

THE MID-WEST COMMUNICATOR

Monthly Magazette Issued by Scientology-Detroit

Franklin S. Sullivan, Communicator

May - 1956

This issue represents a departure from our planned schedule. The article on Freedom, prepared for this issue, will appear in the next one. This departure is made because it appears from multiple trials run by several Detroit area auditors that the process, herein contained, developed by Vince Turner is an important one.

The occasional offering of a new process by other than Ron-HASI is perhaps a good thing. Ron has been so far ahead of us that we have tended to take everything which he has offered to be true, prior to the obtaining of a reality on it ourselves. Because nothing is issued which is not in alignment with all other data in Scientology, and is well tested, we do eventually cognate on it. In doing so, repeatedly, we decrease the vector length of ARC until it approaches zero, and this brings about an identification which is also a dependency. The intention is to make men free, but a fixation on a single terminal is the basis of entrapment. We have been entrapped many times, and to those of us who do not want to be trapped, any lapse from present is quite engramic. I have a high order of certainty that this factor held my case up for a long while, and that it has depressed many others.

Should anyone feel that anything herein contained constitutes an ARC break with Scientology or any of its physical entities, this is strictly his own consideration, not mine.

\* \* \* \* \*

The Church of Scientology of Michigan, formerly of 19875 Mack avenue, Harper Woods, is leasing more adequate quarters in the New Center district, near the General Motors Building, plan on re-opening about June 1. Pauline Ward, recently graduated from the HCA course, and Rev. Ernest Wirick are co-operating in this venture.

\* \* \* \* \*

Your communicator is in Washington getting the old retread. Arie Klopp, recently returned from his HAA, will handle the basic course at the First Church of Scientology of Detroit on Tuesday and Thursday evenings. Rev. Leo Hoemel is continuing the Sunday evening services.

\* \* \* \* \*

The Church of American Science started its basic course, Friday, April 27. Rev. Mary Gluski, HCA, has resigned as Assistant Minister. This post is now being filled by Rev. Harold Penny, HCA. The next week end intensive will be on May 12 and 13.

Jean Thomason, Minister of the Church of Scientology of Tucson, Arizona, is visiting Refa Postel for a month before going on to England. Welcome to Detroit, Jean.

\* \* \* \* \*

THE EIGHT DYNAMICS OF A.R.C.  
By Rev. Vincent A. Turner, HCA

The Eight Dynamics are an evident, real, expression of the total amount of A.R.C. of which a being is capable. This is a point which most, if not all, of us have been missing or upon which we have not been gaining cognition. If you remember the tone chart you will recall that this chart is thenumerical evaluation of gradient scale A.R.C. Most people will say, "I know all of this, what is different in this statement?" The answer is simply the use of the word of instead of and in the title of this essay.

Let us look at the axioms pertinent to this point (underlining is ours): AXIOM 21 "Understanding is composed of Affinity, Reality, and Communication." AXIOM 23 "The Static has the capability of total knowingness. Total knowingness would consist of total A. R. C." AXIOM 24 "Total A.R.C. would bring about the vanishment of all mechanical conditions of existence." AXIOM 25 "Affinity is a scale of attitude which falls away from the co-existence of Static, through the interpositions of distance and energy, to create identity, down to close proximity but mystery." AXIOM 26 "Reality is the agreed upon apparency of existence." AXIOM 27 "An actuality can exist for one individually, but when it is agreed with by others it can then be said to be a reality." AXIOM 28 "Communication is the consideration and action of impelling an impulse or particle from source-point across a distance to receipt-point, with the intention of bringing into being at the receipt-point a duplication of that which emanated from the source-point." AXIOM 31 "Goodness and Badness, Beautifulness and Ugliness are alike considerations and have no other basis than opinion." Coupled with the fact that whatever you resist, you become, becomes solid and persists we then have quite a background to work from.

Suppose we look at Axioms 23 and 24. These axioms actually tell us all we need to know and utilize to achieve the goals that we all have been looking for and contain the basic solution to all of the problems we have in Scientology. This appears to be quite a statement but it is true. In the past in Scientology we have had remarkable techniques built around two corners of the A.R.C. triangle. S.O.P. SC worked on reality another was communication: Hello, OK, etc. The corner of the triangle that was overlooked was Affinity, yet look at Axiom 25. This axiom is actually a complete description of the whole Mest universe and our problem in auditing. Whenever a Thetan is in complete affinity with anything he controls it completely. Our main problem in auditing is the returning to our preclears and ourselves pan-determinism or controls of ourselves and the environment.

