

## SCIOGNOSTICS

Number Eleven

Franklin S. Sullivan  
Editor

### WHY I HAVE A NEW PHILOSOPHY

by

Dr. Jack Horner, D.D., D.Sc.

Why should I create or find a new philosophy, particularly after fifteen years of devoted pioneering and work in furthering the philosophy of L.Ron Hubbard's Dianetics and Scientology? Because, when I realized just how diametrically opposite the Organization of Scientology was to the very philosophy it teaches, it was time to take a new look.

One of the very basic principles of existence, as taught by LRH was that enough good communication brings about understanding. Obviously, then, a lack of communication or distorted communications would bring about misunderstanding. Today, if anyone questions or disagrees with Mr. Hubbard, any of his followers, or his organizations, he or she is excommunicated with the label, "suppressive," meaning, of course, suppressive to Scientology.

This action has destroyed individuals and families, which is rationalized beautifully thru the consideration that by having the person out off all "bad" influences, he regains his own determinism. He can, of course, only have his own determinism in Scientology if he does exactly what he is told to do! This is "Freedom?" These days I receive a few letters every week from Scientology followers who have been ordered to write to me and "disconnect." One even denied my "existence ... forever."

There is a far more important issue at stake. Any science which claims to be a Science of Man should certainly be capable of handling people well, particularly those who have been most intimately connected with it for many years. Instead, when the really capable people question it, as it is suggested they do, they are cast aside until they ask forgiveness. Isn't this strange from a philosophy which states that understanding is brought about by communication? Isn't it strange that when a person disagrees, not only is he cast out, but the followers are ordered to cause the individual all the trouble that they can?

Mr. Hubbard in his article in a west coast publication said that suppression and oppression are the basic causes of depression. Is it not interesting that his organization suppress and oppress anyone who disagrees? And, as he says, altho relieving suppression and oppression may be unpopular with the slavemaster, it is very popular with the people. It is one of the well known points in Philosophy that he who points his finger at others is often guilty of that which he is accusing them. Am I trying to suppress LRH and Scientology? Not really. Anyone who wishes to go into it, or any other philosophy of his own choosing should by all means do so. There should be a saying in latin of: Let the buyer be responsible rather than Caveat Emptor (let the buyer beware).

Because Scientology in its highly organized form has not yet been able to deal with the majority of people on this planet, and not even as well as some of the earlier philosophies of man, it is obvious that there are some

things that Scientology has not discovered. For a while, I thought that a philosophy called "Amprinistics" would have the answers, but in its unorganized form and its understanding, it, too, was incomplete.

Hubbard propounded "self determinism" while Amprinistics propounded "twosomeness." or co-cause. Self-determinism regained was the solution to sanity according to Mr. Hubbard. There could be no self-determinism, stated Harry Thompson, without twosomeness. Each viewpoint has its merits and degree of rightness.

Certainly I can say this: that there is self determinism and there is Co-causativeness as well as there is that which can be labelled "twosomeness." Self-determinism alone is not enough and, in this universe, at least, is unworkable. Trying to say that self-determinism cannot be considered without first giving priority to the concept of twosomeness is not a completely workable idea. A more workable view is to realize that the concept of self-determinism utilized to bring about the greatest expression of potential for oneself and as well a cooperative working with others is sanity.. this can be called for want of a better term, "Balanced determinism."

When a person can be truly self-determining and can appreciate that all other beings are also essentially self-determining, and that we have a happy life, we are able to reconcile our differences and align our actions, he then can more fully experience what has been called "Universal Spirit" or mind, and realize himself in the direction of the furthest possible extension of his potentialities.

What I am now saying here, I am sure is familiar to many of you. It certainly has been stated in many ways in many centuries. The question is how to understand and act on it more and more fully. Any continued exercise of a discipline, whether studying religion, philosophy, modern art or the theatre will help a person. The danger lies in any group who says that theirs is the only way. How can they know without having personally investigated all the other ways? How can they be so presumptuous? The reason that I stayed with Scientology as long as I did was that no matter how hard I looked that there was no better way; in spite of all the conflicts, inconsistencies and humours of L. Ron Hubbard. But when Policy was declared forbidding people to look at anything but Scientology, it then reached the point where I had to look further, and finally, come to a formulation of my own philosophy.. Even more ironic was the fact that my book, "Summary of Scientology," which sold better than most of Hubbard's, was burned by many of his followers after I was declared "Suppressive!". Do not understand. Scientology is a highly useful and helpful technology and can do much good for people. Its technical application and the skill of its practitioners are among the finest in the world. The training given to its practitioners is disciplined and excellent. I was myself a St. Hill graduate and a Class VI auditor, so I know whereof I speak.

