

SCIOGNOSTICS

FIRST ISSUE

FRANKLIN S. SULLIVAN
EDITOR

OLD BUSINESS

This paper is the successor to THE SECOND TERMINAL, and subscriptions to same will be continued. I had just about forgotten my inspiration for the name Second Terminal until Bob Ross, as a representative of NY Huborg amicably visited Harry Thompson's Amprinistics class, purposefully brought with him a copy of Ron's 1953 London Lectures in which he stated that if one had a single facsimile (or terminal) it might hang for a million years. So I provided a second terminal....but as it was non-sectarian (see #62 2nd T) it was neither comparable nor complementary. A more appropriate second terminal is now available to scientologists and Scientologists-----

NEW BUSINESS

That terminal is HARRY THOMPSON, THE FOUNDER OF AMPRINISTICS, of 43 Chester Place, Peterlee, Co. Durham, England. I again represent that Amprinistics complements and in no way conflicts with the science of scientology. That which IS Amprinistics cannot be by-passed if one is to achieve Spiritual freedom--or even reality. I urge you to write him, and/or me. I will get you in touch with your nearest Amprinistics Predicator (like Sen auditor) and if still available, a copy of the first lesson in Thompson's correspondence course.

I continue to operate Sullivan's game--auditing scientology, vacuum cleaning procedure, predicating Amprinistics, using/teaching Dramanatomy, AS APPROPRIATE. My services are available. Write me, or call 313-MA 6-3667.

Monica Saxon of 200 W 58th St. 5E Manhattan NY continues employing Dramanatomy, mainly-- uses the others AS APPROPRIATE. Write her...No! Better call her PL 7-0575.

THE PURPOSE OF SCIOGNOSTICS

First, let me make this clear. SCIOGNOSTICS IS NOT SULLIVAN'S GAME. I have appointed myself co-ordinator pro tem, and am putting certain ideas into focus, which may or may not be accepted by the group. Everything herein stated is tentative. End of negatives. On with the first draft--

THIS IS A BLUEPRINT. THE INTANGIBLE STRUCTURE OUTLINED WILL BE EVOLVED, NOT CONSTRUCTED. JUST WHERE, WHEN, ANY OTHER DETAILS, ARE PRESENTLY IMMATERIAL. I AM CERTAIN THAT THAT WHICH IS HEREIN OUTLINED WILL COME ABOUT. This feeling of certainty is in terms of shared trust, faith and courage.

The basic of the outline is that Spirituality will become unobscured, and will replace force, under Natural Law. Beingness will be unobscured, and each will operate at his optimum individuation level. We are each of us basically Totality, Static, All-- or any other appropriate description. No two individuations, or Thetans are the same, have precisely the same characteristics, capabilities. It is the purpose of Sciognostics to do that which is effective to accomplish this, regardless of the source point of the data, methodology found to be most helpful. It is therein non-sectarian.

In issue #62 of 2nd T., I differentiated between vertical sectarianism and horizontal sectarianism. Vertical sectarianism is wherein a great teacher presents certain high order of truths. Horizontal sectarianism occurs gradiently

as these parts or sections of truth are represented to be TOTAL truth, leading making them solid. As it becomes organized, it tries to get as many adherents as possible, neglects the higher elements of truth, distorts the remainder, so that it does not clash too strongly with the average person's reality. It becomes binding, enforcing inhibiting. It restricts itself to a small vertical range, helps those to improve themselves one step upward, but limits those who would rise above their narrow horizontal sectarianism.

There is a great big word spelled A - N - D. If all of the truths were made available and used-- maximal progress would be achieved. Each used alone will at first help, then it will bind-- and restrict. As one OWNS his data and practice of scientology, Amprinistics, his own findings and realities, the findings and realities of others-- and freely communicates, receives communication-- he will to that degree achieve his potential. The main purpose of Sciognostics is to catalyze this.

Back a ways I said, "end of negatives." That is making it tough for me to communicate. I talk like in the last paragraph, and somehow it might seem to deal to a lot of people. Every sectarianism makes similar claims. Or, at least they sound as good. My difficulty is that I am talking about something that has not been done before in this civilization. So, thusly, it is so very much easier to refer to something that is, and say, "that is what Sciognostics is not."

