

December 5, 1966

SCIOGNOSTICS

Issue Number Nine

Franklin S. Sullivan  
Editor

AGAIN-- THE ARC TRIANGLE

For the benefit of you who are not Scientologists, this refers to the triangle of Affinity, Reality, and Communication. Hubbard stated in "Science of Survival" 1951, as one progresses up scale affinity, reality and communication rise evenly. Conversely, no one or two of them can rise without the remainder.

On my last trip east, while driving down the New England Turnpike, I got the feeling that I should call the Halperns, in Stamford. I had been completely out of touch with them for a few years, was never more than moderately friendly with them, at any time. I had heard that they had graduated from Huborg, but had no information as to what they were now doing.

Dick Halpern answered the phone, and I asked him how he was doing. He replied that he and Jan have been auditing continuously since leaving Scientology in 1962, and has been using one address, mainly-- and that is to the ARC triangle. And this, based, as above, on Hubbard data, that if one or two of these are suppressed, it would to that degree suppress the remainder. He would locate the lowest one, address it, check all three, again address the lowest one-- and so on. Dick said that he was getting wonderful results, and I can well understand that. He declined to issue anything at present for publication; but, should you wish to enquire, his address is simply Roxbury Road, Stamford, Conn.

After this conversation, the more that I looked at it, the more it seemed to me that this simplicity of truth is the most effective, understandable sectarianism available.

Correlatively, if all three are not addressed, we then cause imbalance, aberration. When we are ably confronting all three, we can and do, as randomness, take the viewpoint from any one of the three and regard the other two as a duality-- with a range with gradients in between them. Our motions and our emotions are in terms of harmonic fluctuant pretenses of denial/resistance, within the gradients between the other two.

Like, in the case of a mystic, or Christian Scientist, or other white sider who denies reality, say God, or omnipotence, omniscience is All. They become/resist affinity. You see, there is a correlary to, "that which you resist, you become." It is, "that which you have become, is that which you have resisted/are resisting."

Such is the case in ANY trap, black, white, or any other kind. There is always a non-confront pattern on affinity-reality-communication. When, as Ron told us, the three of them are balanced we will gain in Spiritual ability....understanding, certainty and freedom.

In the case of the black siders, reality is on the must confront, must resist kick; affinity is totally denied; communication is made scarce--essaying to limit it to the subject matter of the organization, and with the organization. I know of no better example of this than Huborg.

Way back in ancient times, about 1956 AD, I started issuing the Midwest Communicator, which was succeeded by the Second Terminal, which has been

by Seignostics, The second issue was on the subject of Affinity. It contained an article by Vince Turner, and a process: "Tell me something you could like about \_\_\_\_\_," alternated with "Tell me something you could dislike about \_\_\_\_\_." This brought forth a hand written note from Ron Hubbard asking me if I were trying to wreck Scientology. At this time, I was a real good organization man, was quite shocked-- as I simply did not understand how the address to affinity would wreck Scientology. At this time, Ron encouraged others to write on Scientology-- in fact, he wrote a very complimentary note on the very next issue. Of course, at that time, I did not have the slightest notion that the beautiful truths of the science of scientology were offered solely to attract those of Spiritual potential, that ensuing actions would not in any way be more than minorly plausibly consistent with the teachings. I actually thought that he wanted people to operate on their own determinism-- learned about Dr. LRHs hookers much later.

I except Ron's statement that affinity, reality and communication rise together, as one increases in determinism, not because Ron said so, but because I have found that it aligns with everything else I have found to be true. Like, with the four universes-- the Spiritual, Games, Physical and the Reactive Universes, to which I have so often referred:

**SPIRITUALITY--AFFINITY.** In the beginning, and as in Ron's Factors, as individuation occurred, there was first, as a differentiation of Spirit, a departure from the absolute of affinity. As we assumed definite loci in space, there was a consideration of distance. This was primary to any communication content-- and this is senior to reality/agreement.

