

PROLOGUE TO SURVIVAL—Part I, Editor: Gordon Beckstead \$1.50 )2.00  
 PROLOGUE TO SURVIVAL—Part II, Editor: Gordon Beckstead .75  
 SCIENCE OF SURVIVAL, L. Ron Hubbard ..... 5.00  
 SUPPLEMENT TO SCIENCE OF SURVIVAL

No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 Each ..... 50  
 SELF ANALYSIS, L. Ron Hubbard ..... 2.50  
 No. CO.'s—Cash with order preferred, but ship books on approval if requested. All orders shipped postpaid. Make check or money order payable to MINNEAPOLIS DIANETICS, INC., 2449 Humboldt Ave. So., Minneapolis 5, Minn.  
 All orders shipped within 24 hours after receipt. If not available for immediate shipment, we will notify you at once by Air Mail, advising how long a delay is expected, and giving you the alternate of waiting or having your money refunded.

All back issues of DIANOTES are now available for prompt delivery. These include Vol 1, Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7-8, 9-10 (Combined). All issues previous to No. 9-10 (Combined) are mimeographed on 8 1/2 x 11 sheets. Prices on all back issues are 20c a copy up to and including No. 7-8 (Combined). Price on No. 9-10 (Combined) is 25c.

### DIANETICS in the City of Lakes

Here in Minneapolis, under the direction of Don Schuster, a series of experiments have been conducted in group activities aimed at creating not only increased interest in Dianetics but the actual use of its processes. It is difficult for the enthusiastic Dianeticist to understand how some people who show great interest in dianetics can at the same time fail to actively partake of processing itself. Many of the people that attended the meetings are more than willing to discuss aberrations (how terrible they are), techniques (how clever they are), thought (how limited it is), weather (how much we do have), etc. The amazing fact is that these same individuals fail, when away from the meetings, to make any real or honest attempt at accomplishing the goals offered. Several approaches have been made at correcting this failure but as yet no infallible way has been found to keep interest high when the members are absent. Some progress has been made, warranting further study. Suggestion from other realities are requested and will be handled through DIANOTES, ref. Editor. Some Dianetic research has been done here in Minneapolis on chickens, also by Don Schuster, the results of which have been determined but as yet we have no information as to what those results were. If, at any time in the future, a paper on this subject is released, you can be certain that DIANOTES will give you a report.

Looking back on the short time that Dianetics has been public domain we can see progress that should make Dianeticist everywhere proud. The rewards of our efforts have not been immediately evident nor have they been large. Waiting will in no wise dim the satisfaction we can look forward to when Dianetics becomes recognized by these "authorities" that are now casting counter efforts so consistently. We are proud of the progress that has been accomplished and should remain humble to the tasks that still lay ahead.

# DIANOTES

VOLUME I NUMBER 11 MAY, 1952

DIANOTES is a non-profit, unofficial vehicle for free communication among persons interested in dianetics. Publication is monthly. We reserve NO rights to material appearing in DIANOTES and hope anyone who wishes to reproduce any or all of it will do so, acknowledging source.

### IN THIS ISSUE

COMMUNICATION—Philosophy—Thanks ..... 2  
 Getting Along With People by Don Schuster ..... 4  
 Much Ado About D D T by Mel Semler ..... 7  
 Meet Mr. Ron B. Howes by Grace Krausy ..... 11  
 A Report On The Wichita Conference, Schuster reporting ..... 13  
 Other Thoughts From Other Lands, by Reeves ..... 15  
 Dianetics in the City of Lakes ..... 16  
 Published by Minneapolis Dianetics, Inc., 2449 Humboldt Ave. So., Minneapolis 5, Minnesota. Subscription rates: 6 issues, \$1.25; 12 issues, \$2.50; etc. Twenty-five cents per single copy. ADDRESS ALL CORRESPONDENCE: DIANOTES, 2449 Humboldt Ave. So., Minneapolis 5, Minnesota, c/o Melvin M. Semler, General Editor.

POSTMASTER: If addressee has moved and new address is known notify sender on Form 3547. Postage for which is guaranteed.