The main message of Dianetics and Scientology is "Something can be done" about ourselves and the environment, in other words, there is something better for and about us than that which we have at present. We were all told, "Man is basically good." Why would there be any reason for such a statement unless there was a confusion or doubt on this score? The answer is obvious, there is a glaring lack of affinity on the first and third dynamics. Each of the people in Scientology has a conviction that there is something wrong (bad?) about themselves and other people and they want to improve all this. In order to think and feel this way there must be a lack of affinity first within oneself and in others, thus the first and third dynamic are quite inhibited. Suppose we consider that the first dynamic is the base of an expanding triangle of A.R.C. If this base is very

insecure and narrow how can any gains be made stable unless there is an increase of affinity? It would seem then we should solve the problem of affinity on the first dynamic.

We should examine the first dynamic and see what we have to work with. Whenever we see a body alive and intelligent we are looking at a composite. This composite consists of the thetan, genetic entity, body and a memory bank from the thetan and one from the genetic entity. With this many parts then it is easy to see how a lack of affinity could exist within oneself. The first steps to regain affinity would then be the granting of beingness or affinity between the thetan and genetic entity and body. A very good process to start and also to continuously intersperse during a session is "Mock up your body just as it is and offer it to the body," many times then switch to health bodies. The next processes are a gradient scale approach to increase of affinity. The command is, "Locate a part of your body that you could like. Ok now tell it, 'I like you,' and express affinity to it, feel it as much as possible." If there is any difficulty with finding a part that could be liked, you simply use gradient scale again. There is something you can like about anything, even if it is only looks, feel, smoothness, ability to do a small job or to help you to do a job, or even just keeping the body from looking ugly or odd. These processes can be used by yourself, or by you as an auditor to one preclear or on a group we have found, and bring good results immediately. It is best to give an explanation of the first dynamic and the foal of the process and get agreement on running it before any session is run, as is now considered good practice.

I have used this line-up on three preclears, a group of about ten people and alone on myself and here are the results so far: One preclear has arthritis in one leg and hip with about a four to seven inch shortening of this leg, huge spots of psoriasis and constant fatigue, hearing trouble and had low A.R.C. with her family and very little hope for the future. Now her leg is lengthening, the spots are almost gone, does much more work in less time with less fatigue, is hearing better, has had a terrific rise in A.R.C. with and within her family, is planning for the future with very much more certainty, has had a very marked rise in internal body awareness, control and affinity and has been putting on weight and relaxed most of her internal tensions and much more. The total time in actual processing was less than five hours. We have had a total of seven processing sessions with most of the time being spent in giving her this data and getting her to look at A.R.C. in action and letting her unburden A.R.C. breaks in the past. In the other preclears there has been an increase in tone, body awareness, self-affinity and control, increase in perception, rate of motion, appearance, A.R.C. on the first, second, third, fourth, and fifth dynamics with very little processing time being used. As the auditor, I have had cognitions and changes occurring to me so fast and frequently that I have difficulty keeping up with the processing. My whole life, considerations, actions, ability, "Case," has fallen apart at the seams and I am now in the process of beginning to find out how nice and good people and myself are and allowing them to be nice to me and me to be nice to them and me.

The actual key to this game is A.R.C. with the emphasis on affinity as described in Axiom 25. Affinity is the true granting of beingness for you say, "I like you." This is actually saying, "I am being you," and as a corollary, "You may be you and me." The processes des-

cribed before were originally meant for only the first dynamic, but they can and should be adapted and used on every dynamic. If you will only use and be affinity, life will be more rewarding and full and your own inherent abilities and goodness will be you again.

\* \* \* \* \*

AFFINITY  
by Franklin S. Sullivan

L. Ron Hubbard has written two books on communication, and we have many processes directly addressing communication. The many present time awareness processes build up reality. The only address to the affinity corner of the Affinity-Reality-Communication triangle has been the "granting of beingness" which has no assigned spot in present day processing. It is agreed that we cannot communicate with something or have a reality upon it without the presence of some affinity. With the rise in reality and communication, a rise in affinity is usually spontaneous. It just could be that those cases which have not made optimum progress are those who are retarded or blocked by an inability to feel affinity.

This has been pointed out by Hubbard in SCIENCE OF SURVIVAL, 1951 HSI, \$4.00, pp 1-38. "It is difficult to suppress the affinity of an individual... without also suppressing his communication and reality factors. Likewise, one cannot suppress the communication factor without suppressing the affinity and reality factors. And finally, one cannot suppress reality without suppressing affinity and communication!" The preclear referred to by Vince has been in Scientology for quite some time and has had a considerable amount of good auditing. The fact that the spectacular results were obtained after the running of standard processes, is some evidence in confirmation of the above. Trials have been run by other auditors, and further reports and case histories will be presented in the next issue. This process is complementary to, and auxiliary to "Route" and "Level" and is valuable and effective, it presently is indicated, to the extent that a non-affinity consideration blocks progress.

