

THE SECOND TERMINAL

Numero 53

Franklin S. Sullivan D. Scn.
(Founding Member #83)
(1952)

COMPASSION

The word "compassion" has many interpretations-- according to viewpoint.

My present meaning is: Understanding-- then the granting of Beingness.

And, this granting of Beingness is in terms of what the communicant says that they are.... and accepting them just as they are. No significances, considerations, judgments, not even like or dislike.

By "understanding," of course, I mean-- affinity, reality and communication. Without this, we get some inversion on compassion. The lowest probably is the churchianity, "Thou poor infidel, thou worm; thou knowest not while we know all. So, therefore, I will have pity and compassion on thee."

For the sake of categorization, we might call compassion a FEELING. This, to differ from ATTITUDE, such as appears on Hubbard's Attitude Chart. Compassion, like HELP, LOVE or AFFINITY, other feelings have a derivation at every level on the attitude chart. This is further modified by the degree of separateness/unity, i.e. black/white under which one is operating or reacting.

It could be called a "Thetan Attitude" for reference, but it is more accurately, a Thetan Quality. It is something about a Thetan, again, just like HELP, LOVE-- and TERROR. Incidentally, TERROR is the feeling which comes about as a Thetan feels that he has lost control. It is also a function of separateness.

Compassion is obviously a function of Unity. I feel that COMPASSION as Understanding-- then the granting of Beingness, as what the other person says that they are, is as high a quality of Unity which I have now available. I hope to not limit this by either prejudice or predilection.

It is of the nature of the highest order of communication: Occupying the same space, but maintaining one's own identity. It is the condition wherein one can freely reach toward and withdraw from. It is a balance between whiteness and blackness; containing neither white inhibition, nor black compulsion. It is the way of the Balance Tender. It IS balance. It encompasses no master between one's Beingness and the Supreme Creator.

GOALS-- AND THE NEW AND EXPANDED DEI SCALE-----

By DEI Scale, I refer to Ron's scale of that name, circa 1952. It stands for Desire-Enforce-Inhibit. It is like in a game; it is fun at the level of desire. When the game gets serious, enforcement occurs-- obedience becomes paramount... control. As the game further degenerates, the inhibitions-- the "thou shalt not" becomes more important. Play becomes scarcer until it is a matter of-- What game?

In an early issue of the 2nd Terminal, I set down my observations, relative to an expanded DEI Scale. This is a further expansion of this one. Now, please understand that this is not in disagreement with the splendid scales which Ron has given us. A physicist could say, "All the world is physics--." and the chemist could say the same thing about chemistry. Both would be right, and it would be silly to argue about it. (Similarly, I have to watch it that I do not go overboard regarding the importance of the science of the DIRECT handling of mass-- Vacuum Cleaning Procedure-- especially when people who do not understand it, naturally, not-iss it.)

This is a scale of the descending spiral, in terms of diminishing amplitudes of cycles of action. It is as was referred to in an earlier issue, with the analogy of starting out with a large stage, and when the run of the play was over keeping the set there, and working inside of it/using what of it we can. So the stage got smaller and smaller-- until today most of us are lucky to have enough room for a marionette show. Below that, we get religion, where the great one of the religion, like God, or Buddha, or Hubbard owns all of the space.

But, this cycle is evident in the physical universe. It may be more clear if you looked at the possible applications of this scale to, say, a marriage. Of course, the length of time, or emphasis a person puts on any one level is dependent on the content of his bank. An individual may slide thru one level, stick on another, and vica versa for another person. This is the story of declining valences.

THERE IS NOTHING ABERRATIVE IN A GOAL PER SE. IT IS ABERRATIVE ONLY AS A SYMBOL OR OTHER REPRESENTATION OF A FAILED VALENCE. This scale sets down the anatomy of the failed valence. It has its counterparts in other scales of Rön's.

In summary, the levels are:

DESIRE (Good fun games)
 ENFORCE (Control thru regulation)
 INHIBIT (Control thru obstruction)
 DESTROY
 TRY TO ESCAPE FROM (Avoidance)
 HIDE
 UNCONSCIOUSNESS
 DEATH (Pseudo-- as a Thetan cannot die)
 MASQUERADE (Takes on new, lesser valence) (then repeated cycle)

When a valence fails at one level, it drops to the one below it-- and so on down. PLEASE UNDERSTAND THAT THIS APPLIES GRADIENTLY TO THE EXTENT THAT THE CYCLE OF ACTION IS ABERRATIVE. A good fun game is simply start-change-stop, is not aberrative. A bunch of kids could be playing cowboys and indians, and in an hour be playing cops and robbers-- retaining no mass or significances contained therein, from the past game. This scale is found evident to the extent that the seriousness of black enforcement/white inhibition is present.