### DIANOTES

Published by  
 MINNEAPOLIS DIANETICS, INC.  
 2449 Humboldt Avenue South  
 Minneapolis 5, Minnesota



## Affinity, Reality, COMMUNICATION

When one accepts the post of editor he assumes many obligations amongst which is the necessity of writing an editorial. This poses no problems for there are many things in dianetics which could use editorials. The problem is which subject should be treated first. DIANOTES was created to fill a need for improved communication in Dianetics. This need since the creation of DIANOTES has in no wise diminished. There are still groups that have no outlet for news of their activities. This is aimed at those groups. DIANOTES welcomes these groups to use its facilities for communication to the rest of the Dianeticist who are interested in your developments, problems, questions, and theories. In spite of the enormous amount of contribution that has been developed by Hubbard and those that have and are working with him, the bulk of the validation, dissemination, education in the field has been done in small group levels. There is no way we can evaluate the immense amount of material that has had its birth in these groups. They are the mainstay of present day Dianetics and through them rests the responsibility of spreading the importance of the hope that it can offer an otherwise deteriorating civilization. Do not cast off the necessity of communication of your group no matter how small it might be in number. As long as finances permit DIANOTES will print all news of these smaller groups that it is able to get copy on.

All together now, SEND IN THE NEWS OF YOUR GROUP. Send along copies of your publications, special events, or what have you. Remember Affinity, Reality, COMMUNICATION!

### INBROTHERED

There is a destiny that makes us brothers:

None goes his way alone:

All that we send into the lives of others

Comes back into our own.

## FOR LIVING PHILOSOPHY'S SAKE

Man down through the ages has at various times applied himself with varying degrees of success, to the understanding of the processes with which his thought takes place. Advancements have been made, but, in the light of the advancements in the other fields of science, these have been merely token successes. It would seem that a resistance to know himself is inherent in the structure of man. One solution might be found in the article presented in the following pages by M. Semler. Another approach would be the concentration of intelligence that has shown such favorable results in such fields as Astronomy, Agriculture, Physics, et cetera. Dianetics, in the growth of in-

terest that it has enjoyed, has the necessary quantity of intelligence. The problem seems to be the question of concentration. It is high time that Dianeticists concentrate their effort on similar non-personal goals. Gather together all your pet theories on Man, his thought, his future. Type these ideas in paper form, send them here to DIANOTES. An editorial board will scan them and any that offer an appeal to a larger group in the opinion of the board will be given room in DIANOTES. Here is your chance to find an audience for those thoughts that may have been forming in your mind awaiting, hopefully, the opportunity for expression.

### A CRY FOR STRENGTH

Give me heart touch with all that live,

And strength to speak my word;

But if that is denied me, give

The strength to live unheard.

Tom Carey, when active in the editorship of DIANOTES, did his work so well that he leaves the post awesome to any one that might follow in his footsteps. He has left the paper carrying his personality and enthusiasm. To anyone who would aspire to take his place the task seems insurmountable until one realizes the reasons behind his success. DIANOTES was his interest first, last, and always. As present editor of DIANOTES I am thankful for the inspiration he has given me in this philosophy. He has set the goal and I shall continually strive to attain it. Many, many thanks, TOM CAREY.

### THOUGHTS WHILE WAITING OUT A BOIL OFF

Wonder if any one has compiled a complete glossary of Dianetic lingo and words pertaining to it? How would a psychiatrist interpret a discussion of a couple of Dianeticists talking past lives? If Jesus ever had an irrational thought? What happens to an election delegate when they don't seat him at their convention? Does he hang around? Wonder if there are any Dianeticists in politics?

Due to the interest in the field for information on Ron Howes DIANOTES is happy to be able to bring a report by Grace Krausy who has known and studied under him here in Minneapolis.

If your editor may suggest, make a point to read "Getting along with people and helping them" by Don Schuster. Don who was instrumental in creating interest in Dianetics locally, is probably the most well-informed in dianetic procedure here in the Upper Midwest. His article promises to be 4.0 for information. Much ado about DDT is an article to be read, thought on, and then read again. Try this and then write us with your opinions.

# GETTING ALONG WITH PEOPLE AND HELPING THEM

Don Schuster, HDA

In order to better understand how to get along with people, first let's consider a rating scale upon which to compare people so that we have a basis for estimating their get along now. This is the:

**TONE SCALE:** This is a continuous range of person's emotional, physical, and analytical qualities. If a person is at a given spot in one of these aspects, consider him approximately so on the others. The eight rough categories of the tone scale are as follows:

3.5, enthusiastic: person is in very good health, is very easy to get along with, and does many creative things.