In absolute truth, we are ONE-- affinity is total, absolute. This is true now, as ever-- but only in unmanifested Spirit. Definition; Eighth dynamic, Spirit; **POTENTIAL.** The moment of any kinetification, manifestation occurs, there is a departure from the absolute. Therein then comes a degree of affinity. Ah. Then, there are, of course the pure and saintly ones who so sweetly mouth "God is Love," "All is totality," just as if this were a total solution. This is the "white" trap; referred to-- throws whiteness in front of all that one does not wish to confront. These whitewash jobs help no one-- excepting as they are given to black siders-- helping them to put affinity into balance.

I wish to herein theorize that the initial departure from Theta was as the bringing about of **SEQUENCE.** Dimension points have to be extended, prior to the creation of space. No first vibration without sequence. Enough on that.

Anyhow, any creation is in terms of to some degree denying totality of affinity. Totality/Supreme Creator/Allness/8th Dynamic, IS **POTENTIAL.** It IS affinity, and is prior to conditions referred to as communication, which, in turn is prior to agreements, reality. So----

**AFFINITY IS OF THE SPIRITUAL UNIVERSE**

**COMMUNICATION IS OF THE GAMES UNIVERSE**

**REALITY IS OF THE PHYSICAL OR TANGIBLE UNIVERSE**

**THE REACTIVE UNIVERSE IS CONSISTENT OF FIXED, PERVERTED, DECAYED REALITIES, AGREEMENTS**

Because we have tangible bodies makes us no less Spiritual. It is merely obscured. Just because we have about us energy masses-- a reactive universe--

which harbors fixed, perverted, decayed realities agreements, does not destroy our determinism. It merely obscures it.

NO MATTER HOW HEAVY AND DEEP THE LAYERS OF ABERRATION; SIGNIFICANCE ARE; WE, AS SPIRIT, AFFINITY ARE AS COMPLETELY THERE, THERE AS WE WERE AT THE MOMENT OF INDIVIDUALIZATION FROM ALLNESS. THIS IS MERELY OBSCURED.

FURTHER, IT IS ONLY AS OURSELVES, AS SPIRIT/AFFINITY, THAT ANY REAL CHANGE CAN TAKE PLACE. The address of the reactive mind significances in terms of reactive mind significances, as is done in Huborg, can only result in key-out.

I do not get many clients who are in poor shape, but after they are in communication, I ask them, "Tell me something about \_\_\_\_." The \_\_\_\_ is usually a person, but could be anything. Then, when this is easy, I use alternately, "Tell me something you could (like)(dislike about \_\_\_\_." When this is easy, comes, alternately, "Tell me something you (are)(are: not) willing to share with \_\_\_\_."

Would the use of this process wreck Huborg? It sure would... just like any other process which assists a person to assume the viewpoint of himself as Spirituality/determinism/affinity, thereby becoming less controllable would wreck Huborg Scientology. Why, people would actually evaluate for themselves-- and see the utter inconsistency between Huborg talk and Huborg action.

DR LRH, LAFFY ETC., ARE TOTALLY DEBILE IN CONFRONTING ANY OTHER SPIRITUAL BEINGNESS. IN HUBORG, THESE VALENCES ENFORCE IT THAT THEY ARE SO SUPERIOR TO ANY BEINGNESS, THAT NO OTHER COULD POSSIBLY BE RECOGNIZED AS A PEER-- OR EVEN OF CONCEIVING TRUTH. So, anyone who does evaluate for himself is considered "suppressive" or something.

But, if you are auditing, I strongly recommend that you include the above in your repertoire-- that is, if you are more interested in helping you pre-clear, than in conforming.

There is herein no quarrel with Ron's scientology axiom #25, on affinity-- just as there is, in the main, not much quarrel with what he SAYS. Affinity is a primary (Amprinistics) and there is to some degree-- down to the level of enforcement/inhibition as with China's red guards and Eoly Hub's "ethics" officers.

To use Ron's borrowed Desire-enforcement-inhibition scales-- we, as affinity are so in degrees of Beingness, DIFFERENTIATION. Communication could primely be called the "doingness of affinity." It, too, runs on a similar downward scale. It is on the associative level. Reality, tangibility, solids are on the havingness, identification level.

