

June 22, 1966

SCIOGNOSTICS

Fifth issue

Franklin S. Sullivan
Editor

ASPIRATION

For your reading, and for my resolution, it is high time that I outlined my own aspirations-- in terms of purposes, goals.

My manifest goals are: to be able to Theta-Determinedly control my own thoughts and actions. This is a subject that much has been talked ABOUT. I intend to destroy the pattern of the complex obsolete, energy masses which obstruct or control me in any way.

"THE KINGDOM OF HEAVEN LIES WITHIN YOU."

"THOU SHALT HAVE NO OTHER GODS BEFORE ME."

I AM. My only true "kingdom of heaven" is my own Spiritual Universe. Theta-Determinism is the ability to create or not create space in this universe, as I will. It is the ability to create/destroy solids within this space, as I will.

This is a prerequisite to the ability to SHARE COMMUNICATION/GAMES WITH OTHERS. Herein, I will establish, change and destroy frames of reference, as I elect to do so.

I SEEK THIS AS THE HIGHEST AVAILABLE SPIRITUAL PURPOSE NOW KNOWN TO ME, AS A VIEWPOINT.

The antithesis of this is what has been referred to as "true clear." This is the end product of the complete digestion of Theta-Determinism by Huborg. Herein the Dr. LRH/Laffy/Holy Hub identity valence takes over so completely that to the observer, it might seem to be self-determinism. At the very least, it seems to be a harmonious peace of mind.

For years, I have been contacting St. Hill grads, have seen them with each trip over there, come back with less and less of their own determinism, and with more and more Hub-determinism. None of them has really seemed like a happy person.

It is like a friend of mine evinced, when he told me he was going to St. Hill. He knew quite a few St. Hill grads. I ask him if he knew of one that he could look up to, wished to be like, emulate. THERE WAS NONE. And how about you? Do you know any St. Hill grad you could really admire? I know that I surely do not, and I know plenty of them.

In the Huborg process of digesting Theta-determinism-- as long as any of a person's true Beingness is still operative, the person seems divided, uncomfortable.... like St. Hill grads.

But, once Theta-Determinism is thoroly squelched, and Hub-determinism is supreme, a unity of personality comes about-- known as true clear. This is surrender.

BUT, PLEASE REMEMBER-- I AM NOT SAYING THAT ANY OF THIS IS EITHER GOOD OR BAD. I am just saying that it is so. Also: there are two answers-- with gradients in between to everything.

But-- what surrenders? A Thetan-- as a branch office of Theta-- I should say not!! It IS determinism, freedom, initiative, creativeness, power of choice. But, as a singularity of viewpoint-- all of these within limits. But, to be less of these basic symbol potentials-- or, again, his viewpoint limitation-- he has to set up a lie/pretense; rescinds dominion over specific space in his games universe.

This rescission is in terms of limitation of freedom, establishment of frame of reference barriers, and the substitution, usually, of goals for Thetan purposes. It also precludes that one establish and Be a valence which is the player in this game.

The game is in some terms of conquest of MEST-- just like Ron told us in Science of Survival. The degree of conquest of MEST (mass-energy-space-time) is contained very specifically in the original agreements of the particular game.

When the game cycle matures, (vedic cycle of birth growth maturity, decay, death) and it gets organized, mechanized, wins get scarcer and scarcer, and the game gets more and more serious. Most importantly, as Beingness becomes more and more obscured, ETHIC LEVEL DROPS. As the game decays, the valence agrees with other-determinisms which seem more powerful-- and can win. He assumes these lesser valences, becomes perhaps an assistant player, and as this is repeated, he degrades to piece, broken piece...down the descending spiral.

...Excepting that it is not a spiral. It is a series of rings of less and less magnitude. Emil Bates discovered these about six years ago. This is not just a theory that I am talking about. It has been evidenced by the look-ingness and recall of others and myself. But, this is beside the point.

I am herein referring to the games deteriorations, to which I have referred to so often in 2nd T. As an example, this is dramatized as with a tree that starts from a seed, grows, matures, decays dies-- and from its substance another growth is nourished. Same with bodies, social cultures, valences, etc.

From the viewpoint of the Thetan, he:

1. Along with his peers, he mocks up limitations on ability (freedom) in the form of solids (barriers) and agreements (purposes), aligns opponencies, agrees on rewards and penalties, agrees on the duration of the game, and then ASPIRES to win-- just for the fun of it...on the basis of interest and adventure. And of greatest pertinence-- ALL OF THIS IS DONE ON THE BASIS OF PRETENSE. Each player/viewpoint KNOWS that he is a spark of/branch office of/ focus of-- or what have you, of the Divine/Eternal/Theta/Spirituality.

Herein, he knows all of this-- like kids do in their games, when they really know that they are not cowboys or indians. This is in what is called the desire band, as differentiation, beingness, etc. ANY PARTICIPATION, ACTION, INTERACTION IS A WIN, IS FUN.

2. --With the understanding in 1.-- The preclusion is that before a game can be started, a group of pan-determined rule makers, as a UNIT, agree on the conditions of the game. The quality of the game is contingent upon the Beingness/Theta-Determinism of its creators.

My primary ASPIRATION is to be ME-- as a primary or first postulate viewpoint or individuation of Theta, devoid compulsion or inhibition.

My secondary purpose is to find others with whom to share Understanding-- as Affinity, Reality, Communication...who similarly are seeking to BE.

3. I recognize that this can be achieved only to the degree that I operate from the viewpoint of Theta-- as a Thetan. There has been much huck about what a Thetan is/isn't. Definition:

Thetan: The Beingness of Spirit/Theta, operating from a singularity of viewpoint.

This definition has been used by Hubbard-- the word's originator-- rarely. Usually, he gave it a much more limited (tword) meaning-- like now. "Thetan: That part of a being which is owned by Dr. LRH, Laffy, or Holy Hub." Conversely, the Huborg definition of bank: "That part of a person which initiates, creates or evaluates." If you do not believe this-- just try to initiate, create or evaluate, within Huborg. "Trust and obey, for there is no other way, to be happy in Holy Hub....."

There is no point in using a limited definition of Thetan--when there is no other word available to denote "The Beingness of Spirit/Theta, operating from a singularity of viewpoint." Another definition would be: "A manifestation of a postulate of Theta." This is explanatory-- as ONLY Theta can postulate.

I know of no critical demarcation in the long range of highest Beingness viewpoint, to most reactive entity. Whether or not the Thetan happens to be in a human body is incidental, not cardinal.

This fits with the Father/Son/Holy Ghost concept: Father--Allness, Spirit, Theta; Beingness; Son-- Viepoint of Theta, Games Player, Thetan, Doingness; Holy Ghost-- manifestation, religion, soul, havingness, reactive bank.

You see-- NOTHING IS ABSOLUTELY TRUE.

If you say or think anything ABOUT Totality, the infinite, the All-- it is no longer absolutely true. Because, anything that you say ABOUT it, is a lie. Any thing, or anything with any pertinence to MASS, ENERGY, SPACE, or TIME, is to some degree a lie. "Nothing is absolutely true," is, of course, a re-statement of Hubbard's 1st son. axiom, "Life is basically a static." It can in any way be understood in terms of what it is not. Because, any attempt to define it, describes it in some terms of something. With ANY action or evaluation, there is a departure from the BASIC of Life. Life is known and manifested in terms of these departures.

About 11 years ago, David Murray, then Org. Sec., Scn., Washington, put an undue emphasis on, "Conceive a static." To the people who were having difficulty finding present time, confronting most anything-- this meant a denial of every thing which could be there, or total deletion of havingness, absolute not-issness. I understand that Ron was very unhappy about this-- and bye bye David.

On the other hand, it is certainly most appropriate to conceive of that which IS Beingness, as the interfering bank masses are keyed out, or their pattern is dissolved-- as in Vacuum Cleaning Procedure.

My co-auditor and I are presently handling with the three commands run consecutively... "Who are you." "Let go." "Conceive a Static." This is appropriate only wherein the person can take a Spiritual viewpoint to some degree. A nod is sufficient answer. We use it in true comm-auditing. A comms to B. B answers. A acknowledges. B comms to A. A answers.... etc. back and forth.

4. I aspire to align my thoughts totally in terms of evaluating in terms of DEGREES OF TRUTH, rather than the dichotomic fixation/restriction. Now, this here takes a lot of explanation.

You see, on the far side of the Garden of Eden, usually things are not like they are in these parts, that is, the physical universe. Within "the kingdom of heaven" which lies within us, our own (games) universe, there is not the dichotomic good/evil. A guy has to listen to snakes and be guiled females to swallow that guff as anything other than interesting pretense. Sure, it holds out there in the physical universe. There has to be a balance of cause/effect, up/down, pleasure/pain-- anything which can be dichotomized.

Perhaps, some of you might recognize that this is like I have been talking right along. Like, I am referring to the four universes, which appeared very early in 2nd Terminals, and have been often referred to since. They are:

1. CREATIVE UNIVERSE. We ARE Spirit, Totality, Allness, Static. Our Creative Universe is manifested, and can be known only thru its manifestations. These are expressed as Thetan-Determinism, Ability; Ethics, power of choice, origination, evaluation, knowingness, other attitudes on top line of Hubbard's attitude chart. It is Beingness.

2. GAMES UNIVERSE. This is the resultant of first Thetan postulate, creating a frame of reference relationship with other universes. The absolute truth is that there is but a Oneness. The white siders address this ONLY, deny all else as untruth, illusion. The black siders including materialists, find solids as truth, and cognize Spirituality only in terms of signifigences-- such as in Huborg auditing.

Understanding can be gained only as BOTH OF THESE, PLUS ALL GRADIENTS IN BETWEEN, ARE CONFRONTED. Our own true universe, our games universe, the seat of our Theta-Determinism is of such gradiency-- and has been totally neglected, up to now. It is a section of truth that dissolves sticky adhesion, identification to any one sectarianism.

Original individuation does not include the sectarian lie of good/evil. Dichotomies are at the level of OPERATIVE AGREEMENTS-- per Harry Thompson, Amprinistics.... But, to start this from the beginning, on this---

A re-reading of Ron's Axioms of Scientology, would be helpful here. Or send for Ability #80, or other issuance containing Scn. axioms. (HASI, 1812 19th St. Washington D.C. \$1.25, I believe.) In fact, below written is an extension of most all of Ron's original teachings. To those of you who are not at all familiar with Scientology, I represent that my recommendation that you KNOW Scientology as a NECESSARY requisite to Spiritual understanding is far more valid than that of a thousand Huborg minions, owned by Laffy. I am neither "fer" nor "agin" Scn., but evaluate it part by part. This, I will continue to do.

Ref. Scn axiom #37. "WHEN A PRIMARY CONSIDERATION IS ALTERED BUT STILL EXISTS, PERSISTENCE IS ACHIEVED FOR THE ALTERING CONSIDERATION." With it, the note: "All persistence depends on the Basic Truth, but the persistence is of the altering consideration, for the Basic Truth has neither persistence nor impersistence."

We ARE as Basic Truth, Theta, Spirit, Totality. Any altering consideration is something less than the relative truth on which it is based. In manifestation, this can be expressed as limits. In the beginning, the

Supreme Oneness, emerging in focus from Static, postulated another terminal in his pattern, or image in created space, having location, capable of creating motion (time) and energy, and creating further space.

ANY DEPARTURE FROM STATIC IS TO SOME DEGREE A LIE.... and with all gradients down to the most transient entity, or mockup (postulated imaginary picture). Scn. axiom #35 "THE ULTIMATE TRUTH IS A STATIC." Axiom #36 "A LIE IS A SECOND POSTULATE, STATEMENT OR CONDITION DESIGNED TO MASK A PRIMARY POSTULATE WHICH IS PERMITTED TO REMAIN." "PERMITTED" ????? That word is inappropriate and extraneous. It is about as sensible to refer to dumping a pail of garbage on a vacant lot-- and "permitting" the lot to remain. A whitesider would drive by, say that the garbage was not there, or would say that it was illusion. In order to say that the vacant lot was permitted to remain, one would have take the identity viewpoint of the garbage. This is what Dr. LRH has been doing for years-- in his most abundant wisdom, he takes the viewpoint, solely, from the Spiritual garbage dump-- the reactive mind, and his negative gain processes serve but to put this garbage dump in neater order..... but this aside in no way depreciates the verity of the beautiful truths given to us, by Ron.

All that is Life can be lucidly explained, understood, from extensions of, translations* of these two axioms, #36, #37.....

You, at the moment of individuation, as a prime viewpoint of Theta, are prime postulation, god of your own universe. You are not headquarters of Totality, as a viewpoint, but you are like a branch office of Totality. You ARE oneness as Totality, a differentiated Oneness as a viewpoint. No matter how many lies you say ABOUT yourself, this first postulation IS... and not is-ness (axiom 11, Scn.)

As you are limited, differentiated-- from the viewpoint of Totality, you are a second postulate. You, as a singularity of viewpoint are limited. This limitation, of course, is a pretense. Ron in axiom #37, refers to it as "primary consideration." And, brethren, that is exactly what it is, from the viewpoint of Theta. Again, within our own universe, this original prime consideration is a first postulate.

As truth, Theta, Beingness, we ARE Responsibility, Knowingness, all primaries...TOTALLY SUCH. With the limitation of singularity of viewpoint, we primely consider, as the identity which persists we have these Primaries only to a degree.

This is simple-- tho not easily confrontable-- in second postulation or primary consideration. But, then, with time, comes the 3rd consideration, altering the primary one-- with still more persistence; then the 3rd one-- still more persistent, solid.....then the 267th..... then the 9,548th.... then the 9,327,863th..... and after while-- present time. And does this get complicated! And with each one, there is greater and greater solidity, less and less truth.

This reduction in truth is what Harry Thompson refers to as secondaries. Our Games Universe is a universe of secondaries. When cleared, the only limit to its truth is that it is limited. Then, with each further alteration, which comes with each games cycle of action-- of birth, growth-mat-

*Translation: Using Harry Thompson's meaning-- concordantly stating again, expressing full conceptual meaning. This is done by employing "primaries", in Amprinistics. Thus, it remains in the First Postulate level; conversely, as it becomes solidified, organized, it enters the 2nd,3rd,..... levels.

urity-decay-death, there is less degree of truth, more degree of lie.... more content of solids, less content of space.

Just finished reading what I have written so far. Do you understand fully just what I am trying to say? If such subject matter is understood on the first reading, it is most likely not new to you.

A first hearing is practically always intercepted by the bank. It will resound harmonically if the stimulus is in its resonance pattern; in discord and resistance, or freeze with denial, if the stimulus is in disagreement, which includes-- being unfamiliar. Speed reading does not lend itself to subject matter which is mathematical, controversial or novel. Excepting Beethoven's 4th concert, I have never enjoyed a major musical work, fully, the first time I heard it. Like with Ron, I gain further insights, even now, on re-re-re-re-reading axioms, etc.

Each time that someone has told me that they did not understand what I have written, it has invariably been the case that they have read it only once. I am certain that if I had not written it, I would not understand it, or much of anything else which concerns higher Beingness, on the first reading.

Most of the things I say are quite simple, are of themselves easily understood. But if the person is interfered with by the resonation of his own bank, it seems complex and confusing. The provision of punching, and loose leaf folders to subscribers has long been overdue.

You might note that I repeat quite a few of those things which I find, from conversation and correspondence, that do not run the bank gamut, the first time thru.

A clear games universe is one which contains nothing except the basic symbol which is the tangible manifestation of individuation. Herein, I am not speaking theoretically. I am speaking of something which when looked for has been found to exist, by every able Thetan who has looked for it. The basic symbol of the Thetan appears to each one who has looked as a form generally in the shape of a fluted torch, usually with something like a ball in the end of it where the fire would be. It is located as like a central pole in the center of the universe body. The universe is something I discovered in 1952. I called Ron's attention to it at the first clinical course, and it has been found same form of the aberrations which we carry from bodytime to bodytime.

THERE IS ABSOLUTELY NO THOUGHT, INFLUENCE, COMPULSION, INHIBITION OR OTHER ABERRATION WHICH HANGS IN TIME, WHICH DOES NOT APPEAR AS A SOLID IN OUR OWN UNIVERSE. My colleagues and I are finding more and more of these solids. The universe body is shaped like an inverted teardrop. GPMs (goals problems-masses-- Hubbard's word) are largely of the same shape, like made of twirling wires, some tightly wound, some loosely. But labels, descriptions only approximate any of these, as no two are alike. But---please understand we are referring at this point to those things which aberrate our Games Universe. So, on to dealing with what they are--

3. Physical Universe. A lie is an organized truth. A solid is a condensed lie. Again, solidity is in direct proportion to complexity, alteration, other elements referred to by Ron in Scn. axioms. Anything which persists in time is some gradient of solid. They are the barriers in games, home of aberration, occupyour space. Solids are the effect of the Thetan; they are cause to the reactive mind.

Persistence of solids is incepted, augmented and maintained thru some form of dichotmic lie. This occurs in some derivation of resisting balance and identifying with goodness. Each, of course, makes the solid more solid. It is a trap. Within it, no creation is possible: cause=effect. Any motion is possible, only as it brings about an opposite effect. It is a problem to which there is no solution, in terms of itself.

Religion and science are the futile attempts to solve the problems of solids in terms of solids. Religion sets up a god or God having some form of solidity-- as understanding. Some use escape by denying the relative truth of solids, matter. Science seeks understanding thru the amassing of data. These are futile, but serve to turn up more problems, complexity. The apparency of solution to a problem can be gained thru the use of data of comparable magnitude, but dissolution/understanding can be only in terms of data of greater than comparable magnitude. The apparency of solution is in terms of one side of a dichotomy. Dissolution is in terms of evaluation of both sides, and gradients.... and primaries (Amprinistics)

The relation ship is:

- a. Creative universe-- primaries
- b. Games universe-- secondaries
- c. Physical universe-- dichotomies
- d. Reactive universe-- operative agreements

Incidentally, Harry Thompson, at last info, at 33 Chester Place, Peterlee, Co Durham England, is reported to be re-organizing Amprinistics. Edgar Watson at 43 Chester Place, above, has founded New Principles Soc. Woody McPheeters 801 S. Townsend Ave. #2, Santa Anna Calif. 92704 is preparing a booklet on Amprinistic subject matter. At present, no correspondence course seems to be available. Will keep you informed of progress.

4. THE REACTIVE UNIVERSE. This is a figment of physical universe which is imposed upon our Games Universe, interfering with our Determinism. This is the subject matter of Scientology, Dianetics. The god of this universe is the stable datum, that on which one can assign full cause, responsibility-- become true clear, and so comfortably have a temporary piece of mind.

The ability to differentiate the four universes within and in relation to one's self, I believe, is a sectarian truth which cannot be by-passed. One can be one's self as Theta-Determinism only after he is able to distinguish that which is of his own Determinism from that which is of the physical/reactive-- that is, other-determined.

It is only then that one can truly aspire.

It is only then that we can found a true group, consisting of Theta-Determined persons. This is precarious-- as, what is sanity? Balance. We are sane when the masses of the bank counteract each other. If one fixation dominates, we call this insanity. When a person has cleared these potentially compensating masses away, and but a single one remains-- that is what he IS. He is manically aligned under that single control. I am describing a demon,... and they come in all shades and hues. I AM CERTAIN THAT IT IS IMPOSSIBLE FOR A TRUECLEAR TO DENY HUBBARD'S WILL IN ANY WAY. Every effort is being made to create an opposite impression, such as talk ABOUT freedom. There is truly only one freedom, and that is freedom of choice. The index of this is the ability to evaluate.

A Huborgian has zero ability to evaluate a Huborg action. For example, when Horner was made untouchable, or something, they cited as one reason,

that he had associated with a guy who had done all sorts of terrible things-- meaning Edgar Watson. Now, Edgar had just left St. Hill after several years on staff. Jack probably saw him a few times in visiting Harry Thompson for 5 days. No one of staff could be baaad..... This example is no more than typical.

As Ron described Ethics, it belongs at the top of the attitude chart. A Thetan IS Ethics, just like he IS Responsibility, Loyalty, etc. AS ETHICS, HE IS ALSO SELF EVALUATION. It could not be otherwise than that he has the ability to regard himself without prejudice, predilection. Huborg has zero ability to self evaluate-- they are solidly stuck on the horn of the dichotomy of compulsive rightness. HUBORG HAS A ZERO ABILITY TO BE ETHICS. What they call ethics has to be imposed by force. Any truly ethical self-evaluation, they call suppressive.... and they still talk ABOUT freedom... and have the potentials of fanatical demonism. EACH ONE WOULD DO ANYTHING DR LRH TOLD THEM TO DO.

At the same moment, they are helping people in the only way that they are capable of receiving help. Again-- always two answers. When there is uncontrollable plus randomness, it is a non-bypassable sectarian truth that a singularity of stable datum MUST be established. You cannot take a single flip from your reactive bank. But, you can take a flip from a Huborg valence and be YOU.

To condemn Scientology would be about as silly as it would be to condemn fire. Both are most useful-- under control.

IN SPIRITUAL HELP, THE HELPER PLAYS THE PART OF BEING THE EFFECT OF THE PERSON BEING HELPED. The helpee designates that which he would like to accomplish which he cannot do by himself. The helper does that which will assist the helpee to accomplish. A good auditing session does this. I, as auditor, never deviate from the preclears goals. Some time ago, I was 35 letters behind, in answering. I asked someone to check on my resolve to clean them up. I wrote 45 letters that week. The inversion of this is nagging. It can be used on ANY habit or anything.

Did you hear where they are combining two television shows, instead of taking them off of the air. They are combining "My Mother The Car" and "Please Don't Eat The Daisies." They will call it, "Please Don't Eat My Mother The Car" Oh yeah-- really they saare.

SCIOGNOSTICS
31805 Bond St.
Farmington Mich 48024

RETURN POSTAGE
GUARANTEED

FIRST MAILING JUNE 22 1966

SUBSCRIPTION: \$2/Epoch-- 13 Issues; \$5/Epoch-- 51 Issues