3.0, cautious: person is not quite so eager to start new things, may get an occasional cold, may have a few quirks, and is rather cautious about things in general.

2.5, indifferent: person is rather casual, bored, and indifferent about things generally, may be careless in discharge of responsibilities, etc.

2.0, antagonistic: person has a chip on his shoulder, is openly peeved about life, probably has a psychosomatic complaint, and can start minor constructive projects.

1.5, angry: person is usually miffed or angry with life in general, has a tendency to tear down constructive things, has poor memory, is hard to get along with, has poor sense of responsibility.

1.0, fearful: person is secretly hostile at people and things, tries to undermine and tear down, is capricious and irresponsible, attempts to destroy rather than to build.

0.5, apathetic: person is listless, interested in morbid and destructive things, thinks of dying, no constructive actions.

0.1, near death: person is interested only in dying, is capable of relatively few actions and is a burden on society.

For further details, consult the **TONE CHART**.

A person can be placed on the tone scale both on a snap judgement basis and on a basis of analyzing in detail how the person fits in on the various columns of the tone chart. Here, we'll consider only the quick estimate or two minute psychometry for determination of a person's tone level. Essentially, this is starting a conversation on a 3.5 level and progressively coming down the tone scale with subsequent statements. An example is the following sequence: "It's certainly a beautiful day out, isn't it?" yeah . . . . "But of course it may rain before the day is over" yeah . . . . "Oh well, I guess it doesn't make any difference anyhow". No, guess not . . . . "You know, there should be a law against making it rain when we don't want it." sure should be . . . . "We might be able to put over a control on the people so that they couldn't do anything about it if they wanted to." yeah . . . . But of

course, that's completely hopeless with the present low conditions of people's thinking" yep, we sure can't do anything about that, no use in even trying. The only thing we can do is wait and think about it some . . . .

From the responses of the second person (the responses followed by " . . . . " above) we would conclude from this two minute psychometry that the second person was at 0.5 on the tone scale; he made the biggest verbal response in reply to a statement at level 0.5. Thus the tone level where the person gets interested and talking is indicative of mental and physical condition at that moment, and so of his tone level.

Now that we have briefly described a reference scale and given an example of how to use it, let's see how we can apply it to getting along with people.

Getting acquainted can be stated simply by saying the process is one of building ARC—affinity, reality, and communication with the person.

It's obvious that the first thing one does is to start talking with someone in order to start getting acquainted. This is the communication aspect and can be done in the two minute psychometry phase. Good general items are: the weather, work, and hobbies or clubs.

Once you have found a ground where both persons are interested, you have a place to find common or mutual agreement. Thus reality is increased by agreeing on certain things in common. Incidentally, reality can be hastened by one party's abstaining from disagreement verbally and swinging the conversation to more agreeable grounds.

By building communication and reality, you then have a feeling of closeness built up; in family circles this goes to the extent of affection. Affinity can then be defined as a feeling of closeness, affection, or liking between persons. In reference to a person for whom a high degree of affinity is felt, a person would say: "Why sure, he's a very good friend of mine."

Keeping a friendship going requires maintenance, preventive, and remedial measures.

Maintaining ARC is just that, with the emphasis on "C" for communication. No communication is the most serious reason for decline of friendship and ARC in general. Most differences in opinion are in general due to not enough communication on the part of both people to make sure they understand what the words mean the other person uses. However, there are some major differences of opinion basically: when they do occur, they should be respected.

Disagreement then is the next reason for breaking ARC. When this happens, the parties should make a major effort to have the issue clearly defined so that there is no misunderstanding of terms. This should be done as analytically as possible, and with as little emotion as possible. Then if differences in opinion still show up, the respective parties merit, as human beings, the rights to their opinions. This then is no basis for breaking ARC. If emotions get too involved in disagreement and a break in ARC ensues, there are several things possible to do. If the particular ARC is

valued sufficiently, one can just grin and bear it. If one wants to be constructive about the situation, he can discuss at a later date as fully as possible the causes for the disagreement. This should be done on an analytical level. The emotions should be left for discharging privately, or to another person. The restimulation (getting emotions involved) is increased when the people try to work their emotion out on each other.

Sometimes on the preventive aspect, the statement "Let's be analytical, not emotional!" is sufficient to head off an ARC break. For serious breaks, more serious and heavier techniques are in order. They involve getting the persons involved to recall exactly what happened, to have them discharge the emotion and tensions therein, and to evaluate analytically what happened, and how it fits into the overall pattern of their lives.

