

## THE SECOND TERMINAL

Numero 54

Franklin S. Sullivan D.Sc.  
Entrepreneur

### GOOD INTENTION

The usual, casual trite definition of good intention is, "something that hell is paved with." Of course, anything that hell is paved with is bad, so by this logic, good intentions are bad. Not so, but with a couple of more bounces, there is a relationship.

Some weeks ago, I was confronting the series of engrams which occurred during and after the time of Amen-hotep III (Akhaton)/Nefertitti, around 1500 BC, in Egypt, during which time the implants were applied which provide a main force to present bodytime PDHes. The "blacks" took over Egypt so effectively that anyone with any Spiritual awareness was thoroly degraded.

My concern was that those nearest and dearest to me were entrapped, that they, under this malinfluence, committed atrocities against their Thetan partners, and others close to them. When running this from inside of the engrams, there seemed to be no way thru. It simply would not reduce. With Vacuum Cleaning Procedure, the layer upon layer seemed interminable. It was like trying to run out the bank with a single process.

Then, when running Dramanatomy, in New York, I decided to KNOW the solution. Came: "Was there a good intention?"

There was! These black siders, Horeembub etal, had PDHed many of us who were either less able potentially, gone downscale, or both. These gals decided that they were going to rescue them.

Of course, nothing solid in the physical universe is either good or bad. These black siders were possessed of real truths, which they used in their teachings-- with hookers. When the gals had lowered their defenses they were suddenly betrayed, subjected to horrendous experiences-- very much including sexual degradation.

When such occurs, the only safe valence to be is that of the PDHer-- the winning valnce. They were hypnotised to lure their Thetan partners, others into this trap. This really fixed them in the Horeemabub valence. As themselves, they had lost control, almost totally-- which is terror. So, in order to consider themselves as being, a valence is set up which can function in the conditions of a local environment. One is then "adjusted," "sane." The person goes thru the steps listed in 2ndT #53...escape..hide..unconsciousness..masquerade.

This could, of course, be expressed as terminal/opterm in Scientology. We originally consider ourselves as light. The games pattern is imposed upon us-- by us-- as non-light. When the game decays, overpowers us, and this non-light, or what later is blackness predominates, becomes the winning valence, we become it. After this cycle is run, we try to return to Beingness, but what we get is whiteness, instead. There are four discernible levels, many sub-levels-- as per issue #29 2nd T. The harder we swing one direction, the more latent force is accumulated to swing us in the other direction. It is these violent swings which makes up the downward spiral. Every time one swings into one side, it is heavier, messier, more other-determined than was the previous one, as with each swing, mass accumulates. The dissipation of these masses-- not merely keying them out-- is an important and essential ingredient in the reversing of this spiral.

When I was a kid, I lost all interest in Sunday School when the teacher said the devil was bad, but could not explain what made him bad. He ran Hell and punished sinners...but God also punished. Were cops and judges and jailers devils? When finally, I found him so ignorant that he could not even tell me who made God, I left. When, again, I found gobbledy-gook of at least equal magnitude being tossed out by our Huborg Sunday School Teacher-- again, I left. Like:

"In auditing, we address the Thetan, the Spirit, only---"

"The Thetan is ethical-- good and evil, or badness have no basis other than opinion."

"Man is basically good."

"Confess your sins, transgressions-- but call them overts."

The Spirit, sins, transgresses? Must be-- only the Spirit is addressed, and the confession of the transgression sayeth not otherwise. There is a zero differentiation here: Spirit = games player = this life personality = bankc = A = A = A =A =A=a=a. So, in Huborg, they talk ABOUT the Spirit, while Dr. L. Ron Hubbard enforces mainly only those processes which bring about one becoming more controllable, comfortable, happybeing the valence of Dr. L. Ron Hubbard.

The upward spiral consists of gradiently, understanding of the differences between the other-determinism of the bank, present life personality and attending agreements, ourselves as a Theta-determined games player, ourselves as Beingness, a "spark of the Divine," or name your interpretation of same. Ron did this in scientology\* before he got "organized." He is not the only fount of knowledge, as I have mentioned. In fact, for example, I have just read in Alice Bailey's "Treatise on Cosmic Fire," just how that which I am doing in Vacuum Cleaning Procedure fits in with the over all planning-- and knowledge. As with Ron years ago-- I had obscured the knowledge, but have known which direction to proceed.