DESIRE This is but one on our list-- but it is that which we live for. It is wherein there is interest, aspiration, adventure... FUN. We can create effects, enjoy the effects of our creation; equally, we are willing to be the effect of other's creations, and to enjoy their creations. It is the condition of fun as the interaction of communication. This corresponds to "growth" in the Vedic, birth-growth-maturity-decay-death cycle of action.

Perhaps 80 to 95% of our recalls would be pleasure moments-- were it not that the bank foists only engrammic material upon us. THE ADDRESS OF ENGRAMMIC MATERIAL ONLY IS BUT Q-ING AND A-ING WITH THE BANK. A main purpose of the bank is to convince us that EVERYTHING in the past was bad. When one breaks thru this painful crust, one finds-- apple pie. We had a ball.

Optimumply, it is like it has been talked about in P.E. Courses. When one is on a decaying cycle of action-- kill it, start a new one. Better yet, have no shortage of cycles of action, so that when one or more are past maturity, there is not the heavy identification with it. If it cannot be killed-- at least there is not heavy attention on it sufficiently to cause aberration. Yes, those P.E. Courses, comm courses were wonderful.

ENFORCE A kid in good shape can set up the pretenses of cycles of action execute them, destroy them, rapidly. As we mature in the body lifetime cycle of action, this maturity is reflected in less flexibility, differentiation relative to cycles of action. As we assume an associative/identification attitude relative to our body lifetime/society cycles of action, the persistence, survival, regulation of the game becomes more and more important, and the playing of the game just for the fun of it attenuates. One BECOMES valences which are in association/identification with the social structure. Of course, some of us never "grow up" become salesmen, developers-- or even truck drivers. Policemen, accountants and preachers are, of course, much more mature. Like in a marriage, as it matures, it becomes more and more respectable, solid and stolid. As this, gradually progresses, in any cycle of action, it becomes more and more and more seerious.

INHIBIT With this decline, there is a greater and greater assignment of cause to other-determinism. This other-determinism is restriction of the game amplitude authority-- religious, civil, social, etc.

It leads to finally complete identification with the game, abnegation of one's self as a player. He drops to assistant player to piece, as in a chess game, then knowing that there is a game, but is total effect of it. Finally, to broken piece-- a matter of "what game?"

Enforcement is "must have." In it is the binding of religion... of the west. This is adequately dramatized by the Spanish Conquisition. (Tword)((Two word)). It is symbolized by black, which, like other MEST survives by causing others to resist it. As a player, each considers only those things which they control to be good-- everything else-- bad. Hitler, Hubbard, Stalin have had this is common. Below player level, everything with which they have identification HAS to be good; therefore, all else HAS to be bad.

Actually the enforcement(black) and the inhibition(white) are but two sides of the same coin-- with "must have-- but can't have" between them. This level is symbolized by the "shait nots." "You must think positively-- negative thoughts are evil." This, in contrast to the feeling of "Experience anything-- and enjoy it" of the good games player. Another example is the inhibitive use of breathing in Yoga, vs the expansive use of breathing to re-establish the body as a comm terminal-- as in Dramanatomy.

PLEASE UNDERSTAND THAT I AM TALKING ABOUT A CONDITION OF ALMOST INFINITE VARIABLES. IT WOULD BE LESS DIFFICULT TO DESCRIBE THE SCIENCE OF CHEMISTRY, OR THE SCIENCE OF PHYSICS IN A FEW PAGES. ANY EXAMPLE, ETC. IS MOPE LIKE A HEADLINE, NOT THE STORY ITSELF.