**Helping people:** Basic to the idea of helping people is the following: the operating level of the person right now in present time is the result of his decisions. The person's mental efficiency and status of his body are what he has decided to make himself. Sometimes there is a lot of external force directed at making the person decide a certain way, but still the person has to agree to let himself change in the direction of compliance with the external force. Once accepting this postulate, the next step is to state that all decisions that the person has ever made can be reached and can be changed.

In practice, it works this way. You ask the person to be aware of different parts of his body, his emotions, his thoughts right now in present time. Then he is asked to be aware of how he would feel having made a decision to be more optimum according to his desires. Go over the individual parts of the body one by one and develop an awareness of how the person would feel in this desired state. Then see if the person can develop an over-all and simultaneous awareness of this condition. This process is based on conceptual level decisions: a person ideally doesn't have to verbalize his decisions but merely has to become aware of how he would feel and react under the desired condition for this new conceptual decision to become effective.

A second light processing technique is Straight Memory (SM). This is the phenomenon whereby a person just knows a datum. For example, a person knows what his name is without having to think about it. How is this used in processing? Simply ask a person what's bothering him, or figure out from what has happened to him, what's bothering him. Then get the person to go over the incident that's affecting the person in the original sequence of events. This is followed by asking him whether he made any decisions about the event and how the event fits into the overall pattern of his life. SM then consists of the two items of getting the procedure of events in the incident, and subsequent evaluation of it. SM can be used unobtrusively in ordinary conversation with a little practice by keeping in mind what you're looking for in a given incident. (What you're looking for are any ungood decisions and a re-evaluation of the incident.)

Validation technique (VT) is the third light processing technique to be considered here. This is the process of getting a person to realize that just because something happened to him once in an ungood fashion, it doesn't always happen that way. The way of going at this usually consists of asking the person to remember some time when he was feeling good and reacted successfully in a similar incident to the one causing the trouble. The use of VT then results in a validation of the person as a rational individual, and a competent one. As an example, let's consider the specific incident of a person's stomach trouble.

First, one would use SM to localize the trouble and to evaluate it. Then have the person remember, say Thanksgiving dinner, and how he felt fine and his stomach did too—no ill after-effects. Have him recall the pleasure involved in the dinner, re-experience as much of this as possible. If time permits, dig up other times in the person's life when he was feeling good and his stomach was also feeling good. Finally have the person scan quickly and silently over these times.

A polishing-off step would be to have the person go over all that has just been said on the subject.

Donald Schuster, HDA, is one of the old hands in Dianetics. He is at present the President of Minneapolis Dianetics, Inc. He is attending the University of Minnesota where he is working towards a Master's degree in psychology.

To demand an EFFECT is to defeat the CAUSE.

Man is nature's most advanced experiment, not her goal.

Nature never discards any model of the past so long as that model in the present is progressing towards good in the future.

## MUCH ADO ABOUT D D T

Mel Semler, MDA

You are either the slave or master of your own body, brain, mind, or thought. You are, yourself, a part of the creative force of life, and the finished creation depends on the development of the hidden powers within you. All life, all power, are yours for the taking. No one can make this decision for you. This is your responsibility. You can be helped by education, and even here only you can make the decision to accept or reject what is being taught. Here in Minneapolis a man has reached the status of full self-determination. He had been audited for approximately fifty hours using later techniques. Did his auditors raise him to this state of being? No, if you are to believe the man himself. The auditors were, in effect, teachers. They presented him method after method in processing. Each technique was received and integrated, correlated, computed. Then accepted or rejected by his

reality. Finally came the climax, where he made a determined decision to be honest with himself in applying those concepts which his computer said were necessary for optimum. No miracle here, just optimum randomness in action. The results have been indescribable. Here for the first time in nineteen hundred and fifty-years was an individual who was optimum. Fully self-determined.

### DO YOU WANT TO BE OPTIMUM? FULLY SELF-DETERMINED? YOU CAN BE!

The "technique" is simplicity itself. It is hidden in the text above.

You have, if you have studied Dianetics, heard of the affinity, reality, communication triangle and how it breaks down if any of the three corners are removed. This is also true of the necessary components of the operation of optimum randomness. In this the corners are, respectively, **DECISIONS, DETERMINISMS, TRUTH.** One must make a decision honestly and determinedly. These three are inseparable.