Also, I have been following a policy which seems to be in common with those who seek to help, without enslaving. They do not organize, make themselves SOLID to the extent that they have to, by MEST agreement, interject just as much "bad" with the "good," as in a formal, solid religion-- including DR. L. Ron Hubbard's Scientology. Any Thetan can be ethical just so long as he does not make a "game" out of it, try to subjugate the determinism of other Thetans, "in the name of," etc.; recruit because, "we alone are the sole possessors of truth, and we can help these ignorant mortals by making them like us."

And all of this is borne of good intention. It is indicated that good intention is one step higher than the person's chronic location on the Hubbard's Attitude Chart.

\*Again, scientology-- non-capped-- refers to the contribution Ron has made to humanity, which can readily, non-bindingly reached toward, withdrawn from, evaluated on the differentiation, not identification, level. When the word Scientology-- capitalized is used, it refers to the personal, rigid, trap game, which is most sacredly copyrighted, that Dr. L. Ron Hubbard is playing with. I feel that I can BE a scientologist to the degree that I am not a Scientologist.

BAD INTENTION

What is considered, opined to be good intention is generally that which occurs in the early, interest, aspiration, adventure part of a game. This is modified by the co-occurrence of the person's being in the latter stages of a larger cycle of action-- wherein, he will tend to be a bit more seerious. He, as a "spark" of Theta, gets pleasure in the randomness, uncertainty, high action of the game at high attitude chart levels. Fun-- as the interaction of communication.

As the game passes maturity, AND IF HE SUCCUMBS TO THE BLACK SIDE INFLUENCE, IDENTIFIES HIMSELF WITH THE GAME, AGREES THAT THE SOLIDS OF THE GAME ARE MORE IMPORTANT THAN THETANS, AND THAT THE GAME MUST GO ON FOREVER; he slips downward from Player to Assistant Player, to Piece (as in chess). For example, years ago, we really believed Ron when he said that we should take what he says, evaluate it, make it our own-- disagree, if that is the way it bounced. Of course, he still says the same thing-- but there is but minor, token evidence that there is any sincerity in it. All major action is to the opposite.

For example, take Mike and Ruth Moran. They created the beautiful mock-up of Scientology Vermont. Live or camp in a beautiful, clean environment, as contrasted with the gucky city environment of Wash, NY, LA. I wrote them, congratulating them, gave them addresses of people I knew of in their vicinity. So, what happens? They are selling out, to go to St. Hill. Scientology Vermont was a creative action, and the Morans were players. Insofar as they were dispensing Scientology, they were assistant players. All so plausible. But, all that ever comes back from St. Hill are unhappy players-- happy pieces. Typical plausibility: "You know, the more self-determined I get, the more and more I agree with Hubbard." The unhappy ones: the indigestibles. But maybe they will get them the next round.

This is a typical pattern. Felt the same way when I visited Chuck Berner several years ago, when he was closing Riverside, to go back to St. Hill. Red Shea and Dolores Stokes are leaving the Dallas Center. They founded, and really owned that place. These are just examples of a pattern. No one can own anything whatsoever in Scientology. Dr. L. Ron Hubbard owns it all-- and Dr. L. Ron Hubbard is a jealous god. Independent groups were busted up by Dr. L. Ron Hubbard's black angels, several years ago. No one can tell me just how they did it, but there were groups before they came to town, none after they left.

So-- does Dr. L. Ron Hubbard have bad intention, as he goes about collecting Thetan "scalps?" Heck no! All of this can be taken for evidence, per se, that he is also creating and bringing about good effects in MEST. About the only reason that I take my perverse attitude toward Huborg is to tend toward balance, that the fledglings might try their wings.

Conversely, I theroely understand that reversing the spiral includes:

1. A status at beginning of uncertainty, no control, chaos of variables, plus randomness-- prior to processing, training.
2. Establishment of a single stable datum, solid. An other-determinism, such as Huborg can serve this function.
3. Take command over the this life personality. YOU BE YOUR OWN STABLE DATUM, without dependency on other determinism. This, on the principle that a good general must first be a good souldier. In order to take charge of one's this life, reactive, animal personality, one uses first a via; makes solids real, puts things into focus. To effect this-- Scientology is the most effective, almost the only means, I know of. For that reason I am constantly referring people to Scientology Centers-- as appropriate.

4. Same list. With yourself as THE Stable Datum, expand via communication to other dynamics. This is fun-- as pleasurable uncertainty....Again, as interest, aspiration, adventure. That is flying. And the games player moving relatively toward more and more Thetan Operation does so totally on his own determinism. This is COURAGE, the only courage that there is. It is the coward who does things "in the name of" Jahvah, the sacred Cause, the Party, our great leader-- including Dr. L. Ron Hubbard. As one's own determinism is slowly dissolved, and Hubbard-determinism is substituted, as is "accomplished" at St. Hill, and "the more self-determined I get, the more I agree with Hubbard," and existing for so long in an environment wherein any origination of his own is considered "bank," and any origination of Dr. LRH is mechanically accepted, solely on the merit of its point of origin-- one, of course, becomes less himself, more and more in the valence of DR. LRH. These people can become O.T.?!? Such is moving in the opposite direction, toward O.V.--Operating Valence.