SOME MAIN VARIABLES ARE: THE GAMES REFERRED TO COULD BE ANYTHING FROM A BUNCH OF KIDS PLAYING IN THE PARK, OR IT COULD BE ONE OF A PLANET OR GROUP OF PLANETS, LASTING MANY THOUSANDS OF YEARS. THE LENGTH OF TIME THAT ANY ONE PHASE MIGHT LAST, OR ITS ACUTENESS, IS WIDELY VARIABLE. THIS IS FURTHER OBSCURED BY THE FACT THAT EACH OF US IS AMID MANY CYCLES OF ACTION AT ANY ONE MOMENT... SAME FOR OTHER DYNAMICS. WE, AS BODY PERSONALITY ARE GOING THRU CYCLES OF ACTION, WHILE WE AS LARGER GAMES VALENCES ARE ANOTHER PHASES OF GRANDER CYCLES OF ACTION. THE NATURE OF THESE CYCLES WILL VARY WITH THE PHASE OF THE LARGER CYCLE IN WHICH IT OCCURS.

SO, I HEREIN PRESENT A CONCEPT WHICH YOU MAY USE, AND THRU APPLICATION GAIN GREATER REALITY UPON IT-- AS I HAVE.

DESTROY When a viewpoint feels that a game will produce no wins; that they cannot--using it as a via--creates effects; that they cannot control it, they will, gradiently, to totally, try to destroy it.

In a marriage, for example, when one partner feels that they no longer create the degree of effect on the other one which they desire to, try to do what they can to restore the evidences of love. Then they will endeavor to impose their status on their partner-- enforcing it as a sense of duty. Failing this, they will seek to destroy the determinism in their partner

TRY TO ESCAPE FROM There is more accent on this phase, than is generally appreciated-- when it is referred to as failing in trying to "not-iss" or destroy. "Destroy" is extraversive-- and failing herein, one introverts the destruction, and from here on down vents destruction on one's own baingness.

Really, "destroy" is trying to "not-iss" in the physical universe, and failing this, one turns against one's self-- and this increases down thru, "hide," "unconsciousness," "death." These could be said to be projections of "escape."

"Control," "Stop," "Destroy," are Black Side activities. With too many losses, one then becomes the winning valence, i.e., opponents/the game itself. As he identifies with the game he has the compulsion that the game must continue forever. So, when one reaches the "destroy" phase, he is met by the "defenders of MEST. They do not seek to defend the game as a fun game-- they are below that. They seek only to defend the solids of the game. So, when he seeks to "destroy" the game-- the defenders seek to destroy his abilities-- including his Theta abilities to destroy... the game. When he seeks to escape, after being defeated, he vacates space in his universe. It is at this point that implants are imposed.

There are three general classes of implants which have been found. They but fixate to what: one has to some degree agreed to, or are violently resisting.

The first kind takes some self-depreciation one has made of one's self, and socks it in solidly. A simple, most dilute version would be: "I am no good." So far, one could change one's mind, so it is not particularly aberrative. But, let another, or better, others say, "That's right, you are no good" and we fix the invalidation. **ANY IMPLANT, TO BE EFFECTIVE PRECLUDES THAT YOU FEEL THAT YOU ARE BAD CAUSE-- TO YOURSELF AND/OR OTHERS.** Therein, space is vacated, and the implanter imposes a solid to occupy that space... thru your resistance to his counter effort.

The second type is in the general category of pro-survival implants. Each of us, as ourselves, ARE Ethics. But, when betrayal, implantation has been heaped upon us, when we have reached the destroy/escape phase, we no longer trust ourselves or anyone else-- react only on the first dynamic. The defenders of the game tell us that we cannot leave it-- as the game is sacred, eternal. So, in order to "help" us they implant us with "desirable" attributes. So, we get morals. We are also told that we are righteous, responsible, brave, obedient, other attributes of a good, religious person. We are convinced that it is pro-survival to "trust in" and "obey" other-determinism.

The third type of implant occurs usually after we AS SPIRITUAL BEINGNESS have reached unconsciousness phase. For example: We actually love our Theta partners. But in a MEST game, there is with everything, to attain balance, equal portions of love/hate. It comes about, often, as our love becomes so binding, and if one party engages in any sort of partial withdrawal, such as temporary separation-- if only to end cycle to start a fresh one-- the other partner finds this invalidatory, intolerable. So-- overts follow motivators, minorly. In fact, it follows the pattern herein set down-- control, stop, destroy..... At this point, there is a terrifying duality of feeling.

As themselves, they still feel only affinity for this person. As a this life personality, they seek to destroy them. It is a good idea for Thetan partners to be apart during this phase.