The tricky part of this "technique" appears to be the arrival at three points simultaneously. At any time an individual makes a firmly determined decision to be optimum with the honesty to realize where responsibilities lie in the reasons for his sub-optimum condition, and when he can hold this condition for the necessary time it takes to re-evaluate irrational past postulates he becomes in effect a MEST clear. Each person's method of arriving at this triangle will no doubt be somewhat different than another's. There is not enough data available for an accurate evaluation on this point. It is probable to state this much from what I have learned about the sensation. One way seems to be a inspired anger, when one is by necessity forced into a mental corner and must come to a decision to improve himself for survival, and does so, in high tone. It would seem that the average man must be concerned by life before he is willing to be honest, even to himself! How many a Homo Sapiens is wandering around cheating at his game of mental solitaire? It is advisable here to state that this would seem to be a bad "technique" to present to a pre-clear that is anywhere in the bracket of tone between 2.0 and down or 22, and up. The latter being, in my opinion, actually dangerous to the pre-clear.

Here is a suggested process for using this "technique":

Open with a line of questioning that will determine why the pre-clear might not want to reach optimum (there are plenty of these in every person). Try to increase the pre-clear's ability to be truthful with himself as you question him. Once you can show him that he in reality has been denying himself truth, that his decisions have NOT been fully determined, the battle will be half won. From here on it is a matter of running these out in regular processes. When they are all run out of the case the three points of the triangle clarify and become equally distinct. All that remains is to stand aside and marvel at what has been created here before your eyes.

In the above I stated that this "technique" was simplicity itself, and this is true. The application on the other hand can, and very likely will, be difficult. It requires an auditor that can set his goal clearly and determinedly. To follow that goal impersonally and unswervingly. There can be no pampering of the pre-clear. There must be a truthful decision to be determined. There must be a determined decision to be honest. There must be a decision to be determined honest. The rough part of this will be to arrive at these at one and the same time, and then to maintain them for the time necessary for re-evaluation of irrational past postulates. It can be done. (Faint heart never won . . . .). It takes courage and persistence.

A likely criticism of this might be, "I could be determined without unusual honesty." Yes, you can and do a good deal of your lifetime but then you are not yet Homo Novus either. To be optimum requires all three to be at their highest level. One must be optimum in all three with no corner sagging. A person that is high on the tone scale will find it appreciatively easier to accomplish those three with minimal processing effort. The lower a person on the tone scale the more education he will require to be able to see how he is refusing to be honest in his approach to responsibility.

Pain (of any of the various kinds) can on occasion be the catalyst that brings about this re-awakening in the high toned pre-clear. If the homo sapiens has had an education in the concepts presented above, and he finds himself in a condition where the future only presents pain, he will be forced to become truthful within himself in an attempt to survive. It is under similar conditions that the low toned individual gives up the ghost. Dying to prevent future pain where in his failure to control life he refuses to be honest with himself.

One can, in auditing, approach the triangle from any of the three points. It would seem though that honesty would be the most desirable in the greater percentage of cases. If you can show the pre-clear that he has in the past been secretly untruthful with himself you show him how all his past decisions are affected by this dishonesty. You can show him the falseness in his past determinations. This then is validation to the pre-clear and he will find it easier to assume full responsibility for the first time in his life. All through the pre-clear's life he has been dogged into falsehoods. Even his teachers in religion help in instilling in him the ability to mislead himself by making him accept concepts on faith alone when he is not yet ready to assume without proof. When a baby the pre-clear was definite on the point of hunger. In later life he finds that one must deny his hunger until dinner time. This gives just two of the ways that we insidiously allow ourselves to agree to be false with one's self. It isn't long before we are assuming this falseness in larger and larger proportions. The old dianetics terms, Denyers, Evaders, Lie Factories have new meaning

when reviewed in this light. It becomes just a matter of personal truthfulness and should be processed with this in mind. Any time you show, in processing, a high tone pre-clear where he has been false to himself you are helping him towards Homo Novus. Do not try this with any one out of session, it is a good way to NOT influence people and a sure way to lose friends. Man does not make this agreement to be untrue to himself without reason. Without the proper perspective to begin with, he will defend these falsehoods to the bitter (and it will be bitter) end. This is one of the reasons I would like to make clear the necessity to be impersonal in dealing with this type of processing. When successful you are seeing that which each man keeps in his most private recesses of thought. At first he will not enjoy bringing up from these depths his shortcomings of honesty. As he progresses it becomes less unpleasant and easier. The improvement is worth the effort, I assure you sincerely.

