes more and more solid. It is no longer owned as our creation. It follows Ron's DEI scale-- desire, enforce, inhibit.

Most important-- AS IT GOES DOWN THE DEI SCALE, IT BECOMES MORE AND MORE SEEEERIOUS. That was what happened to Christ's beautiful teachings-- when they got solid. that is what is happening in Scientology as it is getting more and more solid. Solids are the god of the reactive mind. This holds equally true whether it is said about Marxist materialism, scientific materialism, a fundamental god/idol, or a great leader.

The this bodytime personality has been brought about in order to cope with this reactive mechanism, but really is the effect of it. It is what is referred to in some religions as the "soul." In Huborg Scientology, it is referred to as the Thetan. In all religions, including Scientology, there is confusion, non-differentiation between soul/thetan and ourselves as Spirit, a singularity of viewpoint of Supreme Creativity.

Ron's scientology-- as a science-- was presented from the viewpoint of Spirit. But, Dr. L. Ron Hubbard says that this makes people "queezy" so does not practice it. Huborg Scientology is offered with the assumption that you ARI the reactive bank, a this bodytime personality. Their therapy seeks to oil, polish your reactive machinery, to substitute Dr. L. Ron Hubbard for yourself, as Spirit, in controlling it. Dr. L. Ron Hubbard 's goal, as openly avowed, is to conquer the world. Spirit as such is unconquerable-- so it must be banished-- and it is, in modern Huborg address, otherwise Dr. LRH could not conquer.

In order to get a still better understanding of pretense, let us start from the beginning.

As per first scn. axiom, "Life is basically a static." Static is start-- but any Life as we can perceive it manifested is departure from Static....is pretense. Does that idea make you queezy? It will, to the degree that you are trying to understand what I am talking about-- from the viewpoint of your this bodytime personality. From that attitude, solids are stable data: if it were shown that the multitudes of sacred icons were not ultimately real, we would have nothing, we would be lost. By "sacred" I mean-- that over which one has no control.

But, as we set up our first pretenses, made agreements with others that they were real, it was fun. That is, until the first time that we reached the agreed upon expiration time of the game, and some of those who had assumed the games' valence imposed on us that the "game would last forever." We were trapped! We recalled our former freedom, and lost determinism. As an escape, we tried to create our own games universe free of this enslavement-- and others considered us the equivalent of psychotic. But, when groups endeavored to do this, it was a "heaven" white side stuff. Then, we flipped to the "black side" after we became too bored with this pseudo-serenity. An infinity of patterns were woven thru the eons.

But, we, as Spirit have never forgotten entirely that we as Life basically ARE the Static. This is usually beyond conscious comprehension. But, we do, especially at the beginning of cycles, get at least a feeling of our past better games. The most usual one is the "home universe" concept which Ron has brought out. This seems real to my preclears and myself-- tho practically nothing found in Hubbard's "History of Man" has ever come up freely from anybody I have audited.

When a baby is crying, if you make a mockup of the beautifully colored streamers which seem to typify "home universe," the baby will immediately stop crying. I have done this a number of times. The last time was in another city. I was with a group of UFO fans, at a private home. There were about a half dozen standing bragging quite considerably about their abilities, discoveries, etc. Suddenly, a baby in a nearby bedroom started to cry. One of them remarked about it. I had been silent up to then. I remarked, "Oh, that can be easily taken care of," snapped my fingers. I simultaneously mocked up home universe in front of the baby, in the bedroom. It stopped crying instantly. Home Universe was/is a relatively prime pretension. You know, in less than ten seconds, I was standing there all alone. They were interested ONLY in talking ABOUT abilities. This they share with Huborg. They only talk ABOUT abilities. Excepting lately they have gotten mysteriously fearsome. "These processes can be handled only by those who have entered the sixth heaven. If any lesser person tries it, it will be daaangerous."

The creation of a pretense, a first postulate manifestation, is something less than the ultimacy of Static-- from below. That is the original act which led to our entrapment. We have never forgotten that. The present perversion of this is to the effect that if you pretend, create, you are but constructing. another trap. Our unwanted creations clutter our reactive mind-- whereas any evidence of completed cycles do not exist there-- can be recovered in recall only by reaching knowing and recalling. Slavemaster implantation, solid religion bring about a positive certainty that we cannot create for ourselves-- cannot pretend- we must agree, conform.

We become convinced that only solids can be depended upon. So, we seek something solid to depend on. So, we become solid religionists, maybe materialists, depending on peculiarities of environment. We substitute for substitute for substitute..... for substitute the incidentals of local environment for ultimate truth. We have lost the ability to pretend.

Again, I refer to Ron's triangle of Phila. Doc. Course, 1952. At the apex is ultimate truth. Within the triangle are lesser truths, deteriorating and complicating as we go down. Each of us, at any time have relative truths which can be represented by starting from this point within the triangle and projecting downward parallel to each of the two sides-- forming another small triangle. We can really understand only that which is within this smaller triangle. But it is based on the "ultimate" truth at its apex. This is a fixity over which we have no control. It cannot be audited frontally, because this is what we consider ourselves to BE. It is where we live. It is REAL. It is total non-pretense.