In cases, such as in the neighborhood of 1550 B.C. in Egypt, when Thetan partners were together, and one or both under the influence of the Amon priests they were implanted to the effect that they had committed horrendous acts upon their Thetan partners. These, of course, could not be later confronted. They were then hypnotized in some way, such as PDH, to commit some horrendous act against someone whom they were told was their Thetan partner. They were conditioned by doing this again and again, with less and less hypnosis. Finally, they actually do commit this act against their real Thetan partner.

UNCONSCIOUSNESS-DEATH With the commission of such an act, the personality seeks to deny itself in every possible way. This leads to the not-issuing of the environment-- and of what one considers himself to be. This manifests itself in some order of insanity. Perhaps that is all that catatonic schizophrenia is. Of course, the Thetan cannot die, but a personality can terminate. I recall running this as, "I wish that I could die-- but I know that I will have to survive."

MASQUERADE So, the Thetan abnegates his old personality and takes on a new one. Does he have any recall of the old personality? I should say not! The part that would emerge first would be the heaviest mass-- the unconsciousness-- so this attenuation of attention, in itself dulls his awareness sufficiently to obstruct further memory. Should he break thru this, the heavy engrammic stuff will emerge-- and once again he will be bounced back. No small wonder that a being becomes convinced that everything in the past is baad.

So, hampered by the mass he is still carrying which contains the "must not happen again"s, and other compulsions/inhibitions from this past valence, in addition to those prior to that one, he sets out to set up as much as he can in his reduced space of his universe. So, the next personality is of less magnitude than the prior one. So-- the downward spiral. So-- greater and greater separation between our body personality and our true Beingness. So-- we become more and more identified with MEST, consider ourselves more and more as a body/this life personality.

GOALS The lower we get, the more serious even the early portions of personality/valence cycles of action get. Finally, we get to the point wherein we do not do things just for the fun of it. There HAS to be a reason! Remember how Ron first brought this up, about 1953? Still does. As we descend, and the high action amplitude of interest, aspiration, adventure diminishes, "must have a reason" moves in gradiently stronger. What are you processing when you process goals? You are addressing the "must have a reason" for a particular valence.

To take the emphasis off of the usual, "everything back then was baad," completed goals do not hang in time. The purpose of any process addressing this should be to clean up this unfinished cycle of action. An interesting good example: In 1957, I met a young girl, recognized that I had known her before this bodytime.... I was standing on a shore in Holland, and she was on a boat that was being dashed to pieces on the rocks. I got the date, 1632 AD. Several years later, I happened to contact this again. I took a small boat, went out to try to rescue her-- lost my life. Auditor: "Did you have any thought as you were drowning?" Me: "Yes, that I would finish my work.... being an artist." "Did you?" "Yes." "Were you someone known in present time?" "Yes... Farmahr... spelled Vermeer." That happened, I understand, to be the old Dutch pronunciation. I recalled being one of Rubens assistants, in the lifetime prior to 1632-- described his operations-- lots of guys working in what looked like an old church.

Of course, I had to go to the Encyclopedia, etc. Yes, Vermeer was born in 1632. Rubens' operation was about as I saw it. Nothing was mentioned about lesser works produced in the Rubens art factory being merchandised under fictitious names, for a profit. Wonder where these are now.... Oh yeah, in this first session, I idly mentioned that quite a few of Pieter De Hooch's paintings were done by Vermeer. Up to this time, I had a blank on art-- got D- on it in high school. About a year later, I got around to looking up De Hooch. In the biography, it said: "Pieter De Hooch did his best work while visiting Jan Vermeer in Delft." Still later, I saw an Abrams color print of a Pieter De Hooch garden scene. My reaction: "I painted that".... and I noticed my right forefinger wondering around the lower right hand section. I looked at that area, and there spelled out in blades of grass, "J Vermeer." That picture is still hanging in Holland-- and no one has ever seen this.... just as Vermeer's "Allegory of the New Testament" in Metropolitan was painted to be ugly, ridiculous... and I have no evidence that the blind fools have ever noticed this.... mentioned quite a few more details on this is 2nd Term. #22.

The point is that I DID become an artist as I intended-- and there has been nothing engramic about it. In fact, shortly after I recovered this recall, I suddenly found that I could paint (pastel). Could make portraits, for example that really looked like the individual, seemed alive.

But, the main point is that for once I am in full agreement with what Hubbard is now doing.

I have recently been run on "Goals Keyout" processing. In fact, it was this which has precipitated everything written in this issue, so far. "Who or what would have this goal," moves toward the recovery of every masquerading valence-- which is the core of every aberration in the bank. THIS IS ON THE BEAM.