There is nothing new in this "technique". It is all old stuff in dianetics. This is merely an attempt to present it in a different light. Stressing, perhaps, more details than has been done before this. There seems to be no reason that it should take, in individuals of high tones, more than a matter of hours (somewhere between five and forty-five) on the average. It has been suggested that an approach would be to scan all the pre-clear's computations on honesty. This is in my opinion unwise for once the pre-clear has stated his opinions and has brought them out without re-evaluation he will save face automatically by rationalizing his postulations thereby slowing the case considerably. Start this "honesty" problem slowly, handling one instance at a time. After you have shown him how he has been fooling himself with falseness he will begin to dig up these incidents by himself and destroy their influence where necessary. This is merely a suggestion: each case should be handled individually and I knew of no one approach that will be most favorable in all cases. Dianetics has offered us many methods of handling cases and it is a fundamental requirement of a good auditor to be able to decide on the best approach in each case before him. We are all individuals and like to have that individuality respected. From the auditor's viewpoint a process that handles all cases is heaven-sent. From the pre-clear's point of view the same is poison in that it denies that his case is unique (What fools these mortals be). If any one disagrees with what I am presenting here I repeat, what fools these mortals be, so they can grin to themselves and throw the quote right back at me.

M. Semler is a Minneapolis Dianetic Auditor who has been connected with group Dianetics for over two years. He is a member of the board of trustees in Minneapolis Dianetics, Inc. and is Editor of DIANOTES.

## MEET MR. RON B. HOWES

Grace Krausy

This is background. The facts are provable; the evaluations are those of the writer.

Ron Howes is a genius in the book sense of the word, even minus dianetics or any state of clear. He was 25 in 1951; he graduated high school at 14, attended college, served 3 years in the navy, studied and received Catholic confirmation and thereafter ignored it, attained a Ph. D. in theoretical physical chemistry, married at 21 and has two children—all without money behind him, by his own and acquired effort. In his field at 25 he knew, by discovery, material behavior and industrial data no one (1951) had yet discovered. He was and is a dynamic, skilled, and appealing speaker when he wishes. Communication ability was tops at the highest and the lower intelligence levels—not too good to high-average levels, because his own thinking walked circles around theirs. Also, he completely ignored other people's interests unless they agreed with his, or there was a special motive (processing, friendship, professional, etc.). He has had a self-recognized streak of sadism, engramic distrust of people, irrational jealousy, alarmist tendencies, childlike desire for approval and agreement, impatience, love of pushing buttons, over-emotionality, was inconsiderate, often late, and had a weakness for sympathy which he could either take or dish out in carloads—the undesirable parts of these I believe are gone now. Whether and how he will successfully use his now-available abilities is up to him.

Ron Howes was a superlative auditor getting top results, so long as he remained interested in the case. Everyone wanted him because he was good: he would be interested and sympathetic, saying yes to too many people, do a top-notch job at first, realize he had too many commitments, and get out by the quickest method handy. This was self-interest but minus tact, and it left all his pcs I know greatly impressed but with considerable charge to be run before further progress could be made. He also tended to be a promoter with his feet off the ground, and have big ideas which didn't work due to other people's lacks. He could not understand how to correctly place other people's abilities for successful planning and achievement. Minneapolis Dianetics, Inc. acquired a Center and offices at a time it was not ready for them, chiefly because Ron knew a Center was a good idea and started the idea, disregarding there has to be step-by-step follow-up work by more than one or two stable capable people for success (MDI expects to have a Center or other offices when it is ready, in terms of personnel, as a sound thing). This existence and closing of the Center also indicate the big (valid) ideas but lack of follow-up insight Ron then had, due to immaturity. He succeeded doing what he did because he had ability and personality; only the experience and maturity were lacking.

He has devoured knowledge greatly, was usually right, and in 1951:

found it very difficult to accept a new fact unless it agreed with what he knew. Most facts did. He had to be right; consequently he was. There were gaps in his data, and likely still are—but only a small part of his probable life has yet gone by.