We are herein, primarily processing towards the owning of an attitude, towards the ability to use this attitude on any part of the Scale of Human Evaluation which is appropriate to suit the game we elect to play. It appears to act as a lubricant which reduces the binding effect and stickiness which holds us to one chronic attitude. "Emotion could be called the energy manifestation of affinity." SCIENCE OF SURVIVAL, pp 1-48. Emotion is that which places thought into action. Without affinity, the action level of a person is null.

This process, we believe, appears superior to the "Granting of Beingness," which certainly produced gains, but is a bit akin to giving a license to survive, and is sometimes a bit difficult to understand. It is, however, necessary that the preclear understand the difference between affinity and love. Love, as such, is being the effect of a sensation derived from the body. Low on the tone scale, love and affinity blend, in identification. High on the scale, affinity, reality and communication blend, into the unity of life itself. In the middle range, affinity is the willingness to co-exist, to reach toward or withdraw from that which one feels affinity for.

It seems to the writer that it would be difficult for a relatively low-toned person to feel affinity without confusing it with love.

There is some question as to the benefits to be derived, in such a case. It is for this reason, this process should currently be considered auxilliary and complementary; but it does seem to be invaluable for the release of stuck cases which have not progressed because of a block on affinity, and require that this attitude be directly addressed.

This process is released because with limited trial, it has produced excellent results. It aligns itself with all other data which is Scientology. It contains nothing which extends beyond the body of knowledge of Scientology. In other words, it is interpolative, rather than extrapolative. Further, it fills a void, an interstice, which certainly makes it a part of Scientology. We solicit reports and case histories of further trials, which should be sent to Rev. Vincent A. Turner, 672 St. Clair St., Detroit 14, Michigan. We have no interest whatsoever relative to our rights to issue this process. This is our reality. We sincerely hope that others in the field will come up with improvements, or a better affinity process, as it is in this manner that our science has progressed.

Incidentally, Vince and I have been in a state of no-affinity relationship for the past five years. We live about twenty-five miles apart, see each other seldom, and as we have come up scale, had done nothing to repair this attitude. He attended one of my group processing sessions several weeks ago, sat there beaming affinity at me, and startled the heck out of me. When he explained it later, I immediately cognated. I have felt the need of such a process for a long time, have a feeling that this absence has held my case up to a degree. Last year, I offered to take bets that the subject of the June 1955 Congress would be affinity. Fortunately for my finances I had no takers, as everybody else thought that I was right.

We have had the best results by asking the group to try to get the feeling when communicating, that they were being the other person, and when receiving communication get the feeling that they were allowing the other person to be them. I ran a small "advanced" group on the following process and got wonderful results. Divide the group into pairs. The first person extends hand and says, "Hello, I like you very much." The second person grasps his hand and says, "Thank you, and I like you too." Then reverse roles. After five or six cycles, change partners. This results in laughter similar to "line charge." After a while, change it to "Hello, I hate you very much," etc. This produces fresh laughter.

And, incidentally, the fact that Helen and Vince are resuming their marriage after a lapse of several years, as agreed upon in the last several weeks, is probably just a co-incidence. There is absolutely no scientific evidence that after running and being run on this process, he found her acceptable and she found him acceptable, that the process has anything to do with it.

\* \* \* \* \*

Following is a letter received from Irving Smith, Sr. of Niagara, Wis.

"I was very much pleased to get a copy of your Mid-West Communicator for the month of April. I have always been interested in what might be going on in Detroit.

"My sister gets one of the Michigan School Teacher's papers and she called my attention to a brief statement of an opinion issued by Thomas M. Kavanagh, Attorney General of the State of Michigan.

"In it he decides that social workers, psychologists and others dealing with counseling and other non-medical emotional therapies are not practicing medicine in violation of the Medical Practice Act.

"This opinion is #2359, issued January 20, 1956. I wrote the Attorney General's office and got a copy of it. It is very interesting from the standpoint of the definite application it would have to the practice of Scientology in the State of Michigan, although Scientology, of course, is not mentioned. May I suggest that your crowd gets a copy of that opinion.

"Say hello from me to Ernie and any of the others in the group that I might know."

Scientology-Detroit  
31805 Bond Blvd.  
Farmington, Michigan



Great Lakes Scientology  
5097 Ray Road  
Rt. 2, Linden, Mich.