This particular command, however deals with something ABOUT that which is on the beam, and I suppose is most effective as being the keyout for which it is designed. But, as Vacuum Cleaning Procedure does not just key out, but eliminates, a direct address is more effective. "Who should you be to (be a----- etc., name_goal)" Then handle each answer as a terminal, run Vacuum Cleaning Procedure.

The command, "Who should you be?" was as research, run on me. Talk about your rougheys!!! This is so because it really does hit into the sacred precincts of the bank. Instead of skimming over, knocking a few upper branches and leaves out of the dismal forest of the bank, it socks into trunks and roots.

Know why? The basic goal/purpose of any aberrated Thetan is "To BE myself." Of course, to the degree he IS himself, his goal is, "To have fun."

What is fun? Knowing, agreed upon pretense. Yep, freedom, barriers, purposes so well describes it. And we take a role, masquerade, as a ----- We consider comm as fun without consideration of whether it is as cause or as effect, win or lose. Then losses predominate, or we get an urgency to WIN, and we then try to control other players; when we fail at this, we try to control the game, in line with game agreement; then have to resort to violation of games agreement; then try to stop...destroy..... well and so on with what we have just been talking about.

Hold Everything! Hath cometh an herald from the hill of saints which proclaimeth that from here on henceforth goals key-out processing is verboten and a baz. It is henceforth to be reviled, as it causeth and bringeth about fatted calves, fatted rumps and maybe fatted heads-- anyhow it makes peopl fat.

Nuts!! Do you remember Ron saying that as we get upscale that we would require less food? So, I recall that as a Toleo, we used to just need maybe a handful of corn a day. When we ate more, we called it a feast, and considered it a dissipation. The spiritual people in India etc. need but little food and are healthy. So-- cut the calories as necessary, and continue to key out goals.

It is my intention to continue on with this process. I feel certain that it will lead toward higher levels of Thetan operation. I appreciate having my attention directed into this area, by Hubbard's process. It is the only one I know of on Huborg agenda which in any is directed toward O.T. The others are directed toward operating this-life-personality, or operating G.E. or some- thing.

I represent to you that I employ my Knowingness, Lookingness, Thought-- as Evaluation relative to Huborg, to the best of my ability.

Since early 1957, with the article, "How Clear--- For How Long?" until 1963, Hubbard took thousands of dollars of people's money-- promising to make them Clear. All of this time, I kept on telling you that "clear" was just a key out, never anything more than partial and temporary. Finally when this all became just too ridiculous, Dr. LRH blythely stated that "clear" was just a keyout, etc..... JUST WHAT I HAD BEEN SAYING FOR BETTER THAN FIVE YEARS. Prior to this, Huborgians considered my statements about "clear" as low toned slander. Since then, they have "forgotten" that I ever said it.

I represent to you that what I say about their O.T. not even heading in that direction is just as true as what I said about clear. Goals processes but serve to make the thislife personality more comfortable, serve to give it strength, attenuate the influence of the Thetan. The only exception to this is the Goals Key Out process which they now repudiate. Obviously, their "this life" processes are excellent, for the purpose intended.

But, Dr. LRH still seeks to clear conquer the world. There is zero evidence other than that in doing this, all others of high ability will be pounded down to a common level; those of lesser ability will be raised. Perhaps the word "potential" applies better than "ability". You get along in Huborg to the degree that you OBEY, do not evaluate for yourself. It is just a matter of getting more and more and more into Dr LRHs valence.

Now, an Operating Thetan is one who operates under his own determinism. So, when these people come back from St. Hill-- evaluate them relative to their independence of thought, their own determinism. Discern: are they doing it, or is there some machine there pretending determinism. Ask them if their mockups are clearer. Can they telepath? An O.T. is such to the degree that he is operating in his own valence. It is considerably more than ridiculous to see these people getting more and more into Dr LRH valence-- simultaneously saying that they are moving toward operating thetan. They might be operating demons, maintaining a front-- of own determinism so plausibly.....

ASSESSMENT

In usual living, a relatively small portion of the bank is keyed in at any one moment. the remainder remains relatively inert indefinitely.