I have said he had high intelligence because it is true. However he himself has essentially been thoroughly "normal," one of the gang—he apparently worked under an agreement with himself to be so. The gap between his older intelligence and his somewhat younger body in early adolescence caused concern over body traits and social maturity as compared to much older companions. In the past he tried to mould his social and emotional behavior into what he considered normal—the pattern was a mixed one of lower socio-economic plus need plus true knowledge values. He cannot be judged by ordinary standards, only by equivalents. His calibre of mind, because of its scope, was still adolescent at 25—the world was a plaything. Yet at the same time his outward appearance and grasp were of a 45-year-old professor of quick, absent-minded, irritated ability (a research worker on second visit to the firm where Ron worked asked to see "that old man who does your research"). He had felt very much alone before the last stage of processing due to the rarity of high true-communication-plus-intelligence (the reason for his "normal" pattern), and needed to decide to be alone in order to achieve a sense of unity with people not felt strongly before by him ("we are not alone").

Whatever state of being Ron has reached, it is remarkable for contrast with what preceded it: yet it is also a direct continuation, if one interprets him as the sighted person in the land of the blind, who partly refused to use his eyes lest he be less than the majority.

In 1950 Ron read *Astounding's* article. He audited mostly; also got about 100 hours of SOP. He stopped having chronic colds; also his glasses prescription was lessened twice. He and his family came to Minneapolis early in 1951, where with Don Schuster, then recently HDA, the two formed the Minneapolis Dianetics Group, which they were instrumental in reorganizing into Minneapolis Dianetics, Inc., in May, with Ron as president throughout. At the same time Ron Howes was a full-time consulting and employed physical chemist to several cooperating Minneapolis firms.

Ron was processed with every new technique officially endorsed and others from the field, as well as doing auditing using them. He and Don evolved a "symbolab" technique (active symbols, chain of thought, utilizing intentional dub-in) and used E-therapy when it came out, which worked well for him. Late spring and early summer 1951 his tone was enthusiasm. He dropped 10 years in manner, shaved his mustache, still needed glasses; he then settled by his admission to high boredom. By October his temporary goals had changed to activity in the field (non-dianetic) which presented itself the rest of 1951. He continued to do a

large amount of self-running, was able to return and recall easily in most areas, top visio, nigh-perfect audio, some sonic — whether recall was 25 seconds or 25 years ago. He also had auditing and did auditing. All this was prior to the vital "Decision" of early 1952.

His first appearance thereafter at a large non-professional dianetic gathering was at 2449 Humboldt Avenue S., January 27, 1952. He looked radiant, very healthy, early twenties, no glasses, clear complexion (muddy before), full authority and self-possession, no nervous or typical mannerisms in walk or behavior. He was careful not to give misleading information and so doing did not communicate too well to the group level, except on a theta or ESP plane. Three people I know claim brief ESP contacts, one verified by Ron's behavior, names withheld. No further data is available except through other sources.

His theme was simple—if you want to you will, I do not interfere with your self-determinism.

Grace Krausy, 27, BA speech and psych-English; background of farm, pre-engineering; advertising; writing; now working at light assembly; semi-active in Dianetics since spring 1951.

There is so much skill now available in Dianetics, both at Wichita and Phoenix that it seems a shame that these Theta Groups are not pooling their discoveries and methods. It is your editor's opinion that these groups could better serve Dianetics by aligning themselves towards similar harmonious goals.

## REPORT ON THE WICHITA CONFERENCE

Don Schuster reporting

The second annual Dianetic Conference was held in Wichita again this year. About fifty people attended the June 10th to 13th meeting to hear and see the status quo of Dianetics and the Hubbard Dianetic Foundation. The situation they found was both encouraging and challenging: encouraging in the problems that have and are being met continually, and challenging in the sense that Dianetics now has an active toehold in this society; can Dianetics be effectively disseminated to the public where its benefits can be discovered and utilized by Mr. John Q. Public?

This is not to say that the future outlook is all rosy; far from it. Whether the ideas of Dianetics can be put across on a profitable level financially is still the biggest question mark on the Dianetic horizon. To do this is going to take planning, hard work, and cooperation on the part of all concerned. The management function of the HDF and associates is now structurally capable of starting to promote Dianetics in a professional manner, which incidentally is a pleasure to compare with the situation a

year ago. Given even breaks, the level-headed management will fulfill its expectations this year of opening society's door another crack wider to Dianetics.

Turning to the technical side, there were no new techniques guaranteed to drop the "pre-" from "preclear" in the space of two hours. Seen instead was an elaboration of some old basic and good techniques coupled with a healthy over-all integrative approach. This over-all approach to processing can best be stated as: "Validate the individual but not his aberrations."

An elaboration of an already useful technique was the explanation of the secondary emotional curve that accompanies the primary emotional curve in the Overt Act phenomenon. The term "secondary emotional curve" could be equally well stated: "the anatomy of forgetting".

This secondary emotional curve traces through the feelings and thoughts of the individual committing an overt act. Since the person does the overt act with insufficient justification, he immediately feels regret for his actions. Then he feels sympathy for the particular dynamic offended; then wishes the act had never happened in the first place. Then accepts blame for his actions and with this, sympathetic somatics of his overt act as he attempts to expiate his crime. Failing in this, since the overt act is still an actuality, the person tries to assign the blame for the overt act exterior to himself. And this is where we leave the secondary emotional curve: the person's having rationalized away all responsibility, guilt, and knowledge of his overt act . . . forgetfulness.

The integration of techniques that has been started only recently is something that has been needed for a long time. The fact that Dianetics is working on this over-all approach to processing is one of the major points that separates Dianetics from conventional psychotherapy. Also, this systemized approach, via the tone chart and Advanced Procedure, is partially responsible for Dianetics faster results in the field of treatment. This integration of techniques means that diagnosis is done systematically and techniques are used in processing that will get the fastest results in an individual case. It does not mean stereotypy of approach nor of processing; it does mean that the individual can be processed faster and more efficiently due to a better theoretical understanding of the person as a whole organism and what to do about it.

Dianeticists for a long time have had the same amount of brains as anybody else; now they're beginning to show that they can use those brains both business-wise and technically.

**PREPAREDNESS**

For all your days prepare.  
And meet them all alike:  
When you are the anvil, bear—  
When you are the hammer, strike.

**OTHER THOUGHTS FROM OTHER LANDS,  
ENGLAND SPEAKING**

THE KEY OF THE DOOR

by Raymond Reeves

Reprinted from THE ANALYSER, Wichelow Dianetic Group

Man is of age. He has been presented with the key of the door in the shape of "Dianetics". For thousands of years he has been maturing; physically and mentally. The time has come for him to unlock the door to the real understanding of himself and his purpose. Many individuals are not yet ready for the key—this article is addressed to those who are.

A large proportion of those who are ready are readers of imaginative literature—"Science Fiction". The author of "Dianetics" is a writer of such literature. The reader of science fiction who has, in imagination, controlled matter and energy, visited the utmost limits of space and trod the corridors of time is not earthbound. His mind is prepared to accept "Dianetics", which answers many of his questions and compels him to seek, through Dianetic Therapy, the answers to many more. To the unbiased enquirer "Dianetics" is wholly compelling. It embraces, co-ordinates and supersedes all other sciences of the mind such as psychology, psychiatry, hypnotism and yoga. It promises a "clear" mind which can compute perfectly and has complete control of the body. Why should not man have complete control of his mind and his body? Are they on loan—not to be interfered with? Who shall forbid us control of ourselves? Not our creator. Man was created perfect in the image of God. He is not perfect now. God sent his son as an example of perfection. He was a "clear", having command of his mind and body. He could make the blind see, the lame walk, and feed a multitude on two loaves of bread and five fishes. He could, in fact, control MEST. Would we be wrong in attempting to be as perfect as the Son of God? Is "Dianetics" the Key to the Kingdom?

**PUBLICATIONS FOR SALE**

The following publications are offered for sale by Minneapolis Dianetics, Inc.

ADVANCED PROCEDURE and AXIOMS, L. Ron Hubbard	\$2.50
ADDRESS BY L. RON HUBBARD AT ARCADIA THEATRE	
L. Ron Hubbard	75
AUDITORS MANUAL, Hubbard Dianetic Foundation	5.00
CHILD DIANETICS, Hubbard Dianetic Foundation	2.75
DEVELOPMENT OF AWARENESS OF PRESENT TIME	
Green-Knowlton-Powers	2.00
DIANETICS: Modern Science of Mental Health, L. Ron Hubbard	4.00
DIANETICS: The Original Thesis, L. Ron Hubbard	3.00
HANDBOOK FOR PRECLEAR, L. Ron Hubbard	2.50
MECHANISMS OF LIFE, Dwight Bulkley	2.00