Of course, attitudes on politics, religion are taken for granted in this category. Now, decide that you are going to hate me for this next remark. You probably will. "Over in India they have sacred cows, in this country, we have three kinds of sacred cows-- advertising men, labor union leaders, integrationists." I tested this by saying it to several groups. I am still missing a few tail feathers. Try it-- if you dare.

Pretensions vs lies. These referred to fixed compulsions, inhibitions are of course, deviant from truth-- but are lies, not pretensions. This differentiation is gradient.

A pretension is a prime creation. This creation could be postulated to last for a moment as a micro second in terms of our agreement on time, or it could be postulated to last up to a moment lasting infinitely long, in terms of our agreement on time.

The subject matter is inconsequential. It might be something which had never been created before. It may be something trite, usual. Immaterial:..... That creation, that pretense is totally unique, even tho it is a perfect duplicate of a physical universe object.

One might create a number of copies of the same creation, or mockup. Yet, each one is as unique as it would be if the subject itself were prime creation. It is of such that our games universe IS.

True SHARING is a sharing of pretenses. Therein is the fun of interaction of comm. In practice, this gradiently deteriorates to a point typified by two victims sharing the same plague. This is an expression of the cause-to-effect scale. At cause, we share the same mocku(s), without vias; without the alter-ness of thinkingness, filtering thru a misemotion, nor symbols such a words, to approximate meanings...thereby limiting understanding. You know, an approximation is really an alter-ness.

Ron started out by giving us an understanding of pretenses--tho he actually used this word quite scantily. It was thru running his Creative Processing that I was first able to get a precise reality upon, and differentiation between the Dynamics. Like, as we are basically a valence of the Supreme Creator-- how can we in any way be such, if we deny our ability to create/pretend? Any person suspected by Huborg to be other than in the valence of Dr. L. Ron Hubbard, can expect to have his franchise jerked without explanation, at any moment. There might be words accompanying the excommunication-- but they will not be very well related to facts.

You see, a person operating on their own Determinism is not controllable. This would be utterly intolerable to Dr. L. Ron Hubbard. He has been mixing up that which is of the seventh dynamic with that which is of the first dynamic, undifferentiatively. He is now adding injury to insult in his so-called O.T. procedures, by further polishing up the Hub-determined first dynamic, and calling it the seventh dynamic.

Up to now, my purpose in this writing has been to expose that pretenses are not bad, as the 6th dynamic and its minions are wont to project-- that they are bad. Gotta be practical, conform, agree...continue the downward spiral.

Ron's best demonstration that I know of, that MEST is clearer realer, to the degree that you can disagree with it: Close your eyes. See the room, and mock up every item in the room different than it appeared. Open your eyes, and look around. In every case that this was done, the room then seemed realer, clearer than it did before.

Please understand that the changing of it is done solely to assure that you put it there, as your own created pretense. It could be at least as clear and real if you accepted it just as it is, duplicated it perfectly.

As everything in the physical universe is in imitation of that which is Spiritual--the usurption of the facsimile for the Theta-determined duplicate mockup is difficult to discern. Your own determined cause is returned as you invalidate the automaticity of the facsimile machine. This was accomplished in Ron's Creative Processing. It is similarly accomplished in running Vacuum Cleaning Procedure.

From the viewpoint of MEST/physical-this-bodytime-personality, anything which is solid, and is capable of superior force, is capable of forcing us to agree that it is there, that it has significance. THIS IS NON-PRETENSE. Or, one might call it, more accurately, enforced pretense.

Pretense follows the DEI scale. Like every other veritibility. The desire level is games pretense; then one must adhere to other-determined prescribed, then enforced pretenses; then, mostly at the reactive level, a zero of pretense is approached. "Only those things which are solid, which have the authority of force are true. All else is hallucination." So, as we agree to this, we, as Spirit more and more deny our Beingness, neurotically seek to "prove" that we ARE by being the identity of the solids of the body and the solids of the bank.

PRETENSE and SIGNIFIGENCE form a workable dicotomy.

The reactive bank could be accurately described as, a depository of agreed upon hallucination. Hallucination is virtually always fossilized pretenses.

We become the victim of hallucination as we are more and more unable to create our own pretenses. It is, again, just a matter of vacating, denying cause in a space in our games universe. Hallucinations, any bank manifestation, are other determined-- and move in to occupy the void we brought about thru the denial of responsibility for that space. It is usually an "unwanted creation," as Hubbard has called it, which we deny, originally. It will be found to be some agreement to depreciate/deny some Spiritual Ability. When the mass is sufficiently complex, our non-confront/forgetfulness makes it impossible to as-iss it, we can be comfortable, happy--incidentally more controllable-- only if we do not resist it. As it continues to impose itself-- we agree with it; we set up a valence which has no more than the agreed upon--now enforced-- reduced Abilities.