When an assessment type process, or a direct assessment is run, a mighty lot of this bank is stirred up which would not have been stirred up in usual livingness. A long list of goals, items, etc. are made. If a tiny chunk flies off of a main mass (GPM, chain--what have you) you will get a rocket read. If a larger one flies off, you will get a rock slam, etc. In any case, a mighty

lot of bank is gradually restimulated-- proportionate to the degree that the preclear is in session. As further attention is placed on these, they pull the main mass in closer. After a whole flock of these masses are moved inward, the auditor selects one of them to run-- leaving the remainder in partial restimulation. These latter, close by, are very vulnerable to restimulation in ordinary livingness-- whereas, if they had not been stirred up, they would have remained out further.

How do I know these things? I look and see what is happening during auditing. You see the only thing that the E-Meter shows is what the attention is on. This, in terms of space, location. Remember last year I told about being audited by a franchised HCA, and each time he said "That" (meaning usually a major instant read, or rocket read on the meter), I looked, found that each time he said that, that my attention was on a small energy mass. After a few trials, I got so that I could play tunes on the E-Meter by flicking my attention on then off, then on.. a mass. Tatata--tata-tatata--tata--tatata----

What does not show on the E-Meter is the position in the bank of masses before and after being stirred up by an assessment. If you could look, as most of my colleagues and I can, you too would see that they are much closer to key in position after the assessment than they were before.

In Vacuum Cleaning Procedure, we handle each and every item that comes up, at the moment that it comes up. If the items, or symbol for same, is pushed thru, and dirt (i.e. gets gucky) right away, it is safe to leave. But some of the most innocent items which may even produce little needle change, when first keyed in are attached to rough masses just outside of the area of awareness. If one of these is keyed in at an assessment, and left-- the big mass is very vulnerable to being keyed in an hour, day, month after the session has ended.

THE HIGH NEEDLE

In past years, the high needle has been ascribed to a condition pertinent to "the latest process." Like when the emphasis was on responsibility, the high needle was a sign of "no responsibility. When it was on "confront," the high needle was a sign of "no confront," etc. Most of the things said ABOUT it are true. The simplicity is that there are thereupon, masses keyed in which will not leave.

Now, these masses are organic. Their air, is SIGNIFICANCE, the level of thinkingness. Their water is misemotion-- and misemotion, -not emotion, -belongs on the know-to-unknowingness scale, just below thinkingness. I am not herein being figurative or poetical. In Vacuum Cleaning Procedure, misemotions come off as liquids, significances come off as gases.

Like anything else of an organic life nature, they live a life cycle, require nutrition. No two are exactly alike, but, in the main, when they key out, they are in a state of suspended animation, or vegetation. Now, they are highly selective in what they eat-- that which is to them affinity, like the kaola bear with eucalyptus leaves or pine beetles with pine trees.

So, when they cue in as their subject significance is central to attention, they blossom forth like a Palo Verde tree in the desert, when it rains. If they lie there too long without revival, they will lose their life/significance. If they are of a major magnitude, the significance may be forgotten-- but the heavy mass of the symbol remains. It knows what its significance is, and you do not. But, it still has a command value over the somatic strip, body personality.

Now, please understand that a complex situation is being discussed. Just like with Hubbard's demonstration with GPMs, etc. it is an oversimplification, but ANY understanding which in any way puts this into focus is better than no understanding. Every energy mass is different than every other energy mass. That which I describe is what many others and myself perceive when running Vacuum Cleaning Procedure.

Oh yeah, our subject is high needles. When a distinct chain is run, the needle will leave the home reading, usually 3.0, will rise gyrate all over the place, finally return to 3.0, or wherever home base is.

Then on another one, it will rise, gyrate around, and no amount of running, it seems will coax it back. I wondered about this-- then took a look. Very often, the addressed mass has keyed in another similar to it-- but of sufficiently different content, that it does not appear in the processing. This would often hold also when thinkingness processes are run.

This does not mean that the needle will not remain there when a power-single mass is keyed in. It will. But when the stubbornness is out of proportion to the magnitude of the mass, this is the likely explanation. Sometimes this multiple key-in will give the impression of the entire bank caving in. When the needle returns to home base, then starts right back up again, with Vac. Clean., watch out for something real heavy to be pulled out with the vacuum. You will probably then be getting the second mass.

ABILITY AND OVERT ACTS

Back in issue #46 another writer mentioned reality upon the fact that the basic overt act is against one's self. The more I look at this, use it in processing, the truer it seems to be.