Bad intention here? No. Just the karmatic balancing off of "good" intention, and every bit as natural as a bowel movement. In any level of truth above present games agreement, there is no such thing as bad intention or good intention. Both are reactions. As per below-----

#### JUSTIFICATION VS BALANCING

As per Ron's Theta-MEST theory, Theta enters MEST, to conquer it. From my viewpoint, he does it to enjoy it. Anyhow, as each cycle is run, Theta seeks to withdraw. But, MEST is a trap. It purposes to hold Theta, the Thetan, so that he cannot withdraw, clear his decks to start a new cycle. It is, I feel, at least as accurate to say that MEST-- and the Thetans (black siders) allied with MEST-- seek to conquer Theta and Thetans, thru entrapment and entanglement. White siders are entrapped thru resisting MEST worldliness, as wickedness, etc.-- and mainly thru not-issing it. Yep. That which thou not-isseth, thou art... Like resisting, only more so.

Black side manifestation can be well expressed in terms of "justification;" white side activity in terms of "balancing," karma. As good and bad are but two sides of the same coin-- both but add mass to the bank, thereby add to the entrapment, as they both work on the basis of an added figure-figure consideration, to key out.

Justification, of course, occurs wherein there is some act(s) which cannot be confronted. It takes many forms. The simplest is, of course, to keep on doing the same thing. This "proves" that there was nothing wrong about it. Like, in my vile and evil lifetime in Philadelphia in early 1700s, immigrant girls came over from Europe, and housewives would go down to the docks, hire them there as servants. My mother hired a pretty little gal. Then, as was quite customary, I proceeded to make love to her, got her pregnant. She really loved me-- and when I would not marry her because I was an "aristocrat" she took poison. In the processing, this was symbolized by a fence-- which turned out to be the fence around the house in Philly.

Found out later that this gal was the same one who did me in, in the incidents of about 1200+ in Egypt, previously referred to. Magnificent significance: this was balancing, opterm or something.

Anyhow, the rest of that lifetime I was a no good, worthless, devil, especially with women. Kept right on doing the same thing to justify the first one. Had a buddy who ran a place down the Delaware River. Had a tavern, gals, made his own liquor and his own prostitutes. He sent women up to the

boats, posed as housewives, hired the prettiest of the lot. I assisted in breaking them in-- getting them a bit tight, seducing them, helping to convince them afterwards that they did it for money. They were "broken in" because they could not thoroly confront the degradation, betrayal, so little duress was necessary for them to repeat the act for pay, as this justified the first one. A new valence is set up-- a pretense, a masquerade-- in which the new course of action is plausible.

The same is involved in this bodytime, and ancient PDHes and implants. It was noted in running present bodytime PDHes that there would often be one or two people just standing there looking stupidlike. Finally, one time I got the command, "you are doing this." These, it seems, were neophyte PDHers. They were being convinced that they were, already, PDHers. This really socks them in hard into the identification valence level with the PDHer, or group of PDHers. They agree, because if they did not, they might have to confront.

It is indicated that something like the above transpired when these gals went in to save the "children" from enslavement by the Amon priests, in Egypt. The standard operating procedure is to welcome the victims, show them that they have been misjudging them with their evidence of the "good" side of their operation. They preached everything that the gals agreed with. Then, when they had gotten their confidence, betrayal, degradation, rotten sex, perversion-- just about everything that would cause one to dispise one's self. They are then easy prey to further and further hypnotisi- until they are willing to betray those they love the dearest..... Why? Because they feel that they have betrayed themselves, first.

What is self-betrayal? SELF-BETRAYAL IS THE DENIAL OF ONE'S BEINGNESS. It matters not the circumstance, innocence of reason. It is like Ron used to put it. "You were hit by the truck? What you doing there in the first place?"

Again: the important difference between a role and a valence... A role is the assumption of identity in a good game, for the fun of it. It occurs in conjunction with a Theta-determined cycle of action... Start--change--stop. A role can be reached toward, withdrawn from. It is unaberrated, unaberrative. It is not a part of the descending spiral.