Reality includes both the experience level of the physical universe, and the identification level of the reactive universe. It is a blend from the associative level-- wherein one retains the ability to reach toward and withdraw from; down to the identification level wherein one is to some degree impaled upon a valence, usually, and other fixations.

Ah, yes--we fain would sigh to think how wonderful everything would be if Hubbard did apply the full data in the context that he presented it. Freedom must be earned! It is not help to give a kid all of the answers to the arithmetic problems. So, hail to Ron Hubbard, the propounder of the highest toned trap in history. It is a climb and you have to do the climbing. If you are silly enough to fall into even high toned traps you deserve it. Further, if the fast flip contained in this paragraph rocks you, you know where you belong? Right up in the front row in St. Hill. It doesn't rock you? OK, buddy.

Yeah, I am writing a book-- like I have long talked about. From now on for a while, most everything herein issued will be notes from the book. Of course, much of the past subject matter will be chaptered in the book, but as blended a bit in my mental mixmaster. So--

What is Life? Any answer to this is limited by the bounding frame of reference of one's awareness. In the main, the answer to this is in terms of manifestations of life. There would be as many answers as there are people on earth. The differences within each are most SOLIDLY believed in. A great many of these differences are in terms of religious/superstitious beliefs, mores, taboos, other enforced agreements. It is easy to become aware of these deviations in others, but one's own are as an ultimate truth.

But, there are also large areas of highly co-incident agreement. One is that there is a Supreme Creator. Another is that we ARE Spirit, are immortal, are of the Supreme Creator.

It is the purpose herein to move toward a regard of the above WITHOUT THE TRAMMELLING EFFECT OF A LIMITED FRAME OF REFERENCE. This is but an extension of that which has been innovated as the "scientific approach." It is accepting that which is there, regardless of one's own, society's, religions, or any other authoritarian past or present concepts. This includes even present day physical science apparencies. The word "apparency" is herein used, as it is being more and more evident that that which is regarded as true at any moment is not an ultimate truth-- that it apt to be discarded or altered by further looking. Such is true of anything of a solid nature.

Up to now, any progress has been accomplished by someone breaking thru popular and authoritative fixation, and someone yelling, "hey, lookit." But the people have repeatedly witnessed this in the past, have softened some, they still sure do identify with the agreements they consider to be the certainties of status quo. It is so comfortable and safe that way.

So, please consider this book just a first venture in the extension of science beyond the physical and beyond extant agreement. There is little which is in conflict with what religions agree upon. We, as Spirit, are immortal. So, we put this into focus, look-- and we find that we have been having a ball. The same people tend to seek one another out, each lifetime, etc. And this is found by all who look.

Oh, sure, specialized book stores are filled with hundreds of inspirational volumes, from all of the way from Spiritualism to complicated descriptions of conditions of higher Beingness-- with very little agreement with one another. Each seem very authoritative, and, as far as I can see, do contain a section of truth. And, again, what I seek is that which is common to all of them-- as with religions. And that which they all share is quite simple.

This book will be difficult for you to understand, because it deals with simplicities-- and the extension of simplicities. Think that I am kidding? Well, I am not. By simplicities, I very much include that which is pertinent, directly, rather than something "about" something. A high simple truth is within the realm of thought, and things, solids pertain to it; the simple truth is not pertinent to the things, solids. We habitually take the viewpoint of that which is true is that which is consistent with present agreements, solids, any other tangibilities. But, the higher the truth, the less tangible, and the more conceptual it is-- and the simpler it is.

(Ron Hubbard's triangle with the singularity of the highest truth at the top, here. This has been described in several past issues, will not be reprinted here. It was contained in The Phila. Doctorate Course.)

The Old Testament states: "before the beginning was the word!" Other religions have similar content. So. Of course, it was not the word which was/is of potency, but it is the thought, of which the word was but a manifestation, which contained/contains the potency. Because we as identities live in an environment of physical solids, other tangibles which serve as stimuli to which we mechanically respond, we have retained little reality on the truth that thought is senior to solids.