Under investigation is blame that the body personality heaps on the The-tan, previous, higher valences. This keeps our higher levels, or valences, submerged, reenforces the feeling that it is safe to be the this life valence, only. In this, they are doing the bidding of MEST and those black siders who have identified themselves with MEST, consider themselves to be the sacred agents of MEST.

You see, from our present viewpoint, every past valence is dangerous. All about each of them which is in present time, as bank mass, reeks of danger, terror, other engrammic significances. So each time we try to confront ourselves in a role of higher than present ability, it is like picking up a 440 volt wire and simultaneously being kicked by a mule.

Fortunately, in running Vacuum Cleaning Procedure, the gory and fiery details, other significances go out with the dirt(guck). Overts/motivators seem to go out without any necessity of delineation. These are really just incidental. Being guilty of overts, or hurt by motivators are based on other determined consideration, as agreement.

But, what we have done to ourselves is at the level of actuality-- is within our own universe. This is of an entirely different magnitude. To give this most important, primary point proper emphasis:

A THOUGHT PROCESS WILL AS-ISS ONLY WHEN THE SUBJECT ADDRESSED IS A PRIME POSTULATE. As per axioms, it will as-iss only that which is perfectly duplicated. Also, it takes a postulate to as-iss a postulate. A cognition, statement, wish-- anything which is not said at cause, without a validation, any other modifying thought, alter-issness, etc., will not effect a prime postulate.

If the preclear says something about something and it moves away-- keys out, that is not postulate, as-issing. That is alter-issaing. So--

A THINKINGNESS PROCESS IS ONE THAT ADDRESSES SECONDARY POSTULATES, OR OTHER ALTER-NESES, AGREEMENTS, IMPLANTS, ETC. A THINKINGNESS PROCESS CAN BRING ABOUT MOTION, AS CHANGE OF LOCATION IN THE ADDRESSED MASS. IT SLIGHTLY ADDS TO THE MEANING AND TO THE MASS THRU GIVING IT ONE MORE ALTER-ISNESS, AND RENEWS ITS "LIFE POTENTIAL."

A definition of ability: The resultant of the feeling that one is good cause.

When one feels that he is bad cause, he will knock down his own abilities-- as he feels that he cannot be trusted. To the extent that this occurs, he will vacate his own space, and automatically, almost, some other determinism will move into the evacuated space.

Running overts is, most typically, a thinkingness process. The very fact the YOU said That YOU did it, is a lie. It was a reaction of a valence. If it was this life, it was a reaction of the this life personality. When you say, "I did it" you are to that degree socking yourself in that much, to the this life personality.

As Alice Bailey has put it, we, in agreement with things physical consider ourselves to be but a body and a lower personality. We can at first conceive, then gradually get greater and greater knowledge of and reality on our true beingness. Then, we can gradually move from one to the other, all of the time becoming more and more familiar withourselves as Spirituality. Thus, we will evolve as Spirit, select the level at which we wish to operate--most likely the one at which there will be optimum communication.

This is concurrent with my reality. It does little good to talk ABOUT spirituality, and to practice those things which makes us subservient to a single master.

THERE IS NO ABILITY IN MECHANICS, VALENCES..Of course, I do not herein refer to the human counterpart of a pooch doing tricks. The application of school conditioned reflexions are not ability per se, but serve as a via. Real ability lies simply in YOU BEING YOU. It does NOT lie in, "I am what (some god, or Hubbard or some other big brother,) says I am." It lies in you creating your own cycles of action. More than once I have wakened in the morning with the words ringing in my ears, "I must go to St. Hill." THERE IS NO SUCH THING AS A GOOD COMPULSION, INHIBITION. I should not have used the word "good," I suppose, because it could be pro-survival for the body/body personality. Any time you feel absolutely certain about something, that there is only one side to a question, you are to that degree being a victim. All this means is that there is an energy mass there telling you these things.

The only benefit that comes from running overts lies in the fact that everyone has been so convinced that they are wrong, bad cause, and that if all of this is true-- you just ain't. So, in order to BE, they try to assign all of this bad cause somewhere else. So they get self-pity, etc...self righteousness.. more and more effect. But, they still have their doubts.

Then, they get religion. Now, up to that moment, they have been on the uncertainty-- am I good/am I bad. They are uncertain on lots of other things, and religion sticks them on one side of lots of dichotomies-- gives them a certainty on lots of things-- maybe everything.

In some flavors of religion, they confess their sins/run overt acts. Thereupon, they are freed of this nagging uncertainty. At last, they KNOW!!!! They become absolutely convinced of truth-- I AM BAAD!!