A valence is the other-determined identity which comes about after the downward running of a physical universe birth-growth-maturity-decay-death cycle of action. It is the "masquerade" terminus of the expanded DEI scale described in Issue #53 2nd Terminal. It is at the nadir of a very major emotional curve.... one cannot escape from the hideous environs of the game, cannot even die... are their dispised image... then appeareth the saviour of some sort and sayeth, "Miserable sinner, you are nothing. I am all. Thou canst not be you. You will be me. I will tell you what to think and what to do. You cannot trust yourself. Trust and obey--- only me." Or words to that effect.

The above is really the oft repeated theme of Faust, Dr. Faustus--many others, ancient and modern.

THAT WHICH IS BY OUR PRESENT MEST AGREEMENT AVERRED TO BE BAD, EVIL IS INVARIABLY THE MANIFESTATION OF A VALENCE BROUGHT ABOUT BY THE SURRENDERY OF OUR DETERMINISM.

There is strongly evidenced a gilded rule which says: "Do unto others that which thou hast done to thyself."

Any so-called Overt Act is but the dramatization of a justifier for what one has been done to one's self, is a form of denial of Beingness.

This denial is affirmed, made solid by the avowal that one IS the valence, and the valence only. The valence, itself, operates as a type of lifeform in the physical universe, and has the goal of survive-survive-survive for itself--AND SURVIVAL FOR ALL WITH WHICH IT IDENTIFIES ITSELF WITH. The Spirit is immortal and knows it; the valence is not immortal-- and knows it. The valence therein allies itself with MEST-- is a part of the trap. Thereby, from the viewpoint of the Spirit, it is an other-determinism.

Does that seem far fetched to say that?

From the viewpoint which Ron assumed at the time of the Philadelphia Doctorate Course--it is not. He took the viewpoint of the Thetan as a viewpoint of Spirit, the Supreme Creator. He taught us, thru Creative Processing, to disagree with the compulsive dictums of MEST. This leads toward the ability to agree/disagree with anything in any universe.

I was a mess when I started in scientology. But, I ran these processes, raised my determinism to the point that when Hubbard began saying in 1954-5, "You will agree with me-- or else--" but not quite that bluntly, I retired and took a look. During this six months I really emerged. Processing can remove some of the obstacles. But, nothing will really happen Spiritually, unless YOU DO IT YOURSELF. YOU find YOU on your own determinism, not on the determinism of, or under the direction of, another determinism.

During the next couple of years, I committed all sorts of miracles, and parlor tricks. And it seemed that others working with me could also--tho I do not know that they could do it with others. In the main, it was pretty doggone lonesome. When Dr. LRH took over he demanded that every scientologist abnegate his Thetan abilities, and "be like everyone else." Any evidence of Thetan ability was evaluated in Huborg as "bank manifestation." They addressed the reactive bank, said that they were addressing the Thetan.

Well, enough of that. Know what happens sometimes? I just now caught myself blaming Ron for not continuing on the same road he started, 1951-4, when I well know that he could not possibly have done this. No one could... unless he just talked about it, and did little or nothing about it. I feel that that attitude of mine is a bit reactive-- like yearning for home universe, or something. I well realize that gradients are necessary. But to disbar any familiarity with Thetan abilities, and say that they are heading toward O.T. is stretching it a bit far. O.V., operating valence, perhaps.

My basic point herein is, again, that we are as well off as we are familiar with the differences between our true Beingness and our artificial valences which we have set up to keep from confronting the decay, death phases of past games.

KARMA. The blacksider continues to run a sort of cycle of continuing to do the thing he cannot confront, until he cannot even confront doing it any more. Then he flips to the white side, tries to atone for his "sins" by being a do gooder. It is then that karma becomes directly or indirectly important to him. He tries to balance things off. So he does good and does good and figures on how good and pure that he is. Actually, this is just another masquerade, which is assumed to hide his naughtiness.

Of course, these cycles can be run rather fast; even in one lifetime. Alcoholism, criminality, nymphomania, any addiction is some sort of dramatization of something one might have done to one's self-- long obscured, of course. Then one gets AA or religion or something and flips. I have run quite a few people who simply flip back and forth lifetime after lifetime, like jojos.

Everybody does this to a degree. Scn. gets it in term/opterm. All that it does is just add to the bank.

### ETHICS

In anything in life, the solution to a problem lies in knowledge and in knowingness of a quality greater than the content of the problem. Data of comparable magnitude will but throw it over on to the other side of the dicotomy-- are at the level of substitute. Being "good" does not balance being "bad" but just adds some more mass to the bank. Like with the apple in the Garden of Eden-- the fruit of good and evil-- not just evil, and good is no more solution to evil, than evil is a solution to good. (Note: re. above premise--mathematic etc. is not life.)