Like with sewing machines, for example. They have been solid, tangible for quite a spell. Any thoughts regarding sewing machines are in regard to something "about" them-- like bobbins and motors and zagzigs, etc. These are pretty well down on the sewing machine "Hubbard's triangle." As we move upward on this "sewing machine triangle" we come to the blueprints, thoughts or ideas for accessories like zagzigs, and improved designs, like using motors instead of foot treadles. At the top is the idea or thought of conceiving a sewing machine, along with the decision to make the machine and Howe to do it. The thought preceded the decision. Then comes the command, or postulate to act. Then comes about the action.

Again, the triangle of sewing machines is minute in the total triangle of Life. But, the pattern is similar, with the differences occurring mainly in quality of creativity. The concept of the sewing machine is an extension of the frame of reference of machines, and it was developed from such background of experience and data. So, this concept and others which are pertinent to an environment are gradiently creative.

But, regardless of the scope of the frame of reference, the pattern of the complications of tangibilities, mechanics toward the base, blending into thought at the apex, prevails.

This holds relative to-- and mainly so-- to the encompassing triangle of life itself. That which is primary is in terms of quality, not as solids, manifestations, tangibilities. These are now available as the primaries in Harry Thompson's Amprinistics, and in Primeciples (a two<sup>word</sup> or tword) which means primary principles. It is that which is found to <sup>word</sup> some degree present at all times and in all places, under all circumstances. Like, as above, there is some degree of creativity in anything which can be countenanced. This is a primeciple-- and the conceptual meaning of creativity is an Amprinistics Primary. Every manifestation which IS Life can be understood in principle, as the thoughts from which they were derived, are understood.

To try to understand in terms of solids is at the level of trying to understand a nuclear reactor in principle, by merely walking thru the plant.

#### REVOLUTION--EVOLUTION--REACTION

We all agree with the Primeciple: "Nothing is inherently good or bad, but merely seems so as it is fixated upon or denied." The latter is wherein we make something solid within our own universe, identify with it-- and feel that we have to defend it. This idea is common to many sectarianisms. Its applicatio is a purpose of Sciognostics. Any tangibility is a frame of reference. Each sectarianism is a frame of reference containing a section of, or interpretat<sup>n</sup> of relative truth. If one fixates on any one sectarianism, denies the rest, he is to that degree impaled upon it.

Conversely the religious or Spiritual dilletente, or "truth seeker" whose purpose is to seek truth--not to experience anything-- do not really benefit themselves. Usually, they seek something which will do something FOR them,

without their actual participation. Very often, they do this for the sensation it produces-- use this as a poor substitute for sex.

The present social, economic---others--is to fixate upon something, identify with it. Then, when it does not work, it is abandoned-- with the absolute certainty that it is baaad. So its opposite simply MUST be good. So, comes the revolution! So, they flip-- generally from white to black, or vica versa. This occurs with individuals, groups, nations-- humanity as a whole.

The violence of the upheaval is as to the degree of identification which occurs. If a person, this reactive identification is what accumulates the gunpowder for the revolutionary explosion. But, it is the lie of these fixations which give the power. Like with Hubbard. He talks the way I am now--but if he wants to make it solid, he has to impale people on Scientology.

Life is basically vibration, harmonic change, like a sine wave. (so Eōns 8-80, for example). Really, a fixation on either black or white--the poles of the vibration-- is non-life. This change in polarity is to us randomness or randomness. We are as well off as we are able to enjoy these changes, as we are willing to occupy any point, or either extreme at any time. WE ENJOY LIFE TO THE DEGREE THAT WE REALLY DO THIS. All of this is counter to present enforced agreement, which says that you must be stable, fixed, identified. I generally split my ticket.

So, what we seek in Sciognostics is the promotion of the operations of evolution-- harmonic change augmenting Spiritual freedom and ability. This can ben accomplished as we confront ALL obstacles as differentially addressed by each of the sectarianisms.

Subscription: \$2/epoch--13 issues; \$5/eon-- 51 issues.

SCIOGNOSTICS  
31805 Bond St.  
Farmington Mich. 48024

Returned Postage  
Guaranteed

Dec. 5, 1966