In each downward cycle of action, we are at some degree of cause at the beginning, less and less as it deteriorates. The condition whereof I speak occurs toward end of cycle.

So, when religion is adhered to, it marks a new cycle-- of lessened-determinism. The religion is so right and he is so wrong-- it is safe to do, but only in the valence of, in the name, of the religion, its god, etc.

All of this gives the appearance of renaissance-- and it really is, on a downward spiral. And this makes Scientology baad?-- -- to the degree that it is a religion? Nope!

If one wisheth to travel from hither to thither-- if he does not start from hither, this cycle of action will not be accomplished. Counter to what he would probably like to do, Hubbard has found most people in a state of uncertainty. Per Hub. 1954, uncertainty is the product of two certainties.. (maybe two or more.) So, beside giving them the trues of Scn., it was necessary to actually destroy their uncertain personality, install a new one-- starting a new cycle of action...in masquerade, as his valence. Actually this is all that any religion does-- including Alcoholics Anonymous.

But, by root definition, religion means "to bind." Each religion, in the main, lifts its adherents one communicable step above their status quo-- and then binds them there..And Dr. LRH says that Scn. is a religion.

You may recall a couple of epochs ago, I mentioned that there were those who could but lie there, could crawl, hobble, walk, run, fly. If Dr. LRH is interested in taking those who but lie there, helping them to crawl/those that can crawl, helping them to hobble--great. In the latter he/Huborg serve as the crutch. All I say is that those who can walk alone do not need the crutch--as they feel that they can trust themselves--do not need to depend on another for their knowingness, lookingness, doingness, etc. To those people, religion, Scn. as a religion is an obstruction, a trap. All of the bad things I have said about Dr. LRH/Huborg have been said because of the constant evidence that this might be true. I know of no more delicious fare than would be my eating of these nasty words.

PROCESS OVERTS--THEORY. But, Dr. LRH is running and running and running overts. It is a necessary process, as long as the person feels that he Has to be good cause, is the effect of motivators. It is debilitating, as it is run past this minimal point, and the preclear becomes supinely happy being bad cause. As it does not differentiate between the Thetan and the body personality, re: the YOU that did it, it is nefarious. HCO recently issued a list of replies, many of which are truer than "I did it." I=reactive bank=Thetan=body personality=self-determinism=body=U= = =bad cause. A=A=A=A=A=A

Sure, you CONSIDER that you did it. OK. Say so. "Find something you consider that you have done." This takes it out of the identification level, puts it in the differentiation level.

The recent command to the effect of, "How have you justified this overt act?" is great. But, again, games condition consideration....."How, do you consider you have justified this overt act?"

Again, the only actual overt act committed is one against one's self. The theory is that when there is a chain of overts/justifiers prior to the found overt, and at its base is the overt, one committed against one's self. Of course, minor bases will probably be found in this lifetime. As I said, this is still theory.

Been reading some Alice Bailey, recently. (Arcane School, NY, Lucis Publishing Co., 10 W 42nd St., NYC.). In my travels, I meet up with a lot of books. Most take a portion of truth smaller than I am capable of encompassing and spread it out, as if it were the whole truth. Hubbard, Percival, Walter Russell, and now, Alice Bailey have each presented me with knowledge which is consistent one another-- and a lot of it validates my own lookingness.

Now, what Hubbard says about giving a person too much truth making them feel degraded I know is true. Most all of the bad cause that I have been in this life has been on this score. Anyhow, reading too much of this raises the tone arm on even a tough hombre like me. But, because an overdose may be possible does not make it bad medicine. There is a balance between processing and data-- and I feel that Hubbard handles this balance very skillfully.

I feel that reading a tolerable amount from other authors is a good thing, in fact, this has been my motivation in establishing The Second Terminal. I give a viewpoint that is not "white" nor "black" side. These abovementioned authors are slightly on the white side.

Know what? I think a whole new science is about to be developed, using ALL knowledge..... unlimited frame of reference. Yep, things are getting better all of the time. If man is to shoot for the skies, it is high time he prepared HIMSELF for this venture. Expect more rardnity, high action-- and further and further "out."

Subscription rate: \$2/epoch-- 13 copies; \$5/eon--51 copies

Franklin S. Sullivan
31805 Bond
Farmington, Mich.
48024

Return Postage
Guaranteed