Generally speaking and as per Scn. axioms, a problem is a MESTification. Conversely, a solution is a de-MESTification. Actually, as we cannot totally destroy MEST-- we can but dis-solve it-- alter it irreversibly, as in Vacuum Cleaning Procedure. This can be accomplished only by ourselves as Theta--not a valence. It is postulative-- with no "how" to it...at the level of Ethics.

To summarize: A "bad" person is one who is compulsively repeating justifications for the acts he has committed against himself--degradation. A "good" person is one who is "balancing out" his karma. A person is ethical to the extent that he is free of the degradation of other-determined valence, morality--good or bad, and IS himself as a Spark of The Divine.

Earlier, I mentioned "good" intention, for comm purposes. No such thing. Good and bad as opinions are but reactions, mechanical. The purpose of the valence is, only, survival for itself. It will invariably react to survive, regardless of the effect on anything else-- including the ethical Thetan. It gets most queezy upon the manifestation of true Thetan operation in itself, or in others. It defends itself by pulling in the heavy masses, chains, relating to the decay phase of past games, other engrams of all sort. What is your present valence? Find out what you MUST defend.

The answer? GRADIENTLY differentiate between yourself as Creativity and Determinism who can confront anything, and the valence which says that it is you. Grant the valence beingness for what it is-- a mechanical addendum. Do not resist it, but irreversibly get rid of the masses symbolizing that which you-- as valence-- feel the you must defend. Thus you can more and more be you, ETHICS.

Best action definition of Ethics: The unaberrated behavior of YOU devoid of any moral restraint or threat of punishment. Your actions are naturally right. Man may or may not be basically good. But, as Spirit, he is ETHICS, totally his own stable datum-- and playing interesting games--Start-change-stop with no decay-death identification in the cycle of action. He can reach toward and withdraw from anything. He needs no one else to determine anything for him. This is not to be attained by getting further and further into DR. LRHs valence.

So, what are the progressions? Most valences are psychotic, stuck out of present time. So, as per Ron's early definition, first make the valence neurotic-- stuck in present time. It is then happy. But, do not expect it to be sane-- just plausible. This is the goal of psychiatry and of present Hubbardian psycho-therapy. But, keep it as valence. A valence is controllable. A Thetan is not. If people were not controllable, there would be no solid organization. They might be too much like Sullivan.

Have you forgotten your original purposes on entering scientology? Or were these purposes merely to become more comfortable, more contrrollable, happier? Or do you aspire to be YOU, not just a more mechanically efficient valence? This YOU is a function of ETHICS, not morals. Your Ethics are what you ARE, independent of ANY external influence. There are mechanical reactions which can opined to be "good" or "bad." These are invariably a response to a stimulus. Only you, as a Spirit are capable of intentions which ARE ETHICS.

Ethical intention results in what is commonly referred to as "right," barring aberration. Aberration is imbalance, either direction. It is never confronted that an imbalance of "good" is just as much of an imbalance, as an imbalance of "bad." Silly darned game, isn't it. On my lonesome middle-of-the-road, I get clobbered by both white siders and by black siders. See the scars?

YOU, in your own universe, are not subject to karma--which is essentially cause and effect. So, differentiate between yourself as Spirit--Ethics, determinism, courage, all topside attitudes; mind, soul; and body. Spirit operates on Create, responsible for (willing to control), contribute to, confront, have-- on Ron's Reality Scale. But, realize that we all started in scientology at "created." We have risen to the Huborg level of "must be contributed to," "must be confronted" "had" and somewhat into the figure-figure levels above them. But, do we want to stay at these levels forever?

Prerequisite: HAVE yourself, as your own stable datum. When you need someone else to tell you what is-- you ain't. DIFFERENTIAT THAT WHICH IS OF YOUR OWN DETERMINISM, AND THAT WHICH IS OF OTHER DETERMINISM. BE WILLING TO AGREE/DISAGREE WITH ANYTHING. YOU ARE ETHICS, NOT THE OTHER DETERMINED EVALUATIONS WHICH ARE "MORALS." YOU ARE ETHICAL INTENTION.

You know, people can stand me just so long. One gal told me, "I feel that you are trying to make me be something I can't be." I was apparently, too non-gradient. Got a note from a lovely lady on the west coast, asking for missed old issues: "I do not always agree with you, but I want to read what you have to say." Do I like that! I hope that, even if your bank does not accept all c.f this, at least it will comm on a conceptual level, gradiently.

F.S.Sullivan  
31805 Bond  
Farmington Mich.  
48024

REURN POSTAGE  
GUARANTEED

Subscription: