

April 7, 1966

SCIOGNOSTICS

SECOND ISSUE

FRANKLIN S. SULLIVAN
EDITOR

PURPOSES OF SCIOGNOSTICS

Again, please understand that ANYTHING issued prior to the release of the Council of Founding Members is tentative, is subject to their acceptance, amendment, rescission. It is herein issued merely to put something into focus.

1. Be a medium thru which the truths of all sectarianisms are available, as only when full truth is available that we can eliminate all blocks to Theta-Determinism.
2. Encourage further lookingness, that further truths conducive to progress might be discerned. AND THIS ON THE BASIS THAT SOURCE OF DISCOVERY IN NO WAY EFFECTS THE EVALUATIONS OF ANY TRUTH.
3. Assist the survival goals of any contributing sectarianism.
4. Promote free communication. Fun is the interaction of communication. So, we do this just for the fun of it.
5. Participate in the evolvment of a true uninverted third dynamic, or group.

AND ALL OF THIS ON THE BASIS THAT OUR ACTIONS BE UTTERLY CONSISTENT WITH OUR WORDS.

Sciognostics is secular. This position replaces "non-sectarian." It has unlimited frame of reference. Its route is away from fixation on methodology, regimens and regimentations, complications, enforcement, denial, restriction, self-righteousness, limited "solutions."

THERE IS NO SUCH THING AS A DANGEROUS THOUGHT. The danger lies only in entanglement within the resistive obstacles to thought. It is the purpose of Sciognostics to dissolve these obstacles, as appropriate to-----

ETHICS, FREEDOM, ABILITY

These constitute a triangle like the affinity, reality, communication triangle (Science of Survival, by L. Ron Hubbard), in that the lifting of one tends to lift the other two; the depressing of one will depreciate the other two... or in other combination.

And I am grateful to Ron for first apprising me as to what the true nature of ethics is. Spirit is ethics. An individuation of Theta, Spirit is ethical to the degree that he is Beingness in operation. Ethics manifestation is the doingness of Beingness. It is the revelation of Theta-Determinism. A FREE SPIRIT OPERATING AT FULL ABILITY IS ETHICS. Or, as per Harry Thompson, it IS We-ness.

It is related to the feeling that if one harmed another, he is to some degree harming himself. It is borne of unity, as contrasted with black side separateness, opponency, enmity.

Perversions on ethics all presume the lie of separateness, then compound this with opposite presumptions. For example, I recognize Ron, Huborg in terms

of degrees of departure from Spirituality, truth. There is Ron, as the basic Spirituality who gave us the truths which have started us on our way---down to Lafayette R. Hubbard (Laffy) who suppresses the Spirit, Lookingness, any Spiritual Abilities, and says, "because I helped you, I own you!" The valence of Laffy, the despotic paranoiac is typical of the legal, political or religious criminal. Their common pattern is: Everything within _____ is goood, everything and everybody outside of it is baaaaad, must be destroyed." So the Laffytes. They impose "ethics" as conformance to this grotesque aberration.

You know, ~~an~~ Ethics, as the manifestation of the doingness of Beingness, is rare, dilute in this environment, about the only way I can impart what I mean, is to give examples of the opposite.

So, please consider the purposes of Sciognostics in terms of the augmentation of ETHICS, FREEDOM, ABILITY, using whatever vias seem appropriate to you.

--AND NOW WHAT DO WE DO?

I will tell you one thing for sure. There ain't no road maps. Each sectarianism is of its own limited self-determinism. In this reference, at least, we are pan-determined. In every sectarianism, the sect claims, and sometimes quite successfully, all of your space. In Sciognostics, you crawl out of your hole, own your own space, and share it with others. BUT, YOU OWN IT FIRST.

The science of scientology, used as a tool, is an absolute necessity, as, of now, I know of no other means of learning the bases of the individuation viewpoint. Great gains can be expected, should you take courses from Huborg, yet play with and understand their numerous areas of entrapment-- as a fun game. And your influence might even help pull them out of it. For sure, they will follow ANY path in which there seems to be a win.