So, we get more and more reality, positive certainty that MEST only can be depended upon. So, we depend on it more and more, find it more comfortable, and that we become happier as we succumb, become more and more controllable. Then we meet the great teacher/slavenaster who tells us, "You can be cause again, only by becoming my effect--doing just what I tell you to do--!" And we have really been HAD.

No--really, it is not as bad as all of that. Like the comm I received yesterday from a doctor. "Please find enclosed...for subscription...please send issue in which Vacuum Cleaning Procedure is explained...Some of your comments about LRH I do not agree with simply because his stable data seems in the main so right for livingness. I, too, could be said to be in LRH's valence in many things about life, and yet at the same time, I feel I will find myself after having found Mr. LRH in my life. Nevertheless, keep up with your letters."

Great! If LRH is used as a substitute for determinism, to establish a stable datum, a certainty that there is a path up out of this jungle, you have started up out of the jungle....IF you recognize him or any other, other-determinism only as a means, not an end. I mean, herein, something like a scaffold on a building. That which one cannot freely reach toward and withdraw from is a trap. **THE QUALITY, QUANTITY OF THE BAIT IS IRRELEVANT.** In fact aesthetics, high level of truth is superior bait.

You can OWN anything-- including Scn.--to the degree that you can regard it as a pretension. One can then duplicate it in your games universe, make it a game--reach toward it and withdraw from it at will.

It may be coming out sounding different-- but I thoroly recognize that a comfortable and happy first dynamic is necessary requisite to Being on the seventh dynamic. Dr. LRH leans too far in saying that his first dynamic address will take us there-- so I lean too far, in contradiction.

Of course, I am tricky, too. Do you realize that you would not have been able to understand much of anything about this philosophy of pretense--unless you took a seventh dynamic viewpoint?

I again represent that one operates as a Thetan, gradiently-- beginning from his first mockup--pretense. He augments as Operating Thetan as he becomes more and more familiar with, has actuality upon himself as seventh dynamic, Spirit--creative pretense.

OK. So, let's pretend-----

-----  
 MORE ON --- WORDS  
 Ref. 2nd T #48-- WORDS

The ref. article was printed about a year ago-- before Dr. LRH had brought at least to my attention, his present address to words and learning. This is consistent with the fact that back some years ago, the subject of six consecutive Congresses were preceded by 2nd Ts, each dealing with the subject matter of the Congress which followed it.

**LEARNING VS UNDERSTANDING.** Back ten or more years ago, Ron discouraged mildly, not taking while he lectured. His avowed purpose was to project meaning understanding. The words he spoke were designed to but direct our attention in areas of address-- similar to the way that is done in good auditing. At that time, his teachings and practice did not contradict one another; and this was in accord with 8-8008. As he spoke, we duplicated his words, reduced them to zero, re-created them in our own universe.

I am now about to contrast this with what is being done now. The point is that Ron first tried to use Theta comm--but found but small response--then. Any element of blame is not present in this writing.

For the past few years, DR. LRH has insisted upon memorizing words, like axioms, those flighty HCO bulletins which are subject to change at any moment.

I have often wondered-- how much more pertinent it would have been, had he required students to memorize Dianetic Axioms which are certainly applicable to life. The only imaginable purpose of doing this is to force obedience. Word memorizing is learning--involves understanding only in an incidental way.

Learning is a stimulus-response reaction; the bringing about of facsimile duplication, mechanically. Understanding, as per Ron-- is Affinity, Reality, Communication. RON SOUGHT TO DISSEMINATE SCIENTOLOGY THRU UNDERSTANDING; DR LRH SEEKS TO DISSEMINATE SCIENTOLOGY THRU LEARNING. Still, there is nothing, excepting Huborg to keep you from Looking-- as seventh dynamic-- evaluating, deciding, commanding, acting--OWNING scientology.

The fact that even trained Scnists are still stuck on words, merely reflects that Huborg, 1st dynamic, mechanical processing does not raise people above the level of symbols. It is doubtful if the present address of words, learning will accomplish this-- as it is still using data of no greater magnitude than the problem, itself. MEST, reactive problems are rigged to be unsolvable. But they can be dissolved using understanding-- knowledge of greater than similar magnitude. The 7th dynamic can understand; the 1st dynamic can but learn. So, folks, maybe if we creatively pretend--we can dissolve our compulsions, inhibitions which frustrate our Spiritual Beingness-- and BE.

---

Should you be curious about Vacuum Cleaning Procedure-- seventh dynamic processing-- let me know. You see, I am quite a gadabout-- and nayhaps I might be traipsing thereabouts. I am renewing offer of introductory processing for out-of-pocket expense.

---

Franklin S. Sullivan  
31805 Bond St.  
Farmington Mich.  
48024

RETURN POSTAGE  
GUARANTEED