I only wish you to realize that it has its traps also. Beware of any man or group who forbids you to exercise your own ability to look, to choose, to decide.

So, after fifteen years I lost all the people I had had as friends in Scientology, not because they wanted it that way necessarily.... many have told me otherwise. But unless they severed communication with me they would also be declared suppressive and not "allowed" to benefit from Scientology. Perhaps it is a good principle; it is difficult to help someone who disagrees

with you. Rather than logic, reason, communication, just pretend he no longer exists, and maybe her will go away.

So, today, what is my new philosophy? Have I started my own new religion cult or philosophy so that I, too, can collect followers and follow the pattern of organized movements so beautifully laid out in a book called "True Believer?" No, I have not done so. From my own knowledge of life and humanity gained thru many years of travel and experience before, during and after my participation in Scientology, I have not done anything to start my own movement ... yet. Perhaps, being optimistic I had hoped that L. Ron Hubbard would realize the very obvious hole in the application of his own science, just as he and his followers have hoped I should regain my "senses."

So, I have not utilized my knowledge other than to see where we missed and try to find the pieces and create an even more complete and effective science of man. Many people have urged me not to "waste" my knowledge. Yet, I certainly have not wanted to start a movement with all the factors involved, unless I truly had some principles and application which were indeed an improvement on what had gone on before. However, even a consistently accurate application of Scientology principles would help many people.

However, with the discovery of applied "Balanced Determinism" and the techniques to achieve it, some of the other missing pieces have come into view. Further, I will offer no "complete" science of man, until a philosophy has reached the point where it is universally applied and understood. As Mr. Hubbard has said, there is no monopoly of knowledge.

The basic principles of existence are basic principles, which therefore must be conceivable and creatable by any of us as we are part of existence, just as existence is a part of us. So therefore, I offer a new philosophy available to anyone with the time, patience and effort necessary to utilize and understand it. I do not know if we can help all men; I only know that we cannot give up until all men have achieved conscious universality of awareness and cooperative sharing of existence. And then it will not be a matter of giving up but rather enjoying the continuing harvest of greater and greater beingness. I know the terms are not "scientific" and that is part of the task, taking the non-verbaliable and making it communicable so that it cannot be misunderstood. Enough good communication brings about understanding.

I have told you that I have left Scientology, and have only hinted at what my new philosophy is. Perhaps in another issue, I can more comprehensively state what my new philosophy is and give some idea of its applications, as there is really not room here. I can tell you that there will be things you can use, and I can promise you that anyone who wants to work with me will not be forced to give up his friends, family...or fortune to do so.

-----

The free applications of scientology principles-- and extensions therefrom has long been overdue. It matters not what the co-incidence is with what LRH says-- and most definitely, what he does, or says you should do. It is difficult for me to say more without redunding that which I have already written about.

The matrix of any auditing session is: 1. I am me; 2. You are you; 3. We

are together. This is the simplicity of ANY Spiritual relationship. This is primary to true communication. There is no better term to describe this than Jack's BALANCED DETERMINISM. This is now a part of my vocabulary.

The process used makes up the pattern of the auditing session, is incidental, variable. It is the "game" being played.

In Huborg, the mechanics of the process and the Dr. LRH valence are the basics, the matrix. The auditor is the via, and the preclear is the pattern or "game."

I feel that the sectarianism of Scientology can, as used as balanced determinism by Jack and other Scientology graduates, working at the levels of association and differentiation accomplish far more than Huborg can-- no matter how smoothly polished their identification level might be.

Jack's address is; 925 Forest, Evanston Ill. I am looking forward to the receiving of more on his philosophy. It will be published, whether I agree with it, or not.

-----  
If anybody knows of anybody who fits Laffies description of "Anti-Scientologist," please let me know. I know of no one who fits that description. A few people were teed off when they left, but each of them got over it. Each would still like to practice it, but refuse to surrender all determinism to Dr. LRH, etc. Any of their criticisms are limited and specific-- usually of Holy Hub's inconsistencies and eccentricities.... it seems that his case is fastly deteriorating into paranoia. The old "arch enemies" the psychiatrists do not find him important enough to bother with, largely, so he has to invent.

SCROGNOSTICS  
31805 Bond  
Farmington Mich  
48024

Return Postage Guaranteed

Subscription: \$2/epia--13 issues  
\$5/ion-- 51 issues