And after you have an understanding of Ron's scientology-- or simultaneously, as you are learning it, become familiar with other vertical sectarianisms. If possible, check on Dramanatomy. Monica Saxon is familiar with scn., Amprinistics, vac. clean. proc., also. HARRY THOMPSON IS THE DISCOVERER of AMPRINISTICS. He wishes this to be definitely known.

After one has reality on his own viewpoint/determinism, Amprinistics provides the reality on We-ness, leading to BEING Ethics. If there is any other way to effect this, I do not know about it. Amprinistics will not make one ethical. If there are masses there which are merely keyed out by Amprinistics thought processing they will still bind-- restricting freedom, ability, and thereby ethics.

Now, Huborg Sen. is totally a thinkingness process repertoire. It does clear space by exerting a counterforce against the bank, making it more solid. It is primely necessary for them to contrive that the preclear does not see what is happening, because if the preclear did he would see that what they call as-issing is nothing more than keying out. I say this, because that is what those who can look do perceive. It deals only in what is wrong with people, does no more than give lip service to "man is basically good." It handles wrongnesses from the viewpoint of being wrong. Their certainties or cognitions are but bank gleanings, are in terms of bank content. The certainty generated by these cognitions brings about a force which keys out the counterforce of the mass of the bank, realigns it in better order. It reduces randomness, or plus randomness.

In Vacuum Cleaning Procedure, the person sees the addressed bank actually break up and disappear. He does not do it on "faith-in."

Yes, but what do we do regarding the structure of Sciognostics itself? Well, I am a natural organizer, have organized bowling leagues, golf leagues, an iris society...but!!! This is different. If I assumed a role more than that of a gentle catalyst, the basms of Sciognostics would be allayed.

So-- the first step is for you to write me telling me that you wish to be counted in, and telling me the degree of participation you would enjoy. This will be confidential, as there is no purpose in doing otherwise, prior to the actual founding of the society. That which will transpire regarding what, when, where is at this moment strictly ????

I have no particular anxiety regarding how large this group will be, nor on the speed in which it will be established. I merely feel that this action is a requisite to future well beingness of us all-- and that the re-establishment of the group, whether in this form or in some other form is inevitable. WE ARE GOING TO BE.

What we are projecting is truly a Spiritual Democracy. This in contrast to a dictatorship, wherein the dictator is the only player-- like in "Ron's game." It is also in contrast to communism or any other so-called brotherhood wherein peasant=p...p=p=p=p. Spiritual freedom is enjoyed in an environment wherein each of us is allowed to express ourselves at the level of our full ability, in consonance Beingness, ethics. We are entering an upward Spiritual Spiral, and, brethren, that which we are herein incepting is "right in the groove."

Of course, a lot of things could be thereafter projected, after WE have formulated. It could hardly be imagined that it would be other than that whatever action is taken, it would be in an environment of mutual accord, in which the Thetan Determinism of each would be validated. It would all be in accord with----

NATURAL LAW

I can thank Harry Thompson THE DISCOVERER OF AMPRINISTICS for calling attention to this subject. Like most everything else in Amprinistics, it sure was a blindspot for me. It could be described as THE BASIC STABLE DATUM OF A SPIRIT OPERATING IN ETHICS, FREEDOM, ABILITY. Singular used: Natural Law IS, Natural Laws ARE differentiations of Natural Law. Using Amprinistic primaries (See 2ndT #61, or better, write Harry Thompson 43 Chester Place, Peterlee, Co. Durham, England.) Natural Law is a primary pact manifesting our mutual accord and understanding of purpose, giving freedom and games definition, resulting in pleasurable randomness.

Natural Law is herein referred to in relation to the Original Agreement made at the constitution of, and is now ubiquitous within this physical universe. However, we are subject to many lesser agreements made at later times, and are still being made/imposed. These laws, limited in time/space/application we will refer to herein, in small letters, as natural law.

We find Natural Law revealed in two ways, as PRIMARIES, the study of which is part of Amprinistics, and as PRIMECIPLES. This is a tword of prime, principles, and is initially dealt with herein. Primeciples and Primaries are of similar magnitude, but could be called first differentiations of Primaries. They will be discussed herein, singly.

Primeciple one. THIS IS A TWO TERMINALLED PHYSICAL UNIVERSE. Not very new excepting in its extension as a Primeciple. Like: THERE IS NO SUCH THING AS A SINGLE ANSWER WITHIN THE PHYSICAL UNIVERSE. THERE ARE ALWAYS TWO ANSWERS.

A single answer is a solution. (Ref. #59 2ndT), it involves but one terminal. It to some degree fixates on one terminal, denies the other one. And, again like Ron mentioned in London Lec. 1953--if you fixate on a single terminal it is apt to hang for a million years.

A game is a pattern consisting of the assumption of one terminal as true, stable datum-- with the other one as a variable. Usually, this-- in complexity. The fun in a creative game is in terms of the pretense of not knowing something about one of the terminals. As the game deteriorates, and there comes about more and more unknowingness regarding the variable terminal, or problem terminal and it becomes serious-- the player gradually loses control, areas of his own determination. He then has certainty only on the known terminal, becomes controllable, as this becomes scarce. He finally ends up being a "piece." When he loses certainty on the constant, stable terminal, he becomes a broken piece.

Ron, in early 1954, defined an uncertainty as the result of two certainties. This is accurate when viewed from first dynamic, stimulus-response--or, for that matter, from anywhere inside of the game. It is simply too random.

He has been since seeking certainty--"Scientology is the science of certainty"-- thru seeking solutions, truth, in terms of a single terminal. Again, this is a two terminal universe. THERE IS NO TRUTH, UNLESS TWO TERMINALS ARE ADDRESSED. All, so called cognitions involve but one terminal. The involved mass is released from awareness to join the main bank mass.

Here we have a major basis of entrapment in the physical universe. Anything of the nature of a single solution but weaves us into other problems--as per Hubbard's findings on terminals/opposite terminals. We try to "solve" our problem by doing the opposite of what we have been doing-- and participate in the downward spiral. This, because each solution but adds to the bank-- puts us one layer more obscured from the truth of our original agreements.

ANY alteration to original games agreement can be dissolved thru the use of Vacuum Cleaning Procedure. When the mass is removed, its meanings, significances no longer hang in time.

This is in line with the truth that nothing can move one direction without setting up a counteracting force in the opposite direction-- the law of cause and effect. The initiating cause, and the effect are the two terminals. Simple, easy, on an intellectual level, that is.

ABILITY CAN BE EXPRESSED IN TERMS OF THE DEGREE TO WHICH ONE RECOGNIZES AND APPLIES THE TWO TERMINALLED UNIVERSE PRIMECIPLE. The content of the bank is made of one terminalled certainties. Below this are the non-solid uncertainties held in place by the imposition that only of them can be right.

Sectarianisms serve the purpose of raising one out of the unsolid multi-terminal uncertainties to the solid certainty level-- based on a singularity of terminal. This is similar to the bringing of a boil to a head. When a boil is brought to a head, it can then be opened and drained effectively. Similarly, an unsolid bank is difficult to blow.

Again, when I say that everything from rocks to ideas is subject to the two terminal primeciple, that is what I mean. This will be dealt with, as the other primeciples are presented. And this holds even in the case of--- Worry as presented on next page.

"CREATIVE WORRY"

by

Warren F. Schei

I discovered this thru having a realization or feeling that a Thetan, in allowing the entrappment of the reactive bank, must have left some indicator to start removing influence prior to key-ins. When I looked, I found that there is such an indicator. Its name is "worry."

Definition: A WORRY is a reactive bank product, depicting an imagined future which is undesired and is therefore resisted.

The Thetan has a fundamental urge to be right. It is this urge, coupled with ensuing resistance which catalyzes the worry's condition into the physical universe. So, he then experiences it. Since the condition he worried about is unwanted, he considers the having of it a loss; and so he goes down scale. If he does not perceive, he can still be effected by it-- and then is still wrong, goes down scale.

How do we turn this into a win? Simple: By creating counter to the "worry" facsimile. Explanation: At the time that you notice the worry-- consciously take a good look at it. Decide how YOU want it to be. Mock up (imagine, picture) what you want. Do not hold this picture. Let go of it, let it vanish. If the worry re-occurs, then mock up the picture again. It is important that you do this only as the worry occurs. Do not look for worries.

Example: Bill is going on a trip in his car. He knows that his car's tires are not too good. So, he worries about having a flat tire, or a blow out. Being aware that this is a worry, he looks at it, realizes that it is a bank product. Then, he decides that he would like to have a trip with no flat tires. He then mocks up arriving without this occurring. Result: he arrives safely without tire troubles.

Do not include specific agreed upon time (like 5 o'clock) in the mock up. Note that I said arriving an action; not "arrived," a cycle termination.

Further, do not be serious about this-- or any other-- postulation. Seriousness will reduce ability to postulate, and it, alone will reduce one from games player down thru piece to broken piece.

This is a specific for worry, but it holds for any other type of aberration. One is stuck with that which he is unwilling to mock up its opposite. This includes fixed/hypnotic ideas. That is why running agree/disagree has been such an effective process over the past ten years. A solution is never more than an alteration of the problem, so that it "seems" no longer there. But, it is--in a different, altered form. If we wish to end cycle-- that new and more challenging, interesting cycles may be incepted, it is necessary to SIMULTANEOUSLY address both terminals.

I seek to influence it that SCIOGNOSTICS be not manifested as a solid organization; that it not be serious-- that it be that which is pertinent, appropriate to upper evolvment. It is consistent of those who are seeking to engage in the Spiritual strip tease of disencumbering Beingness. Sciognostics is just a label to put this into focus. It is Beingness as revealed thru Doingness. Its content is-- that which it is necessary to do to clear the decks to start new, bigger, better cycles of action. Anyone so striving, on his own volition, to that degree belongs. It is but a concurrence of something that is already emerging. It is gradient, evolving. Its influence is to "be what we are

are and do what we are doing." There are a lot of people who have "graduated" from sectarianism, but have not reached the level of courage to stand up and be counted. If there are some of these people who are now ready reach for themselves, and they say so, a true, univerted group can be created, now. With others, it will be a matter of imparting the nature of some sectarianism, for which they are now ready. Otherwise, there will be, "Scientistics has helped me, and I feel that I must be loyal to it, and impale myself upon its standard forever." A typical high toned letter that I have received:

Frank:

Sciognostics

Has a nice ring to it, I'll be watching for it.

Frank: past lives, other life on other planets and especially exteriorization are real to those who have experienced or who experience them-- There is a lot of road to cover individually toward full capability and good games.

My Best

Dick Steves

Or, to quote Gene Raith: "Like your writing on sectarianism. Would like to know what doesnot end that way, except that it has an open end--always willing to accept change with never an absolute...One then can freshly acquire knowingsness can begin to fully create instead of re-creating over and over, or running variations of the same theme...Happy that you mention "sharing.All knowingsness discovered, recovered should be freely partaken of by "individuals" as if it were a smorgasbord.....Isn't that why we individuated?"

There will be more and more quotes from letters. Write. But tell me if you do not wish yours quoted.

Anything said, holds for that moment only. It may be completely shanged By the next issue. Nothing herein "sacred."

SCIOGNOSTICS
31805 Bond St.
Farmington, Mich.
48024

Mailed April 7 1966

RETURN POSTAGE
GUARANTEED