For example, can you imagine being in an environment in which you as a Thetan are in every way validated, that every one of your communications would be perfectly duplicated, any Spiritual ability would be truly appreciated? Such a condition COULD exist right now if you and you and you....and you participated in such an environment, with the Spiritual intention that it should be such. But, first, you come out of hiding.

HIDING

Terms like, "going upscale," "increasing ability," "becoming free," etc. are most commonly used. But, the simplicity of it is that we are merely coming out of hiding. We are Beingness, ability, cause. The crud that has been added to what we prevaricate ourselves to be merely obscures. This level of "hiding" is at the bottom of Ron Hubbard's Thetan attitude scale (pp. 51 8-80 by LRH), but it does gradiently extend to the top. Each step up this scale is a gradient unhidingness. It is just that simple.

INCLUSIVE IN SCIOGNOSTICS IS ANY ACTIVITY WHICH ASSISTS IN THE REMOVAL OF SPIRITUAL IMPEDIMENT. The Spirit IS ability. There is no ability in MEST, mechanics. Ability is understanding plus action.

UNDERSTANDING

Ron's axiom definition that understanding is resultant of affinity, reality and communication, seems most pertinent to me. So we read the definition and it "seems right," or "is right because Ron says so." But, how often do we apply it? Seldom!-- almost never!

The absence of affinity has been the chiefest stickler. IF WE DO NOT FEEL A SOMEWHERE NEAR OPTIMUM AFFINITY FOR SOMETHING, WE WILL NEVER UNDERSTAND IT. Without proper affinity, there can be no veritable reality or communication.

Understanding is alloyed by compelled, enforced affinity just as much as it is alloyed by denied, rejected, inhibited affinity.

For example, a commie or a bircher does not understand communism. Neither one is able or willing to take the viewpoint of the other. Each one is making the "enemy" very solid. It is thus that MEST aberration survives. But, with

understanding-- affinity, reality, communication, any imposed, other determined solids, or aberrative inhibition will dissolve.

Any sectarianism as it limits in any way, as it represents its peculiar phase, sector, interpretation as the whole truth, it thereby denies all other phases, sectors, interpretations of truth. The truths(or untruths) within the sectarianism are enforced, and affinity for these are imposed. The truths(untruths) of other sectarianisms are inhibited. Therein affinity is denied.

It is as one assumes a free self-determined viewpoint, that understanding is possible. A sectarianism is consistent of solid limits. A solid can be understood only as the solid is dissolved thru translation as-- affinity, reality, communication.

The purpose of SCIOGNOSTICS is to serve as a medium to the attainment of understanding, thru... unfettered knowingness, lookingness. ANY sectarianism distorts. It is a necessary via only as long as one feels that he has to be a dependency upon some other determinism. One can own, control that which he can like or dislike with equal ease.

Ron's definition of reality as agreement seems quite accurate. A sectarian "agreement" is on the basis of "What I say is true, is true for you-- and you had better agree!!!" A non-sectarian, Sciognostics agreement is on the basis of willingness to agree, disagree-- or any gradient in between. Herein, one owns ones certainties.

This holds even in reference to the limited subject matter of a single sectarian operation. A scientologist who owns his own data as a Sciognostician is a far more effective scientology auditor than one who is impaled by Huborg. It is, of course, non-optimum to try to BE as a scientologist by one's self. It is like being an unfrocked minister, a non-entity. Sciognostics offers a label, at the very least. We will establish herein our own untrammled area of agreement. How about it? Are you with us.

Sciognostics seeks to provide a medium for free communication. Your creative originations will be sought.

Well, I have been endeavoring to operate on the above outlined basis for some time, now. Not perfectly, tho. I feel that I can progress to the degree that I can accept the discoveries of and data of others as readily as I do my own. I do feel that Dr. L. Ron Hubbard's paranoic and despotic invalidations and comically egocentric denials of others and myself in no way effects my appreciation of Ron's beautiful findings. Harry Thompson went out of his way to deride my help in publicizing Amprinistics, in #61 2nd T. This in no way effected my attitude toward Amprinistics, etc. But----

Why was issue #62 2nd T so late? It was late because I had written an even bigger issue and did not send it out... Like I mentioned, Chuck Berner attended Thompson's lecture in L.A., mailed out a diatribe in which he accused Harry of being everything baaad that he could think of. Well, I was in the phase wherein I had identified with Amprinistics, so I reacted... Now, understand that I am not recommending that we be ddiletantes, dabblers. When finding out about a vertical secularism, I recommend going into it whole hawg--- THEN LET GO.

Then Chuck sent another of his issues, THE ABILITIST. I could not find very much right about it. Then, I picked it up again, decided to UNDERSTAND it, using what I have been herein writing about. It did not seem like the same paper at all. I can now understand what Chuck is saying, without straining it thru non-affinity, non-reality, non-comm in my reactive bank--meager as this

might be. Chuck's sectarian approach is primely essential to those seeking greater understanding and awareness. He seems to be using the science of scian-tology which he owns. Furthermore, I feel certain that he is producing and will continue to produce wins, not only because of his excellent knowledge and competence, but because he is acting on his own determinism.

It is only when BOTH parties are operating on their own determinism that communication can take place. This occurs to the degree that BOTH the auditor and the preclear are creating and sharing space. Session subject matter and regimentation are mere contingents to the creation and sharing of space. OK, so the preclear is unable/unwilling to do this at first. He will be encouraged to feel comfortable in the auditor's space-- leading to the ability to create his own, share it, really communicate.

I address this directly in my own auditing by using whatever straightwire is necessary to lead to use of Monica Saxon's (#43 2ndT) "What are you willing to share with _ _ _ ?"

It seems to me that Hubbard has been describing the mechanical relaying of a message, in his definition of comm as cause distance effect, etc. That is what is aspired to occur when a mechanical St. Hill auditor tries to repair a preclear's bank-- which is the limit of his abilities.

I hope that there will never be anything contained in Sciognostics which will depart from the truth that our progress extends from the findings of Hubbard, Thompson, others. Please assume that I am in agreement with Hubbard's teachings, for example-- excepting wherein specifically mentioned. These discoverers deserve to be honored and respected, and I hope that they will be. There is really no conflict between Sciognostics and the basic sectarianisms. They are different breeds of cats, involve different areas. It is like this----

1. Understanding as=isses. That which is solid is that which is not understood, a problem by scn. definition.

2. In order to create an effect in the physical universe, it is necessary to manifest, make it solid. In order for Ron to make scn. into solid Scn., it was necessary to organize authoritarianly. No alternative here.

3. Correlatively, the more solid a terminal becomes, the more authoritarianism is imposed, the less understanding there is obtainable. The terminal itself becomes more and more a problem. As this comes about, force is more and more mistaken for wisdom.

4. THIS IS THE ESSENTIAL PATTERN OF THE DOWNWARD SPIRAL. That is: man finds a vertical sectarianism, a beautiful but incomplete sector of truth, lies about it by saying that it is the entire truth, makes it solid--into a horizontal sectarianism-- and is trapped. All of this applies, with little matter regarding the qualities of beauty and truth contained in the original vertical sectarianism.

5. THE UPWARD SPIRAL IS OBTAINED THRU THE DISSOLUTION OF SOLIDS, AUTHORITARIANISM-- ALL OTHER DETERMINISM WITHIN ONE'S OWN (GAMES) UNIVERSE. Herein, truth is recognized for itself, regardless of source point. This is true understanding....the dissolving of solids thru affinity, reality, communication.

6. It is as the physical universe is dissolved within one's own (games) universe that one can create one's own universe. This is "clearing the decks" or tearing down the old stage setting, that the next production might be

prepared. It is as ANY other-determined fixity or inhibition is removed, that this is accomplished.

The gradations of understanding can be expressed, also, as follows: A "broken piece" is one that knows no game. He makes his first ascent as he finds a single stable datum, which is imposed upon him, and alignment is gained. His understanding herein is in terms of information impelled at him, with sufficient force to impose a facsimile. This is copying-- not duplicating. This learning process is appropriate for the accumulation of the particulars of the physical universe, for future recall. This is being a "piece" as in a chess game.

A piece knows at least that there is a game. He feels himself to be a part of it, but does not know the rules of the game, wins, to say nothing of determination of interesting strategy. He merely obeys, reacts.

The ability to understand, to have selection, choice, freedom of affinity reality, communication gradually emerges as gradiently transcends from the status of piece to that of player. It is the purpose of Sciognostics to serve as a medium in the effecting of this transition. A player operates as a viewpoint on the level of association, differentiation, rather than on the level of identification, fixity.

This is, of course, the process of becoming unbound from sectarianism. Probably the best/worst example of a tyrannical binding sectarianism is Huborg. It alloys the victim's affinity by telling him that his affinity for Huborg MUST exceed that toward his mate, family--anybody, anything. In case after case, within Huborg, itself, this cleavage has occurred. Dr. Lafayette R. Hubbard, the jealous "god" enforces that only his alterisnesses of original scn. data and practice shall be Scn. Any other origination is unreal, even one's own. And if one communicates any thought that is not coincident with Laffy's alterisnesses, one is suppressive. And especially if one listened to Harry Thompson, whom Laffy is mad at. Everything within Scn. is good. Everything outside of Scn. is baaad. Like 10 years ago Laffy had a quarrel with Matieson-- so for two years even E-meters were baaad.

And people who are thus handicapped are supposed to go out and "clear the world." The basic data is true, marvellous-- even when parroted by someone reading it. Yes, that is what they have been doing in personal efficiency courses. Even the St. Hill graduates cannot be trusted to do ANYTHING on their own initiative or volition. They call this keeping it "pure." Anyhow, after they have taken the course, start to go further, the neophyte is strained between the disparity between the state of the Hubarbarians and the actions of Huborg vs the beautiful truths and what Huborg, Laffy says that they are.

I have used the word victim several times in definition that a victim is one who is guided by the words of rather than the obvious status of, actions of, some other-determinism.

Back about ten years ago, as Scn. got sourer and sourer, I deterred myself from fully expressing my thoughts counter to Laffayette, because Scn. was the ONLY via for disseminating Ron's beautiful truths. So, I swallowed and pretended to totally concur. Now, one can affiliate with Sciognostics, use whatever that they know. Incidentally, the original text, "Scientologie"...the science of the making of knowledge," I understand, has gone into public domain, in 1962. It was written by Nordenholz, in Argentina. So, this name can be used without the complication of any copyright entanglement. Thanks Woodie.

So, now feel free to own and operate your center or practice. And I feel

that in so doing you will be successful-- as you will be good examples of that of which you speak. About issue #60, I recommended that those who have "graduated" from Hub-determinism to self-determinism return to Huborg return to help them, induce an upward cycle. I cited an example of a couple who were about to pull out of local Huborg, and at a local Congress, I induced them to take a new look-- a P.E. course about to be conducted by a high toned gal who had graduated. They did. They brought 12 more into her class. They took long intensives from local Huborg, put \$1000 into their building fund.... THEN.... local org. sec. went to St. Hill for power processing; came back and put the graduate on the suppressive list because she had audited a staff member, etc.; the couple went to Washington, who invalidated the local org. sec.--and now they want their money back; Laffy then invalidated the local org. sec. suppression notice. The graduate was hurt, of course, knocked down. The last I heard, she was still determined to straighten something out. Every other consecute time that I have tried to help Huborg, they returned only betrayal, invalidation. Laffy MUST be cause. Laffy is wasting the science of Scn. by claiming its entire space. It has gone thru the desire, enforcement bands, is now on inhibition...the next step to oblivion. You can take responsibility for them only by understanding evaluating them-- on the basis of their actions, not by what they ballyhoo about themselves. But, I still stand ready to help the moment that Laffy emerges out of his despotic paranoia to the extent that he might feel that there might be some good in something that he himself did not originate.

SCIOGNOSTICS
 31805 Bond St.
 Farmington Mich 48024